

Stormwater Rate Study Results

October 8, 2013 Council Study Session

Presented By: John Ghilarducci, FCS Group, Matt Fontaine, Herrera Environmental & Don Robinett, Stormwater Compliance Manager

FCS GROUP 7525 – 166th Ave. NE, Suite D-215, Redmond, WA 98052 **425-867-1802**

Surface Water Plan (SWP)

- New National Pollutant Discharge Elimination System requirements
- Asset Management Program (new)
- Stormwater Capital Improvement Program (SWCIP)
- Rates for City roads

Additional Issues

- Staff Reconciliation
 - ✓ Reconciliation will save general fund >\$60,000 in 2014

Asset Management – Background

- City Stormwater assets
 - 72 miles drainage pipe
 - 3916 catch basins/manholes
 - 32 stormwater treatment and flow control structures
- Stormwater assets valued at over \$26.9 million
- ✓ Utility is responsible for assets
- ✓ Goal assess and repair/replace before failure

Examples

Sinkholes from failed CMP



Joint Separation



Examples

Bottom of pipe eroded away



Examples

Tree roots penetrating pipes



Collapsing pipes



Managing Stormwater Assets

Current Approach

Reactive

Waits for failures to occur Emergency repairs Uncontrolled traffic impacts Level of service declines Higher risk of failure Limited funds capital projects Higher construction costs

- Emergency mobilization
- Damages

Asset Management Program

Proactive

Assess and replace before failure Scheduled construction Controls traffic impacts Level of service is maintained Lower risk of failure

NPDES Inspections - Planned Process Efficiencies

Integrate asset evaluation into existing inspections

- NPDES inspections
 - Catch basin inspections
 - Field screening
- Asset management inspections
 - Need unobstructed/cleaned storm system
 - Video evaluation
- Combined processes
 - Step 1 Catch basin inspection and storm system cleaning
 - Step 2 Illicit discharge field screening combined with asset management evaluation
 - Reduces trips to the field and demands on staff time

Existing Rates

Rates Set in 1999

Class	Impervious Surface Percentage	Rate
Residential (R)	NA	\$82.80/parcel/year *
Very Light (VL)	0 – 10%	\$49.50/acre/year
Light (L)	10 – 20%	\$168.50/acre/year
Moderate (M)	20 – 45%	\$349.00/acre/year
Moderately Heavy (MH)	45 – 65%	\$674.00/acre/year
Heavy (H)	65 – 85%	\$855.00/acre/year
Very Heavy (VH)	85 – 100%	\$1,120.00/acre/year

* \$82.80 per year = \$6.90 per month.

Rate Scenario Summary

- **1.** Current. No rate increases during the study period
 - Maintain existing programs
 - Minimal capital construction
 - Uncontrolled traffic and construction impacts
 - Anticipated regulatory non-compliance by 2018
 - No additional utility equipment, staffing, or projects
- 2. Limited SWP Implementation
 - Proactive asset management
 - Funded stormwater CIPs
 - Program expansion to comply with NPDES requirements
 - Staffing reconciliation
 - 1 FTE in 2015, 1.7 FTE 2019
- **3.** Current plus Emergency Repair. (Current Scenario Plus)
 - Capital funding available to repair system failures
- 4. Full SWP Implementation (Limited SWP Plus)
 - Funds stormwater portion of the transportation improvement plan
 - + 1 FTE in 2015 (half time tech and half time education and outreach)
 - Asset management program and full depreciation funding

Rate Scenarios

	Rate in 2014	Rate in 2018	Maintenance/Staffing	Capital	Regulatory Compliance	System Replacement
Current Rates	\$82.80/yr	\$82.80/yr	No Change	 Reactive Unable to fund large capital projects 	Compliance until 2018	 No Asset Management program Reactive
Limited SWP Implementation	\$99.63/yr	\$141.21/yr	 Proactive Asset Mgt Necessary equipment Minimum staffing increases 	Fully funds SWCIPNo debt financing	• Yes	 Proactive Asset Mgt Fully funded /no debt financing
Current Plus Emergency Repair	\$126.84/yr	\$134.16/yr	 Current Rates Scenario Plus Emergency Capital Funds 	 Current Rates Scenario Plus Funding available for repair & replacement 	Compliance until 2018	 Reactive Emergency contingency fund for repair & replacement
Full Implementation of SWP	\$106.68/yr	\$182.40/yr	 Limited SWP Scenario Plus .5 Technician + .5 Public Education Coordinator 	 Limited SWP Scenario Plus Fund roads projects Assumes debt financing 	• Yes	 Proactive Asset Mgt Fully funded Assumes debt financing

