

City Resolutions Archive

Note: To find a particular resolution, click on the Bookmarks tab on the left side of this screen

A RESOLUTION of the City Council of the City of SeaTac, Washington ratifying the Proclamation of Emergency dated December 15, 2006, and ratifying the decision to let a contract with Allied Waste for curbside storm debris removal.

WHEREAS, a windstorm on December 14-15, 2006 caused an emergency by creating damage and injuries within the City of SeaTac; and

WHEREAS, persons and property were threatened and damaged due to the December 14-15, 2006 windstorm; and

WHEREAS, it was necessary for the City of SeaTac to take efforts to reduce the threat to life and property; and

WHEREAS, the December 14-15, 2006 windstorm caused an undesignated amount of damage; and

WHEREAS, the severity and magnitude of the December 14-15, 2006 windstorm was beyond the response and recovery capability of local resources; and

WHEREAS, the December 14-15, 2006 windstorm necessitated activation of the City Emergency Operations Plan and utilization of emergency powers granted pursuant to RCW Chapter 38.52 and Chapter 2.75 SMC; and

WHEREAS, a Proclamation of Emergency related to the December 14-15, 2006 windstorm was issued on December 15, 2006, a copy of which is attached to this Resolution as Exhibit "A"; and

WHEREAS, SMC 2.75.070 provides that the City Council must ratify said Proclamation as soon as practicable, and the City Council finds it appropriate to do so; and

WHEREAS, said Proclamation provided that City Departments could enter into contracts and incur obligations as necessary to combat the effects of the windstorm; and

WHEREAS, the City Council finds it appropriate to ratify the decision of City Staff to enter into a contract with Allied Waste for curbside storm debris removal;

NOW, THEREFORE, THE CITY COUNCIL OF THE CITY OF SEATAC, WASHINGTON HEREBY RESOLVES as follows:

1. The City Council of the City of SeaTac hereby ratifies the "Proclamation of Emergency" dated December 15, 2006, which is attached as Exhibit "A" to this Resolution, and the decision of City Staff to enter into a contract with Allied Waste for curbside storm debris removal, a copy of which is attached as Exhibit "B" to this Resolution

PASSED this <u>9th</u> day of <u>January</u>, 2007 and signed in authentication thereof on this <u>9th</u> day of <u>January</u>, 2007.

	CITY OF SEATAC	
	Gene Fisher, Mayor	
	Gene Fisher, Mayor	
ATTEST:		
Judith L. Cary, City Clerk		
Approved as to Form:		
Mary Mirante Bartolo, City Attorney		
y		
[Resolution ratifying windstorm proclamation]		

A RESOLUTION of the City Council of the City of SeaTac, Washington, authorizing entry into a Development Agreement between the City and Dollar Development Co. and Scandia LLC.

WHEREAS, RCW 36.70B.170 through .200 and SMC 15.05.057 authorize the City to enter into Development Agreements with persons or entities having ownership or control of real property within the City; and

WHEREAS, Scandia LLC, a Washington Limited Liability Company, is the entity having ownership of certain real property located at 17400 International Boulevard within the City; and

WHEREAS, Dollar Development Co., a Washington Corporation, controls the property owned by Scandia LLC, and desires to undertake development thereon subject to a Development Agreement; and

WHEREAS, notice was published and mailed to surrounding property owners pursuant to SMC 16A.13.010, and the Council has held a public hearing; and

WHEREAS, the Council finds that the proposed Development Agreement satisfies the criteria of SMC 15.22.055 and remains generally consistent with current City development regulations and provides significant public benefit;

NOW, THEREFORE, THE CITY COUNCIL OF THE CITY OF SEATAC, WASHINGTON HEREBY RESOLVES as follows:

- 1. The City Manager is authorized to execute, on behalf of the City, a Development Agreement with Dollar Development Co. and Scandia LLC, generally in the form attached to this Resolution as Exhibit A.
- 2. The City Clerk shall cause the fully executed document to be filed with the King County Recorder.

PASSED this <u>9th</u> day of <u>January</u>, 2007 and signed in authentication thereof on this <u>9th</u> day of <u>January</u>, 2007.

	CITY OF SEATAC	
	Gene Fisher, Mayor	
ATTEST:		
Judith L. Cary, City Clerk		
Approved as to Form:		
Mary E. Mirante Bartolo, City Attorney		
[Dollar Scandia Development Agreement]		

RESOLUTION NO. <u>07-003</u>

A RESOLUTION of the City Council of the City of SeaTac, Washington Resolution expressing the City Council's support for Highline School District Proposition No. 1, Programs and Operation Levy, to be presented to the electorate at the Special Election on March 13, 2007.

WHEREAS, the Council has been requested by representatives of the Highline School Board to support Highline School District Proposition No. 1, Programs and Operation Levy; and

WHEREAS, in accordance with RCW 42.17.130, notice to comment was published and posted; and

WHEREAS, public statements and comments were received by the Council; and

WHEREAS, the Council finds that an expression of support for the Proposition is appropriate;

NOW, THEREFORE, THE CITY COUNCIL OF THE CITY OF SEATAC, WASHINGTON HEREBY RESOLVES as follows:

1. The City Council of the City of SeaTac expresses its support for Highline School District Proposition No. 1, Programs and Operation Levy, to be presented to the electorate at the Special Election on March 13, 2007.

PASSED this 13th day of February, 2007 and signed in authentication thereof on this 13th day of February, 2007.

		_ ~~	LAIA	_	
-	<u> </u>	<u> </u>		ty Mayo	

CITY OF SEATAC

ATTEST:
Judith L. Cary, City Clerk
Approved as to Form:
Mary Mirante Bartolo, City Attorney

[Resolution supporting 2007 Highline Levy]

A RESOLUTION of the City Council of the City of SeaTac, Washington fixing the time for a public hearing and for Council action on vacation of a portion of South 158th Street lying westerly of the West margin of International Boulevard South and the easterly of the West line of Section 22, Township 23 N, Range 4 East, W.M.

WHEREAS, the Port of Seattle has requested vacation of a certain portion of the City street and right-of-way of South 158th Street, located generally west of International Blvd S. as shown on the map attached as Exhibit "A" to this Resolution, and as legally defined in Exhibit "B" to this Resolution; and

WHEREAS, Section 11.05.090 of the SeaTac Municipal Code adopts the street vacation procedures of Chapter 35.79 RCW; and

WHEREAS, RCW 35.79.010 authorizes the City Council to initiate street vacation procedures by resolution and further requires that a public hearing prior to final Council action must be fixed not less than twenty (20) days nor more than sixty (60) days after the date of passage of such a resolution; and

WHEREAS, no apparent municipal use of the said street and right-of-way continues to exist, but the Port has reason to convert the right-of-way to airport related purposes; and

WHEREAS, the City will be entitled to monetary or other consideration for the vacation; and

WHEREAS, the Council finds that a public hearing prior to consideration of final action should be placed on the agenda of the Regular Council Meeting of April 24, 2007;

NOW, THEREFORE, THE CITY COUNCIL OF THE CITY OF SEATAC, WASHINGTON HEREBY RESOLVES as follows:

- 1. A public hearing on the Port of Seattle's request for vacation of that portion of the street and right-of-way of South 158th Street lying westerly of the West margin of International Boulevard South and the easterly of the West line of Section 22, Township 23 N, Range 4 East, W.M., and as depicted on the map attached hereto as Exhibit "A", and as legally described on Exhibit "B", which is abutted on both sides by Port property, is hereby fixed to commence at 6:00 p.m. on Tuesday, April 24, 2007, or as soon thereafter as the hearing may be held, at the Council Chambers, SeaTac City Hall, 4800 South 188th Street, SeaTac, WA 98188.
- 2. Notice of the public hearing shall be posted in three public places within the City and along South 158th Street in the area of the street requested to be vacated. The Port, as sole abutting owner, and the owners of property secondarily accessed from South 158th Street, shall be given notice by mail at least fifteen days before the date fixed for the hearing.
- 3. Following the public hearing, the City Council shall consider public comments and shall then take such action in regard to the requested vacation as may be deemed appropriate.