Recommended Rate Scenario

	Rate in 2014	Rate in 2018	Maintenance/Staffing	Capital	Regulatory Compliance	System Replacement
Current Rates	\$82.80/yr \$6.90/mo	\$82.80/yr \$6.90/mo	• No Change	 Reactive Unable to fund large capital projects 	Compliance until 2018	 No Asset Management program Reactive
Limited SWP Implementation	\$99.63/yr \$8.30/mo	\$141.21/yr \$11.77/mo	 Proactive Asset Mgt Necessary equipment Minimum staffing increases 	 Fully funds SWCIP No debt financing 	• Yes	 Proactive Asset Mgt Fully funded /no debt financing
Current Plus Emergency Repair	\$126.84/yr	\$134.16/yr	 Current Rates Scenario Plus Emergency Capital Funds 	 Current Rates Scenario Plus Funding available for repair & replacement 	Compliance until 2018	 Reactive Emergency contingency fund for repair & replacement
Full Implementation of SWP	\$106.68/yr	\$182.40/yr	 Limited SWP Scenario Plus .5 Technician + .5 Public Education Coordinator 	 Limited SWP Scenario Plus Fund roads projects Assumes debt financing 	• Yes	 Proactive Asset Mgt Fully funded Assumes debt financing

Summary of Financial Plan Recommended Service Level

Revenue Requirements	2013	2014		2015		2016		2017		2018
Revenues Rate Revenues Under Existing Rates Non-Rate Revenues	\$ 1,690,162 7,596	\$ 1,683,986 7 240	\$	1,703,902 7 603	\$	1,724,108 13 809	\$	1,744,608 17 167	\$	1,765,407 29 834
Total Revenues	\$ 1,697,758	\$ 1,691,227	\$	1,711,505	\$	1,737,917	\$	1,761,775	\$	1,795,240
Expenses Expenses - Fund 403 Add'I Salaries & Benefits Depreciation & Asset Management	\$ 2,366,207 - -	\$ 1,814,114 73,554 134,665	\$	1,827,948 77,232 557,677	\$	1,981,981 81,093 407,554	\$	1,987,215 85,148 552,470	\$	2,018,929 89,405 697,066
New Debt Service Rate Funded CIP	 -	 -		-		-		-		-
Total Expenses	\$ 2,366,207	\$ 2,022,333	\$	2,462,856	\$	2,470,628	\$	2,624,833	\$	2,805,401
Annual Rate Adjustment	 0.00%	20.32%		26.85%		0.00%		4.88%		6.55%
Monthly Rate Per SFR	\$ 6.90	\$ 8.30	\$	10.53	\$	10.53	\$	11.04	\$	11.77
Rate Revenues After Rate Increase Net Cash Flow After Rate Increase	\$ 1,759,276 (597,835)	\$ <u>2,017,560</u> (0)	\$	2,464,088 (0)	\$	2,491,205 25,478	\$	2,618,138 (0)	\$	2,788,245 (0)
Yearly Rate per SFR 1 Acre Commercial Lot (65% Impervious Surface)	\$82.80 \$674.00	\$99.63 \$810.98	1	\$126.3 \$1028.7	87 70	\$126.3 \$1028.7	37 70	\$132.5 \$1078.8	4 7	\$141.21 \$1149.50

Recommended Scenario Future Rate Schedule

Class	Impervious Surface	Annual Rate (per parcel/acre)											
	Percentage		2013		2014		2015		2016	2017			2018
Residential (R)	N/A	\$	82.80	\$	99.63	\$	126.37	\$	126.37	\$	132.54	\$	141.21
Very Light (VL)	0 - 10%	\$	49.50	\$	59.56	\$	75.55	\$	75.55	\$	79.23	\$	84.42
Light (L)	10 - 20%	\$	168.50	\$	202.75	\$	257.18	\$	257.18	\$	269.72	\$	287.38
Moderate (M)	20 - 45%	\$	349.00	\$	419.93	\$	532.67	\$	532.67	\$	558.65	\$	595.22
Moderately Heavy (MH)	45 - 65%	\$	674.00	\$	810.98	\$	1,028.70	\$	1,028.70	\$	1,078.87	\$	1,149.50
Heavy (H)	65 - 85%	\$	855.00	\$	1,028.77	\$	1,304.96	\$	1,304.96	\$	1,368.60	\$	1,458.20
Very Heavy (VH)	85 - 100%	\$	1,120.00	\$	1,347.62	\$	1,709.42	\$	1,709.42	\$	1,792.79	\$	1,910.15

Comparison of Rates with Other Jurisdictions



Next Steps

- Integrate Council feedback 10/22/13 CSS
- Present ordinance and agenda bill 11/12/13 CSS
- Ordinance and agenda bill 11/26/13 RCM
- Deadline for rate changes December 2nd

Other Issues / Questions

Key Policy Issues Addressed

- 1. Asset Management / System Replacement
 - City is considering an asset management program to assess system needs
 - Depreciation and replacement
- 2. Charging City Streets
 - City currently charges its streets 30% of the surface water rate
 - Evaluated alternative approaches
- 3. Reconciliation of Surface Water Funding
 - Reviewed FTE time devoted to stormwater activities vs. Utility funding of these positions
 - Reconciliation will save general fund >\$60,000 in 2014

Surface Water Plan (SWP) Background – Drivers for Rate Study

Stormwater Capital Improvement Projects

- ✓ Projects identified in SWP
- Projects identified by staff and the public
- Projects identified through Asset Mgt Program

Expand Programs to meet NPDES requirements

- Tools and equipment to inspect and maintain Low Impact Development BMPs
- ✓ One additional seasonal maintenance staff in 2019

Key Assumptions

- Revenue and expense projections based on the 2013 budget
- Customer growth estimated to average 1.0% per year
- Labor (including benefits) costs assumed to increase 5% per year
- General operating expenses assumed to increase 2.07% per year
- Capital construction costs assumed to increase 3.16% per year
- Fund earnings assumed to be less than 1% per year until 2017, increasing to 2% per year in 2018.
- The following reserve minimum balance targets will be achieved and maintained:
 - 180 days cash operating expenses for Fund 403
 - 1% of assets for Fund 406

City Storm Pipe Breakdown



Above data has not been field verified.

PIPE MATERIAL SERVICE LIFE

(Culvert, Storm, Underdrain)

Average Years to Maintenance, Repair, or Replacement Due to Corrosion

Materials	Location East of West of Cascades	Water & Soil pH	Soil Resistivity (ohm-cm)	Service Life 1.52 mm thkn, CAP 1.63 mm thkn, CSP
Galvanized Steel	East	4.5-6	1500-2000	30
CSP, CSPA,	East	6-7	1500-2000	35
SSP/OHSR	East	7-10	1500-2000	40
	West	4.5-6	1500-2000	15
	West	6-7	1500-2000	20
	West	7-10	1500-2000	25
Aluminum	All	4.5-10	>1500	75
CAP, CAPA	Locations			
SAP/OHSR				·
Aluminized Steel	East	5-9	>1500	65
CSP-Alzd.				
CSPA-Alzd.	West	5-9	>1500	50
SSP/OHSR-Alzd.				
Concrete	All	4.5-10	>1500	75+
PCP, NRCP	Locations			
RCP, CIPCP				
Polyethylene	All	4.5-10	>1500	75
CPDT, CPP	Locations		-	

Factors Affecting Service Life of Storm Pipe

- 1. Pipe material is only an indicator of service life
- 2. Other factors affecting service
 - a. Corrosive Zone (salt and pH)
 - b. Water tables and hydrology
 - c. Slope
 - d. How it was installed
 - e. Proximity of vegetation
 - f. Presence of a liner or coating
 - g. Pipe location (traffic load)
 - h. Pipe diameter and thickness