PASSED this $\underline{27th}$ day of \underline{March} , 2007 and signed in authentication thereof on this $\underline{27^{th}}$ Day of \underline{March} , 2007.

	CITY OF SEATAC
ATTEST:	Gene Fisher, Mayor
Judith L. Cary, City Clerk	
Approved as to Form:	
Mary Mirante Bartolo, City Attorney	
[Port Street Vacation S. 158 th Street]	

A RESOLUTION of the City Council of the City of SeaTac, Washington fixing the time for a public hearing and for Council action on vacation of a portion of South 179th Street lying westerly of the westerly margin of 38th Ave South as platted by Rancho Vista Division No. 2 in Volume 55, pages 62 & 63 records of King County.

WHEREAS, Boyd Dolloff and Wayne Sorensen have requested vacation of a certain portion of the City street and right-of-way of South 179th Street, located generally west of 38th Ave South. as shown on the map attached as Exhibit "A" to this Resolution; and

WHEREAS, Section 11.05.090 of the SeaTac Municipal Code adopts the street vacation procedures of Chapter 35.79 RCW; and

WHEREAS, RCW 35.79.010 authorizes the City Council to initiate street vacation procedures by resolution and further requires that a public hearing prior to final Council action must be fixed not less than twenty (20) days nor more than sixty (60) days after the date of passage of such a resolution; and

WHEREAS, no apparent municipal use of the said street and right-of-way continues to exist, but the Port has reason to convert the right-of-way to airport related purposes; and

WHEREAS, the City will be entitled to monetary or other consideration for the vacation; and

WHEREAS, the Council finds that a public hearing prior to consideration of final action should be placed on the agenda of the Regular Council Meeting of April 24, 2007;

NOW, THEREFORE, THE CITY COUNCIL OF THE CITY OF SEATAC, WASHINGTON HEREBY RESOLVES as follows:

- 1. A public hearing on the request for vacation of that portion of the street and right-of-way of South 179th Street lying westerly of the westerly margin of 38th Ave South as platted by Rancho Vista Division No. 2 in Volume 55, pages 62 & 63 records of King County, and as depicted on the map attached hereto as Exhibit "A", is hereby fixed to commence at 6:00 p.m. on Tuesday, April 24, 2007, or as soon thereafter as the hearing may be held, at the Council Chambers, SeaTac City Hall, 4800 South 188th Street, SeaTac, WA 98188.
- 2. Notice of the public hearing shall be posted in three public places within the City and along South 179th Street in the area of the street requested to be vacated. The owners of property secondarily accessed from South 179th Street, if any, shall be given notice by mail at least fifteen days before the date fixed for the hearing.
- 3. Following the public hearing, the City Council shall consider public comments and shall then take such action in regard to the requested vacation as may be deemed appropriate.

PASSED this <u>27th</u> day of <u>March</u>, 2007 and signed in authentication thereof on this <u>27th</u> day of <u>March</u>, 2007.

	CITY OF SEATAC
ATTEST:	Gene Fisher, Mayor
Judith L. Cary, City Clerk	
Approved as to Form:	
Mary Mirante Bartolo, City Attorney	
[Port Street Vacation S. 179th Street]	

A RESOLUTION of the City Council of the City of SeaTac, Washington authorizing the purchase of sports field lighting from Musco Lighting for the Valley Ridge Sports Park Project, and waiving the competitive bidding requirement due to a sole source purchase and special market conditions.

WHEREAS, the City Council finds that based upon extensive research by the Parks and Recreation Department, the purchase of Musco Lighting is appropriate due to the substantial energy savings (approximately \$242,940 over 30 years compared to the next best lighting manufacturer), a 25 year warranty, and a central control system; and

WHEREAS, RCW 39.04.280 provides that a local agency, by Resolution, may waive the competitive bidding requirement when the purchase is clearly and legitimately limited to a single source of supply, or when the purchase involves special facilities or market conditions; and

WHEREAS, the City Council finds that there is one sports field lighting system that will meet the City's needs, and thus the manufacturer is the sole source of supply, and that there is a special market condition because the City will receive the lowest price that is offered by the manufacturer; and

WHEREAS, the City Council is it appropriate to waive the competitive bidding requirements for the above reasons; and

NOW, THEREFORE, THE CITY COUNCIL OF THE CITY OF SEATAC, WASHINGTON HEREBY RESOLVES as follows:

- 1. The City's purchase of a sports field lighting system manufactured by Musco Lighting is authorized in the amount of \$274,863.60 (including tax), and
- 2. The competitive bidding requirement for the Sports Field Lighting System for the Valley Ridge Sports Park Project is waived as this is a sole source purchase and involves special market conditions.

PASSED this <u>27</u>	th day of March,	2007 and	signed in	authentication	thereof o	on this	<u>27th</u>
day of March, 2007.							

	CITY OF SEATAC	
	Gene Fisher, Mayor	
ATTEST:		
Judith L. Cary, City Clerk		
Approved as to Form:		
Mary E. Mirante Bartolo, City Attorney		
[Valley Ridge Park Lighting]		

A RESOLUTION of the City Council of the City of SeaTac, Washington rescinding Resolution No. 07-004 that fixed the date and time for a public hearing and for Council action on vacation of a portion of South 158th Street lying westerly of the West margin of International Boulevard and easterly of the West line of Section 22, Township 23 N, Range 4 East, W.M.

WHEREAS, the Port of Seattle requested vacation of a certain portion of the City street and right-of-way of South 158th Street, located generally west of International Blvd; and

WHEREAS, Resolution No. 07-004 fixing the date and time for public hearing and Council action for vacation of a portion of right-of-way was passed by the City Council on March 27, 2007; and

WHEREAS, it was subsequently determined that the Port of Seattle does not own all abutting properties requested in the petition to vacate a portion of the right-of-way at this time; and

WHEREAS, the Port has requested that the public hearing be cancelled; and

WHEREAS, pursuant to this new information, the Council finds it necessary to rescind Resolution No. 07-004, fixing the date and time for a public hearing;

NOW, THEREFORE, THE CITY COUNCIL OF THE CITY OF SEATAC, WASHINGTON HEREBY RESOLVES as follows:

Resolution No. 07-004, fixing the date and time for a public hearing and Council action on vacation of a portion of South 158th Street lying westerly of the West margin of International Boulevard and easterly of the West line of Section 22, Township 23N, Range 4 East, W.M. is hereby rescinded.

PASSED this $\underline{10th}$ day of \underline{April} , 2007 and signed in authentication thereof on this $\underline{10th}$ day of \underline{April} , 2007.

	CITY OF SEATAC	
ATTEST:	Gene Fisher, Mayor	
Judith L. Cary, City Clerk		
Approved as to Form:		
Mary Mirante Bartolo, City Attorney		
[Rescinding of Port Street Vacation S. 158 th Street]		

A RESOLUTION of the City Council of the City of SeaTac, Washington approving and authorizing the 2007 through 2009 collective bargaining agreement between the City of SeaTac and the International Association of Fire Fighters, Local #2919.

WHEREAS, the International Association of Fire Fighters, Local #2919 has been certified as the bargaining representative for the non-exempt, uniformed Fire Fighters and fire department officers of the City of SeaTac; and

WHEREAS, the City has previously entered into collective bargaining agreements with the International Association of Fire Fighters, Local #2919 to govern wages, hours and working conditions for the covered employees; and

WHEREAS, the term of the most current collective bargaining agreement provided for a term expiring on December 31, 2006; and

WHEREAS, the City and the bargaining representatives have negotiated a successor agreement, effective from January 1, 2007 through December 31, 2009, which incorporates agreed provisions and terms; and

WHEREAS, The IAFF, Local 2919 has ratified the proposed agreement;

NOW, THEREFORE, THE CITY COUNCIL OF THE CITY OF SEATAC, WASHINGTON HEREBY RESOLVES as follows:

The collective bargaining agreement for 2007 through 2009 by and between the City of SeaTac and the International Association of Fire Fighters, Local #2919, a copy of which is attached hereto as Exhibit "A" and is incorporated herein by this reference, is hereby approved, and the City Manager and Mayor are authorized to execute the same on behalf of the City, following ratification by members of the Union.

PASSED this 8^{th} day of May, 2007 and signed in aut	hentication thereof on this 8th day of May,
2007.	
	CITY OF SEATAC
	Gene Fisher, Mayor
ATTEST:	
Judith L. Cary, City Clerk	
Approved as to Form:	
Mary E. Mirante Bartolo, City Attorney	

A RESOLUTION of the City Council of the City of SeaTac, Washington stating the City Council's intent to reinvest any and all sales tax revenue received by the I-5/SR509 road project back into the project.

WHEREAS, SR509 has been an unfinished freeway for many years; and

WHEREAS, the City of SeaTac has been instrumental in maintaining interest in the completion of SR509; and

WHEREAS, improvements to I-5 and the construction of the SeaTac Airport South Access are logical components to the completion of SR509; and

WHEREAS, the Port of Seattle (POS) and the Washington State Department of Transportation (WSDOT) have been partners with the City of SeaTac in keeping the I-5/SR509 a viable project; and

WHEREAS, the I-5/SR509 project's cost increases the longer it is delayed; and

WHEREAS, alternate revenue sources are being sought to ensure the success of the I-5/SR509 project; and

WHEREAS, it is anticipated that the project's funding package includes local sales tax reimbursements; and

WHEREAS, the I-5/SR509 project is currently in the plan to be included on the ballot at the November 2007 Regional Transportation Investment District (RTID) election, subject to County Councils' approval; and

WHEREAS, the Council assumes that the vote on the RTID will be approved by the electorate at the November, 2007 election; and

WHEREAS, it is the intention of the City of SeaTac to continue to perform an active role in the completion of the I-5/SR509 project;

NOW, THEREFORE, THE CITY COUNCIL OF THE CITY OF SEATAC, WASHINGTON HEREBY RESOLVES as follows:

It is the intent of the City Council to reinvest any and all sales tax revenue which will be received by the City of SeaTac from the construction of the I-5/SR509 project back into the I-5/SR509 project and to strongly encourage other cities in the RTID to do the same.

PASSED this 12th day of June, 2007 and signed in authentication thereof on this 12th day of June, 2007.

	CITY OF SEATAC	
	Gene Fisher, Mayor	
ATTEST:		
Judith L. Cary, City Clerk		
Approved as to Form:		
Mary E. Mirante Bartolo, City Attorney		
[SR509 Road Project Sales Tax]		

RESOLUTION NO. <u>07-010</u>

A RESOLUTION of the City Council of the City of SeaTac, Washington, adopting a Ten-Year Transportation Improvement Program for the years 2008-2017

WHEREAS, pursuant to RCW 35.77.010, cities are required to adopt a six-year comprehensive Transportation Improvement Program (TIP); and

WHEREAS, the Growth Management Act, at RCW 36.70A.070(6), similarly requires adoption by the City of a comprehensive plan transportation element, including a ten-year forecast of system and capacity needs and a plan of financing; and

WHEREAS, the City Council conducted a public hearing pursuant to state law, to hear and receive public comment on the City's TIP; and

WHEREAS, the City Council finds that prioritized and regularly up-dated road and street maintenance and capital improvement projects are essential to growth management, financial planning, and assurance of a comprehensive and coordinated transportation system;

NOW, THEREFORE, THE CITY COUNCIL OF THE CITY OF SEATAC, WASHINGTON, HEREBY RESOLVES as follows:

The Ten-Year Transportation Improvement Program (TIP) for the years 2008-2017, a copy of which is attached hereto, marked as Exhibit "A", and incorporated by this reference, is hereby adopted.

PASSED this <u>26th</u> day of <u>June</u>, 2007 and signed in authentication thereof this <u>26th</u> day of <u>June</u>, 2007

	CITY OF SEATAC
A TYPE C.T.	Gene Fisher, Mayor
ATTEST:	
Judith L. Cary, Clerk	
Approved as to Form:	
Mary Mirante Bartolo, City Attorney	

[<u>Ten-Year TIP 2008-2017</u>]

A RESOLUTION of the City Council of the City of SeaTac, Washington amending the City of SeaTac Schedule of License Fees, Permit Fees, and Other Fees and Charges for City Services.

WHEREAS, the City Council has, by Resolution, previously adopted a City of SeaTac Schedule of Fees, Permit Fees and Other Fees and Charges for City Services; and

WHEREAS, it is appropriate for the Council to adopt fees by Resolution rather than by Ordinance; and

WHEREAS, it is appropriate to update the fee schedule accordingly;

NOW, THEREFORE, THE CITY COUNCIL OF THE CITY OF SEATAC, WASHINGTON HEREBY RESOLVES as follows:

That the City's Schedule of License Fees, Permit Fees, and Other Fees and Charges for City Services is hereby amended as set forth on the attached Exhibit "A", which is

PASSED this 26th day of fund, 2007 and signed in authentication thereof on this 26th day of fund, 2007.

CITY OF SEATAC

Gene Fisher, Mayor

ATTEST:

Judith L. Cary, City Clerk

Approved as to Form:

Mary E. Mirante Bartolo, City Attorney

incorporated herein by this reference.

[Fee Schedule]

CITY OF SEATAC

SCHEDULE OF LICENSE FEES, PERMIT FEES, AND OTHER FEES AND CHARGES FOR CITY SERVICES

ANIMAL CONTROL*

* All animal control licenses and fees are charged on a pass-through basis as set by King County (King County Code, Chapter 11.04).

CITY CLERK AND GENERAL GOVERNMENT

Minimum handling/mailing fee		\$2.00
Audio tape recordings, per tape (unused 90-minute, leaderless tape cassette may		\$15.00
be provided by the applicant)		+ \$2.00 per tape
Audio / Video recordings of meetings		\$20.00 per CD/DVD
Certified or exemplified copies of documents, per page		\$2.00
City maps (for specialty maps, refer to GIS Program fees)		\$1.00
Photocopies, per page for 10 or more pages		\$0.15
Copies from Microfilm, per page for 10 or more pages		\$0.15
Mailing of weekly-City Council Agendas	Annually	\$30.00
Mailing of weekly-City Council Agenda Packets	Annually Per weekmeeting	\$250.00 \$5.00
Passport application execution fee In addition, fees payable to "Passport Services" are charged on a pass-through basis as set by the United States Department of State.		\$30.00
Photographs		Actual cost + 10%

F.	IN	A	N	\mathbf{C}	\mathbf{E}

Mailing Labels:

Preliminary budget	\$10.00
Adopted budget	\$20.00
Monthly budget status report	\$5.00
Monthly general ledger reports	\$5.00
Financial statements (Comprehensive Annual Financial Report)	\$20.00
Picture I.D.	\$5.00
Lost check/Re_issue requested (vendor and/or employee)	\$25.00
Dishonored Checks: a. Reasonable handling charge b. Cost of collection (or face amount of check, whichever is lesser) c. Interest from date of dishonor Additional damages in event of court action - court costs and attorney fees of three times the face amount of the check, or \$300, whichever is less.	\$25.00 \$40.00 12.0%
Collection Agency Fees	cost + 10%

Geographic Information Systems (GIS) Program Fees:

Staff time for filling req (minimum 1 hour; billed	uests 1 in 15-minute increments)	Standard Hourly Rate
Media Charges:	1.44 mb floppy disk	\$2.00
	CD-R-disk	\$ 5.00
	CD-RW-disk	\$10.00
4 mm DAT Tape		\$10.00
**************************************	8 mm DAT Tape	\$ 12.00
•	——— Digital Linear Tape	\$100.00
#)	100 mb Zip disk	\$12.00
	CD/DVD	<u>\$20.00</u>
Printed Products:	Color	\$3.00 per square foot
	Black and White	\$1.00 per square foot

Effective 06/26/07 Page 2 Revised 06/15/07

\$0.60 per label (\$25 minimum)

Mailing Lists:	\$0.30 per address		
Data Coverage Updates:		Standard Hourly Rate One Hour Minimum	
BUSINESS LICENSES:			
General business license (after October 1, prorated to \$17.50)	Annual	\$35.00	
Novelty amusement device license,	Annual Each Machine	\$100.00	
Shuffleboard license, each board	Annual	\$50.00	
Amusement place licenses: Adult entertainment, adult theater, or adult use business license	Annual	\$825.00	
Adult entertainment manager, adult theater manager, Adult use establishment or adult entertainment entertainer license	Annual	\$75.00	
Floor shows, music, boxing, wrestling, skating rinks and other amusements Carnivals	Annual	\$200.00	
One to ten units, daily More than ten units, daily		\$40.00 \$100.00	
Closing out sales, 30-day		\$300.00	
Public dance hall license	Annual Semi-Annual	\$200.00 \$100.00	
Public dance permit;	Daily	\$25.00	
Pool and billiard tables in a business establishment, per table (maximum of \$500.00 per establishment)		\$100.00	
Vendor or coin operated pool tables	Annual	\$100.00	
Private security business license (General business license only)	Annual	\$35.00	
Retail sale of fireworks permit (Plus \$50.00 clean-up deposit)	Annual	\$25.00	

	Public fireworks, display permit, (Plus \$100.00 clean-up deposit)	One-time	n/a
	Go kart track license,	Annual	\$500.00
	Junk shop license,	Annual	\$300.00
	Junk wagon license,	Annual	\$40.00
	Massage business license, (applicable only where owner is not a licensed massage practitioner)	Annual	\$150.00
	Public bathhouse license,	Annual	\$150.00
	Massage practitioner license, (general business license only)	Annual	\$35.00
	Mechanical music machines: Location license, Operator's license, Vendors license, Meich. music machine sublicense	Annual Annual Annual	\$25.00 \$250.00 \$100.00 \$10.00
	Outdoor musical entertainment permit	One Day	\$750.00
Management	Pawnbroker license,	Annual	\$500.00
	Secondhand dealer's license,-	Annual	\$40.00
	Taxicab licenses		King County
	Theater license, (per screen)	Annual	\$100.00
	Tobacco retailer's license	3 year	\$210.00
	Charitable solicitation permits, No paid outside solicitors with paid outside solicitors	Annual	\$40.00 \$1,000.00
	Solicitor or canvasser license,	Annual	\$35.00
	Firearm dealer's license,	Annual	\$5.00

Meat Distribution Facilities License Retail meat shop (one person shop) where only pre-cut meats are sold	\$35.00
Retail meat shop employing four or more meat cutters and/or meat wrappers or meat salespersons Open until 6:00 p.m. Open after 6:00 p.m.	\$130.00 \$180.00
Retail processed meat shop	\$35.00
Wholesale meat shop employing not more than five persons If under state or federal inspection If not under state or federal inspection	\$200.00 \$350.00
Wholesale meat shop employing six or more persons If under state or federal inspection If not under state or federal inspection	\$350.00 \$550.00
Meat warehouse	\$25.00
Meat Dealer's License: Wholesale meat dealer and wholesale commission meat dealer	\$250.00
Personal License: Meat cutter license, apprentice meat cutter license, meat wrapper license, and meat wrapper salesman license	\$10.00
FIRE DEPARTMENT:	
Entry or lateral transfer civil service test fee	\$30.00
Uniform International Fire Code Permits (Temporary/not to exceed 6 months)	\$30.00
Uniform International Fire Code Permits (Residential heating oil tank decommissioning)	n/a
Uniform-International Ffire Ceode permits (new permits and renewals expired more than 30 days)	\$60.00

Uniform-International Ffire Ceode permits (renewals of permits expired 30 days or less)			
Copy Fees – Records, per incident HEARING EXAMINER: Filing fee: Appeal to examiner S100.00 Filing fee: Appeal from examiner to City Council (where applicable) S100.00 (plus City Clerk fee for audio-tapes-CDs and copy of record before examiner) MUNICIPAL COURT: Record on appeal from Municipal Court (non-refundable fee tape-fee), per tape-CD Certified or exemplified copies of documents, per page \$2.00 PLANNING AND COMMUNITY DEVELOPMENT: Comprehensive Plan S60.00 Other Plans and Planning Documents, per page for 10 or more pages Zoning Code \$\$400.00 Short Plats (Preliminary) a. Preliminary b. Engineering plan review c. Supplemental drainage review TOTAL \$2,295.00 Final Short Plats \$2,000.00			\$30.00
HEARING EXAMINER: Filing fee: Appeal to examiner \$100.00 Filing fee: Appeal from examiner to City Council (where applicable) \$100.00 (plus City Clerk fee for audio-tapes-CDs and copy of record before examiner) MUNICIPAL COURT: Record on appeal from Municipal Court (non-refundable fee tape-fee), per tapeCD Certified or exemplified copies of documents, per page \$2.00 PLANNING AND COMMUNITY DEVELOPMENT: Comprehensive Plan \$60.00 Other Plans and Planning Documents, per page for 10 or more pages Zoning Code \$60.00 Certificate of Zoning Compliance \$400.00 Short Plats (Preliminary) a. Preliminary b. Engineering plan review \$500.00 c. Supplemental drainage review \$11,600.00 c. Supplemental drainage review \$195.00 Final Short Plats \$2,000.00	Annual amb	ulance operator inspection fee	\$100.00
Filing fee: Appeal to examiner \$100.00 Filing fee: Appeal from examiner to City Council (where applicable) \$100.00 (plus City Clerk fee for audio-tapes-CDs_and copy of record before examiner) MUNICIPAL COURT: Record on apeal from Municipal Court (non-refundable fee tape fee), per tapeCD Certified or exemplified copies of documents, per page \$2.00 PLANNING AND COMMUNITY DEVELOPMENT: Comprehensive Plan \$60.00 Other Plans and Planning Documents, per page for 10 or more pages Zoning Code \$\$500.00 Certificate of Zoning Compliance \$400.00 Short Plats (Preliminary) a. Preliminary b. Engineering plan review \$500.00 c. Supplemental drainage review \$150.00 Final Short Plats \$\$2,295.00	Copy Fees -	- Records, per incident	\$5.00
Filing fee: Appeal from examiner to City Council (where applicable) (plus City Clerk fee for audio-tapes-CDs and copy of record before examiner) MUNICIPAL COURT: Record on appeal from Municipal Court (non-refundable fee tape fee), per tapeCD Certified or exemplified copies of documents, per page \$2.00 PLANNING AND COMMUNITY DEVELOPMENT: Comprehensive Plan \$60.00 Other Plans and Planning Documents, per page for 10 or more pages Zoning Code \$60.00 Certificate of Zoning Compliance \$400.00 Short Plats (Preliminary) a. Preliminary b. Engineering plan review c. Supplemental drainage review \$500.00 c. Supplemental drainage review \$195.00 Final Short Plats \$2,000.00	HEARING	EXAMINER:	
(plus City Clerk fee for audio-tapes_CDs_and copy of record before examiner) MUNICIPAL COURT: Record on appeal from Municipal Court (non-refundable fee tape-fee), per tapeCD Certified or exemplified copies of documents, per page \$2.00 PLANNING AND COMMUNITY DEVELOPMENT: Comprehensive Plan \$60.00 Other Plans and Planning Documents, per page for 10 or more pages Zoning Code \$60.00 Certificate of Zoning Compliance \$400.00 Short Plats (Preliminary) a. Preliminary b. Engineering plan review \$500.00 c. Supplemental drainage review \$195.00 Final Short Plats \$2,295.00	Filing fee:	Appeal to examiner	\$100.00
before examiner) MUNICIPAL COURT: Record on appeal from Municipal Court (non-refundable fee tape-fee), per tapeCD Certified or exemplified copies of documents, per page \$2.00 PLANNING AND COMMUNITY DEVELOPMENT: Comprehensive Plan \$60.00 Other Plans and Planning Documents, per page for 10 or more pages Zoning Code \$60.00 Certificate of Zoning Compliance \$400.00 Short Plats (Preliminary) a. Preliminary b. Engineering plan review \$500.00 c. Supplemental drainage review \$195.00 Final Short Plats \$2,000.00	Filing fee:	Appeal from examiner to City Council (where applicable)	\$100.00
Record on appeal from Municipal Court (non-refundable fee tape-fee), per tapeCD Certified or exemplified copies of documents, per page \$2.00 PLANNING AND COMMUNITY DEVELOPMENT: Comprehensive Plan \$60.00 Other Plans and Planning Documents, per page for 10 or more pages Zoning Code \$60.00 Certificate of Zoning Compliance \$400.00 Short Plats (Preliminary) a. Preliminary b. Engineering plan review c. Supplemental drainage review \$500.00 c. Supplemental drainage review \$195.00 Final Short Plats \$2,000.00			
(non-refundable fee tape fee), per tapeCD Certified or exemplified copies of documents, per page \$2.00 PLANNING AND COMMUNITY DEVELOPMENT: Comprehensive Plan \$60.00 Other Plans and Planning Documents, per page for 10 or more pages Zoning Code \$60.00 Certificate of Zoning Compliance \$400.00 Short Plats (Preliminary) a. Preliminary b. Engineering plan review \$500.00 c. Supplemental drainage review \$195.00 TOTAL \$2,295.00 Final Short Plats \$2,000.00	MUNICIPA	AL COURT:	
PLANNING AND COMMUNITY DEVELOPMENT: Comprehensive Plan \$60.00 Other Plans and Planning Documents, per page for 10 or more pages Zoning Code \$60.00 Certificate of Zoning Compliance \$400.00 Short Plats (Preliminary) a. Preliminary \$1,600.00 b. Engineering plan review \$500.00 c. Supplemental drainage review \$195.00 Final Short Plats \$2,295.00		• •	\$20.00
Comprehensive Plan \$60.00 Other Plans and Planning Documents, per page for 10 or more pages Zoning Code \$60.00 Certificate of Zoning Compliance \$4400.00 Short Plats (Preliminary) a. Preliminary b. Engineering plan review c. Supplemental drainage review TOTAL \$2,295.00 Final Short Plats \$2,000.00	Certified or	exemplified copies of documents, per page	\$2.00
Other Plans and Planning Documents, per page for 10 or more pages\$0.15Zoning Code\$60.00Certificate of Zoning Compliance\$400.00Short Plats (Preliminary)\$1,600.00a. Preliminary b. Engineering plan review c. Supplemental drainage review\$500.00b. Engineering plan review c. Supplemental drainage review\$195.00 TOTAL \$2,295.00	PLANNING	G AND COMMUNITY DEVELOPMENT:	
Zoning Code \$60.00 Certificate of Zoning Compliance \$4400.00 Short Plats (Preliminary) a. Preliminary \$1,600.00 b. Engineering plan review \$500.00 c. Supplemental drainage review \$195.00 TOTAL \$2,295.00 Final Short Plats \$2,000.00	Comprehens	sive Plan	\$60.00
Certificate of Zoning Compliance \$400.00 Short Plats (Preliminary) a. Preliminary b. Engineering plan review c. Supplemental drainage review TOTAL \$2,295.00 Final Short Plats \$2,000.00			<u>\$0.15</u>
Short Plats (Preliminary) a. Preliminary \$1,600.00 b. Engineering plan review \$500.00 c. Supplemental drainage review \$195.00 TOTAL \$2,295.00 Final Short Plats \$2,000.00	Zoning Code		\$60.00
a. Preliminary \$1,600.00 b. Engineering plan review \$500.00 c. Supplemental drainage review \$195.00 TOTAL \$2,295.00 Final Short Plats \$2,000.00	Certificate o	f Zoning Compliance	\$400.00
b. Engineering plan review \$500.00 c. Supplemental drainage review \$195.00 TOTAL \$2,295.00 Final Short Plats \$2,000.00	Short Plats (Preliminary)	
Final Short Plats \$2,000.00	b.	Engineering plan review	\$500.00
		TOTAL	\$2,295.00
Re-imbursement to neighbor(s) for cost \$100.00	Final Short l	Plats	\$2,000.00
	Re-ii	mbursement to neighbor(s) for cost	\$100.00

Page 6

Effective 06/26/07

Revised 06/15/07

of required re-addressing of house (if required)		per house
Separate Lot Determination (Mminimum staff fee)		\$100.00 <u>\$20</u>
Consultant Review and Confirmation fee (for Wetland Consultant, GeoTeach Consultant, Arborist)		0.00 100% of cost
Wireless Communication Facilities Independent Review Deposit		\$3,500
Lot Line Adjustments		\$400.00
Long Preliminary-Plats (Preliminary)	100 lots or less	\$6000+100 per lot
	More than 100 lots	\$7000+100 per lot
Final Long Plats	30 lots or less	\$3000+100 per lot
	31 or more lots	\$3500+100 per lot
Development Agreement, application fee		\$7,500.00
Planned Unit Developments (PUD)		
Preliminary	100 units or less	\$7000+200 per lot
	More than 100 lots	\$8000+200 per lot
Final PUD		\$4,000.00
Rezones	Reclassification to: UL	
	Base:	\$3,500.00
	Per Acre: Maximum	\$1,200.00 \$50,000.00
	Reclassification to: T, UM, MHP	

			Base:	\$4,500.00
			Per Acre:	\$1,800.00
-			Maximum:	\$50,000.00
			Reclassification to:	
			NB, UH, O/C/MU	
			Base:	\$5,000.00
			Per Acre:	\$2,000.00
			Maximum:	\$50,000.00
			Reclassification to:	
			O/CM, CB, I, ABC,	
			BP, Other	
			Base:	\$6,000.00
			Per Acre:	\$3,500.00
			Maximum:	\$50,000.00
			Change in property-	
			specific conditions	
			only.	
			Base:	\$1,500.00
			Per Acre:	\$500.00
			Maximum	\$5,000.00
		e Occupation Permit (Review &		\$200.00
•	Hearing with	Hearing Examiner)		
	Zoning Comp	bliance Letter		\$400.00
	Zoning Code	Interpretation		\$200.00
	Conditional U	Jse Permits (CUP)		
	a.	Minor (Administrative)		\$2,000.00
	b.	Major (Wwith public hearing and		\$4,000.00
***************************************		Hearing Examiner Decision)		
	Variances	* · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		
	a.	Administrative		\$1,000.00
	b.	With public hearing and Hearing		\$2,000.00
	······	Examiner Decision		
	Shoreline Exe	emption		\$150.00
	Shoreline Sul	ostantial Development Permit		
	a. Up to	-		\$350.00
	u. Op 10	ψ10,000		Ψ220.00

Effective 06/26/07 Page 8 Revised 06/15/07

 b. \$10,001 to \$100,000 c. \$100,001 to 500,000 d. \$500,001 to 1,000,000 e. \$1,000,001 + 	\$1,100.00 \$3,000.00 \$5,000.00 \$8,000.00
SEPA Review a. \$0 to \$250,000 b. \$251,000 to \$500,000	\$1,000.00 \$1,400.00
c. \$500,001 to \$1,000,000 d. \$1,000,001 +	\$2,400.00 \$3,400.00
 The fee is waived as to the following projects: The expansion of a single-family residence landward Angle Lake. The construction of an accessory structure landward residence. 	
Inspections and reviews not otherwise covered	Standard hourly rate
Site Plan Review Permit	
Type I a. Cutting of trees, or clearing of vegetation on <u>any commercial or vacant residential</u> property, except a single-family lot that is <u>developed with no restrictive covenants</u> prohibiting the cutting of trees;	\$200.00
b. Addition or changes to paved areas or circulation;	\$200.00400.00
c. "Changes in Use" not covered under another permit;d. Other actions requiring Zoning Code review and compliance per SMC 15.05.040, where	\$400.00
no other City permit is required;Value of work less than \$10,000	\$200.00
• Value of work greater than \$10,000	\$400.00
Type II (Site Plan Review of SEPA Submittal)	\$0 (Included in SEPA Fee)
Temporary Use Fee	\$60.00

POLICE SERVICES:

Concealed Wweapons Ppermit	Original4 year Renewal Late renewal	\$5 <u>6</u> 0.00 \$5 <u>0</u> 32.00 \$42.00
Fingerprint Cards	2 cards Additional cards	\$10.00 \$3.00 each
Public Disclosure Records Requests / Police Reports		Refer to King County Sheriff's Office Records Unit
Records Request for CD recording of holding cell area (i.e. defense attorney letter for Washington State Patrol Case)		\$25.00 per disk

PUBLIC WORKS:

Right of Way Use Permit Fees

Application Fee	
Class A	\$40.00
Class B	\$75.00
Class C Residential less than 30 feet	\$50.00
Class C	\$174.00
Class C in conjunction with another permit	\$93.00
Class D	\$50.00
Class E	\$174.00
Class E in conjunction with another permit	\$93.00

Application Processing Fee

Class A	Standard Hourly Rate
Class B	Standard Hourly Rate
Class C Residential less than 30 feet	Standard Hourly Rate
Class C with	
Engineering plans with drainage facilities	\$800.00
Engineering plans without drainage facilities	\$213.00
Resubmittal, each occurrence - base	\$83.00
Resubmittal, each occurrence - Pper Hhour	Standard Hourly Rate
Revision to previously approved plans	\$139.00
Class D	Standard Hourly Rate

Class E with Engineering and traffic contro Resubmittal, each occurrence Resubmittal, each occurrence Revision to previously approv	- base - P per <u>Hh</u> our	\$250.00 \$83.00 Standard Hourly Rate \$139.00
Daily Use Fee		
Class A Class B Class C Construction inspection Cost of improvement \$ 0 - 30,000 \$ 30,000 - 120,000 \$ 120,001 - or more	1 -	Standard Hourly Rate Standard Hourly Rate \$ 112.00 + \$62/\$1000 Cost \$1,162.00 + 27/\$1000 Cost \$3,562.00 + 7/\$1000 Cost
Maintenance bond inspe	ection -	
Cost of improvement \$ 0 - 30,000 \$ 30,001 - 120,000 \$120,001 - or more		\$ 69 + \$9.70/\$1000 Cost \$234 + 4.20/\$1000 Cost \$570 + 1.40/\$1000 Cost
Class D Class E - One hour per non-hol	iday weekday of hauling	Standard Hourly Rate Standard Hourly Rate
FRANCHISE FEES:		
Administrative Application F	ee e	\$2,000.00
Telecommunications, except Limited by Statute	as prohibited or	5% of Gross Revenues, Annually
Hazardous Liquids Pipelines		Actual administrative costs of franchise administration
Public Works construction pe	ermits fees:	
 A. Application review - 1. Initial review: 2. Initial review in with another per 	_	\$174.00 \$93.00
B. Improvement plan revie		
1. Engineering plan	as with drainage facilities: as without drainage:	\$800.00 \$213.00

	 Resubmittal, each occurrence - Base: Plus per hour: Revision to previously approved plan: 	\$83.00 Standard hourly rate \$139.00
C.	Construction inspection - Cost of improvement \$ 0 - 30,000 \$ 30,000 - 120,000	\$ 112 + \$62/\$1000 Cost \$1,162 + 27/\$1000 Cost
D.	\$120,001 - or more Maintenance bond inspection - Cost of improvement \$ 0 - 30,000 \$ 30,001 - 120,000 \$120,001 - or more	\$3,562 + 7/\$1000 Cost \$ 69 + \$9.70/\$1000 Cost \$234 + 4.20/\$1000 Cost \$570 + 1.40/\$1000 Cost
E. F.	Code enforcement inspection: Inspection of electronic devices:	Standard hourly rate Standard hourly rate

Grading permits fees:

Grading permits

0 - 100 cubic yards

\$150.00

Grading permit plan review fees.

A. The plan review fee shall be calculated by adding the application amounts from Tables 1 and 2; provided the maximum plan review fee shall not exceed \$ 35,000.00:

TABLE 1:		
VOLUME	BASE	Per 100 cu. yds.
101 to 3,000 cu. yds.	\$ 0.00	\$14.50
3,001 to 10,000 cu. yds.	\$ 144.00	\$ 9.70
10,001 to 20, cu. yds.	\$ 824.00	\$ 2.90
20,001 to 40,000 cu. yds.	\$1,244.00	\$ 0.80
40,001 to 80,000 cu. yds.	\$1,364.00	\$ 0.50
80,001 cu. yds, and more	\$1,604.00	\$ 0.20
TADIE 4.		
TABLE 2:	DACE	Don 100 ov ryda
DISTRIBUTED AREA	BASE 58,00	Per 100 cu. yds.
Up to 1 acre	\$ 58.00	\$271.40
2 to 10 acre	\$ 126.00	\$203.50
11 to 40 acre	\$ 966.00	\$119.00
41 to 120 acre	\$ 3,454.00	\$ 57.30
121 to 360 acre	\$ 7,606.00	\$ 22.70
361 acres and more	\$11,494.00	\$ 11.90

B.	Plan revision fee	Each occurrence	\$80.00
		Plus hourly rate	Standard hourly rate

Grading permit operation monitoring fees.

A. The operation monitoring fee shall be calculated by adding the applicable amount from Annual Volume Table to an amount equal to \$80.00 per acre distributed and not rehabilitated during the monitoring period.

ANNUAL VOLUME TABLE:

VOLUME DEPOSITED OR REMOVED	<u>BASE</u>	Per 100 cu. yds.
0 to 3,000 cu. yds.	\$ 0.00	\$33.80
3,001 to 10, cu. yds.	\$ 843.00	\$ 5.70
10,001 to 20,000 cu. yds.	\$1,243.00	\$ 1.70
20,001 to 40,000 cu. yds.	\$1,423.00	\$ 0.80
40,001 to 80,000 cu. yds.	\$1,543.00	\$ 0.50
80,001 cu. yds and more	\$1,663.00	\$ 0.20
B. Reclamation bond release inspection:		\$93.00
C. Reinspection of non-bonded actions:		\$93.00
Grading permit general fee provision.		
A. Grading permit fee reduction for projects completed within one year: or		40.00%
B. Grading permit fee reduction for projects reviewed in conjunction with building permits, subdivisions, short subdivisions or planned unit developments: or		50.00%
developments. or		30.0070
C. Initial plan review fee reduction for projects reviewed within one year of unclassified use or Quarry Mining (Q-M) reclassification approval: and		90.00%
D. Grading permit fee for permits over 100 cubic yards shall be reduced by the fee calculated from the Uniform Building Code.		

Subdivision - Engineering review fees:

A	. Sh	ort sub	odivision		
	1.	Plan	and profile, single short pla	at -	
		a.	Single short plat		\$500.00
		b.	Two or more simultaneo	us applications	
			for adjacent short plats o		
			· ·	Base:	\$625.00
				Plus per lot:	\$14.00
		c.	Supplemental plan and p	•	•
			drainage facilities:		\$625.00

	2.	Revis	sions to previously approve	ed plans:	\$139.00
			1 3 11	Plus per hour:	Standard hourly rate
				1	•
В.	Su	bdivisi	on		
	1.	Plan	and profile		
		a.	30 lots or less	Base:	\$1,528.00
				Plus per lot:	\$8.30
		b.	31 lots or more	Base:	\$1,651.00
		0.		Plus per lot:	\$4.20
				ras per tot.	· · · · ·
l	2.	Resul	bmittal	Base:	\$83.00
	~.	TCODU.		Plus per hour	Standard hourly rate
				ras per nour	Startage Hourry Tate
	3	Revis	sions to approved plans	Base:	\$83.00
	٥.	10011	sions to approved plans	Plus per hour:	Standard hourly rate
				rus per nour.	Stallaala libally late
C	P12	anned I	Unit Development		
О.			and profile		
	1.	a.	30 lots or less	Base:	\$1,875.00
		a.	50 10ts 01 1css	Plus per unit:	\$13.90
		b.	31 lots or more	Base:	\$2,085.00
		υ.	31 lots of more	Plus per unit:	\$6.90
				rius per unit.	\$0.50
	2	Resub	mittal	Base:	\$83.00
	۷.	Kesub	mittai	Plus per hour:	Standard hourly rate
				rius per nour.	Standard Hourty Fate
	3	Davie	ions to approved plans	Base:	\$83.00
	٥.	Kevisi	ions to approved plans	Plus per hour	Standard hourly rate
				rius per nour	Standard Hourty rate
D	C_{α}	ncentu	al Binding Site Plan		
D.	. Co	_	and profile	Base:	\$782.00
	2.		bmittal	Base:	\$83.00
	۷.	Nesul	UIIIIIIIII	Dasc.	\$65.00
				TO 1.1	D ' 106/15/05

Plus per hour:

Standard hourly rate

3. Revisions to approved plans

Base:

\$83.00

Plus per hour:

Standard hourly rate

Drainage Plan Review - Commercial:

Total disturbed area	Amount
0-1/2 site acre	\$800.00
½-1 site acre	\$1,000.00
1-2 site acre	\$1,600.00
2-5 site acre	\$3,200.00
5-10 site acres	\$3,800.00
More than 10 acres	\$4,200.00

Commercial traffic circulation review:

a.	On-site review only-no right-of-way improvements	\$160.00
b.	On-site and right-of-way improvements review	\$480.00
c.	Review for compliance with SEPA conditions	\$160.00

STANDARD BONDING RATE:

The standard bonding rate is set at 150% of the cost of the work to bonded.

TRANSPORTATION IMPACT FEES:

Transportation Impact Fees apply to all new developments and the increase in P.M. peak trips resulting from redevelopment.

Rate per	single	family,	residential	unit:
_				

\$777.00

Rate per P.M. peak trips

\$773.001,020.00

Miscellaneous:

Plans

\$1 Per lineal foot

(or 100% of actual cost if outside service is utilized)

Road vacation application fee

\$250.00

Road vacation processing fee

\$250.00

Related inspections and other services

Standard hourly rate

Landowner's use of excess right-of-way

12% of assessed value per year

Over-legal load permits, State fee, plus

\$10.00

Variance, Public Works - Administrative

\$200.00

Building Permit Fees (SMC 13.110)

<u>Total</u>	Permit Fee
<u>Valuation</u>	
\$1.00 to	\$50.00
\$500.00	
\$501.00 to	\$29.38 for the first \$500.00 plus \$3.81 for each additional \$1,000, or
\$2,000	fraction thereof, to and including \$2,000; however, no fee shall be less than \$50.00
\$2,001 to	\$86.56 for the first \$2,000 plus \$17.50 for each additional \$1,000, or
\$25,000	fraction thereof, to and including \$25,000
\$25,001 to	\$489.06 for the first \$25,000 plus \$12.63 for each additional \$1,000, or
\$50,000	fraction thereof, to and including \$50,000
\$50,001 to	\$804.69 for the first \$50,000 plus \$8.75 for each additional \$1,000, or
\$100,000	fraction thereof, to and including \$100,000
\$100,001 to	\$1,242.19 for the first \$100,000 plus \$7.00 for each additional \$1,000, or
\$500,000	fraction thereof, to and including \$500,000
\$500,001 to	\$4,042.19 for the first \$500,000 plus \$5.94 for each additional \$1,000, or
\$1,000,000	fraction thereof, to and including \$1,000,000
Over	\$7,010.94 for the first \$1,000,000 plus \$3.94 for each additional \$1,000,
\$1,000,000	or fraction thereof
O(1 T	1 P

Other Inspections and Fees:

- 1. Plan review fee is equal to 65% of the permit fee.
- 2. Inspections outside the normal business hours (minimum 4 hours) \$97.50 per hour.
- 3. Reinspection fees \$65.00 per hour (minimum 1 hour).
- 4. Inspections for which no fee is specifically indicated (minimum 1 hour) \$65.00 per hour.
- 5. Additional plan review required by changes, additions or revisions to plans (minimum 1 hour) \$65.00 per hour.
- 6. For use of outside consultants for plan checking and inspections, or both, Actual Cost
- 7. Demolition permit fee for buildings less than 500 square feet is \$50.00.
- 8. Minimum demolition permit fee for buildings 500 square feet or greater is \$150.00.
- 9. Permit for re-roofing a single-family residence is \$45.00.

Sign Permit Fees

<u>Valuation</u>	Permit Fee
\$250.00 or less	\$54.00
\$251.00 to \$1,000	\$54.00 plus 4% of cost over \$250.00
\$1,001 to \$5,000	\$84.00 plus 2% of cost over \$1,000
\$5,001 to \$50,000	\$164.00 plus 1.64% of cost over \$5,000
\$50,001 to \$250,000	\$902.00 plus 1.2% of cost over \$50,000

\$250,001 to \$1,000,000 \$1,000,001 and up \$3,302 plus .8% of cost over \$250,000 \$9,677 plus .4% of cost over \$1,000,000

Other Inspections and Fees:

- 1. In addition to the permit fee, a plan review fee must be paid at the time of permit application, equal to 20% of the permit fee. The minimum plan review fee shall be \$65.
- 2. Inspections outside the normal business hours (minimum 4 hours) \$97.50 per hour.
- 3. Reinspection fees \$65.00 per hour (minimum 1 hour).
- 4. Inspections for which no fee is specifically indicated (minimum 1 hour) \$65.00 per hour.
- 5. Additional plan review required by changes, additions and revisions to plans (minimum 1 hour) \$65.00 per hour.
- 6. For use of outside consultants for plan checking and inspections, or both, Actual Cost.

Electrical Permits (SMC 13.180):

Single Family Dwellings

NEW CONSTRUCTION	
New single family dwelling (includes a garage)	\$140.00
Garages, Pools, Spas, Outbuildings	\$75.00
Low voltage systems	\$55.00
Single Family Remodel and Service Changes Service change or alteration – no added/altered circuits	\$75.00
Solvino change of uncommon no added uncome the sale	Ψ, ε.σ.σ.
Service change with added/altered circuits	\$75.00 plus \$10.00 per each added circuit (maximum \$140.00 permit fee)
Circuits added/altered without service change (including up to five (5) circuits)	\$50.00
Circuits added/altered without service change (more than five (5) circuits)	\$50.00 plus \$7.00 per each added circuit (maximum \$90.00 permit fee)
Meter/mast repair	\$65.00
Noise remedy modification permit	\$90.00
Low voltage systems	\$55.00

Multi-Family and Commercial (including low voltage)

Valuation Amount	Fee
\$ 250 or less	\$ 54
\$ 251 - 1,000	\$ 54 plus 4% of cost over 250
\$ 1,001 - 5,000	\$ 84 plus 2% of cost over 1,000
\$ 5,001 – 50,000	\$ 164 plus 1.64% of cost of 5,000
\$ 50,001 - 250,000	\$ 902 plus 1.2% of cost over 50,000
\$ 250,001 - 1,000,000	\$3,302 plus .85% of cost over 250,000
\$1,000,001 and up	\$9,677 plus .5% of cost over one-million

Plan Review Fee – In addition to the permit fee, when plan review is required, including fire alarm systems, a plan review fee must be paid at the time of permit application equal to 20% of the permit fee with a minimum of \$65.

Electrical Annual Permit Fee.

For commercial/industrial location employing full-time electrical maintenance staff or having a yearly maintenance contract with a licensed electrical contractor. Note, all yearly maintenance contracts must detail the number of contractor electricians necessary to complete the work required under the contract. This number will be used as a basis for calculating the appropriate fee. Each inspection is based on a 2-hour maximum.

	Number of Inspections	Fee
	Included	
1 to 3 plant electricians	12	\$1,710.80
4 to 6 plant electricians	24	\$3,423.30
7 to 12 plant electricians	36	\$5,134.60
13 to 25 plant electricians	52	\$6,847.10
More than 25 plant	52	\$8,559.60
electricians		

Note: Annual permit fees are to-valid for inspections at one facility (or site) only.

<u>Miscellaneous</u>	
Temporary service (residential)	\$54.00
Manufactured/Mobile home service (does not include garage or	\$80.00
outbuildings)	
Carnivals	
Base fee	\$75.00
Each concession	\$10.00
Inspection or plan review not specified elsewhere	\$65.00 per hour
Signs – See separate fee schedule	

Other Inspections and Fees:

- 1. Permit costs include the normal plan review associated with the application.
- 2. Inspections outside the normal business hours (minimum 4 hours) \$97.50 per hour.
- 3. Reinspection fees \$65.00 per hour (minimum 1 hour).
- 4. Inspections for which no fee is specifically indicated (minimum 1 hour) \$65.00 per hour.
- 5. Additional plan review required by changes, additions or revisions to plans (minimum 1 hour) \$65.00 per hour.
- 6. For use of outside consultants for plan checking and inspections, or both, Actual Cost.

Mechanical Permits (SMC 13.160):

Single Family Dwellings

Shight Fahing Dwehnigs	
New single family dwelling*	\$150.00
New Installation/existing dwelling* (existing dwelling with no	
existing ducting or venting)	\$150.00
*Gas piping included under these permits	
Additions and Remodels to Single Family Dwellings	
Additions and Remodels to Single Family Dwellings Each new or replaced appliance*	\$50.00
	\$50.00 \$150.00
Each new or replaced appliance*	•

Multi-Family and Commercial

Valuation Amount	Fee
\$ 250 or less	\$ 45
\$ 251 - 1,000	\$ 45 plus 4% of cost over 250
\$ 1,001 -5,000	\$ 75 plus 1.5% of cost over 1,000
\$ 5,001 – 50,000	\$ 135 plus 1.4% of cost of 5,0000
\$ 50,001 -250,000	\$ 765 plus 1% of cost over 50,000
\$ 250,001 - 1,000,000	\$2,765 plus .8% of cost over 250,000
\$1,000,001 and up	\$8,765 plus .4% of cost over 1,000,000

Other Inspections or Fees:

- 1. Permit costs include the normal plan review associated with the application.
- 2. Inspections outside the normal business hours (minimum 4 hours) \$97.50 per hour.
- 3. Reinspection fees \$65.00 per hour (minimum 1 hour).
- 4. Inspections for which no fee is specifically indicated (minimum 1 hour) \$65.00 per hour.
- 5. Additional plan review required by changes, additions or revisions to plans (minimum 1 hour) \$65.00 per hour.
- 6. For use of outside consultants for plan checking and inspections, or both, Actual Cost.

Plumbing permits (SMC 13.170):

Single Family Dwellings

\$150.00

New Single Family Dwelling	
i to the Simple i diffinity is the similar	

Additions and Remodels to Single Family Dwellings

Adding one to five fixtures	\$50.00
Adding six to ten fixtures	\$70.00
Over ten fixtures	\$150.00

Multi-Family and Commercial

Valuation Amount	Fee
\$ 250 or less	\$ 45
\$ 251 - 1,000	\$ 45 plus 4% of cost over 250
\$ 1,001 - 5,000	\$ 75 plus 1.5% of cost over 1,000
\$ 5,001 – 50,000	\$ 135 plus 1.4% of cost of 5,000
\$ 50,001 - 250,000	\$ 765 plus 1% of cost over 50,000
\$ 250,000 - 1,000,000	\$2,765 plus .8% of cost over 250,000
\$1,000,001 and up	\$8,765 plus .4% of cost over 1,000,000

Other Inspections or Fees:

- 1. Permit costs include the normal plan review associated with the application.
- 2. Inspections outside the normal business hours (minimum 4 hours) \$97.50 per hour.
- 3. Reinspection fees \$65.00 per hour (minimum 1 hour).
- 4. Inspections for which no fee is specifically indicated (minimum 1 hour) \$65.00 per hour.
- 5. Additional plan review required by changes, additions or revisions to plans (minimum 1 hour) \$65.00 per hour.
- 6. For use of outside consultants for plan checking and inspections, or both, Actual Cost.

Fuel Storage Tanks:

Removal of fuel storage tank (FST), other
than residential or farm, less than 1,100 gallons. \$250.00
Additional tanks inspected at same time: \$100.00 each

Fire Sprinkler Permit Fee Schedule (SMC 13.150):

Single Family Dwellings

New Single Family Dwelling	\$175.00
Addition to existing system	\$110.00

Multi-Family and Commercial

Valuation Amount	Fee
\$ 250 or less	\$ 45
\$ 251 - 1,000	\$ 45 plus 4% of cost over 250
\$ 1,001 - 5,000	\$ 75 plus 1.5% of cost over 1,000
\$ 5,001 – 50,000	\$ 135 plus 1.4% of cost of 5,000
\$ 50,001 - 250,000	\$ 765 plus 1% of cost over 50,000
\$ 250,001 - 1,000,000	\$2,765 plus .8% of cost over 250,000
\$1,000,001 and up	\$8,765 plus .4% of cost over 1,000,000

Other Inspections and Fees:

- 1. Plan review for fire sprinkler permits shall be computed at 50% of the permit fee as based on the valuation amount.
- 2. Inspections outside the normal business hours (minimum 4 hours) \$97.50 per hour.
- 3. Reinspection fees \$65.00 per hour (minimum 1 hour).
- 4. Inspections for which no fee is specifically indicated (minimum 1 hour) \$65.00 per hour.
- 5. Additional plan review required by changes, additions or revisions to plans (minimum 1 hour) \$65.00 per hour.
- 6. For use of outside consultants for plan checking and inspections, or both, Actual Cost.

PARKS AND RECREATION:

Community Center	Resident	Non-Resident
Facility Rental-Banquet Room	\$60.00-80.00/hour	\$70.00-90.00/hour
Facility Rental-Gymnasium	\$50.00-60.00/hour	\$75.00-85.00/hour
Facility Rental-Multipurpose Room	\$7.50-17.50/hour	\$10.00-20.00/hour
Facility Rental-Arts/Crafts	\$5.00-50.00/hour	\$20.00-60.00/hour
Staff Rental	\$18.00-25.00/hour	\$18.00-25.00/hour
Drop-In Aerobics	\$2.00-10.00	\$2.00-10.00
Weight Room	\$2.00-6.00	\$2.00-6.00
Weight Room (monthly)	\$20.00-30.00	\$20.00-30.00
Shower	\$2.00	\$2.00
Picnic Shelter Rental/Stage	\$55.00-70.00	\$70.00-80.00
Field/Lighting	Resident	Non-Resident
Application Fee	\$7.00-10.00	\$7.00-10.00
Practice Fee	\$6.00-11.00	\$6.00-11.00
Game Fee	\$14.00-30.00	\$14.00-30.00
Tournament Fee		
Weekend	\$500.00	\$600.00
Per Day	\$400.00	\$500.00
Lights	\$14.00-20.00/hour	\$14.00-20.00/hour
North SeaTac Park Practice & Games		

Ballfield		\$115.00 per game
Soccer		\$45.00 per game
Synthetic Turf Fields	Youth	\$20.00 per hour
	<u>Adult</u>	\$65.00 per hour

Recreation Programs

Recreation Programs are designated by major category with a fee range. Fees for specific programs will vary within the range indicated, based on the number of participants, duration of program, instruction costs and operational supplies.

Sport Classes	\$9.00-615.00
Recreation Classes	\$8.00-205.00
Senior Programs	\$7.50-94.00
Teen Programs	\$10.00-100.00
Camp Programs	\$5.00-155.00
Special Events	\$5.00-91.00

STANDARD HOURLY RATE (applies to all City departments):

The standard hourly rate is set at \$65.00 per hour. When inspections or other services are required after normal business hours, the rate is increased to one and one-half times the standard hourly rate, with a four-hour minimum call back charge. These rates shall also apply to agreed requests for expedited inspections, reviews, or other services, if City personnel are available to work on an overtime basis.

OTHER:

City Facility Rental (not covered elsewhere)

\$5.00-60.00 per hour

CONSULTANT FEES:

When consultant services are required, and when use of a consultant is mutually agreed upon to provide expedited services, all consultant fees shall be paid directly by the applicant, or shall be reimbursed at 100% of actual fees charged.

RESOLUTION NO. <u>07-012</u>

A RESOLUTION of the City Council of the City of SeaTac, Washington expressing the City Council's intent for the City staff and City Council to work cooperatively with the City of Des Moines to coordinate transportation and land use planning and development efforts in the 24/28th Avenue South, Des Moines Memorial Drive, South 216th Street and International Boulevard/Pacific Highway South (SR 99) corridors.

WHEREAS, the Cities of Des Moines and SeaTac (Cities) anticipate significant development along SR 99, Des Moines Memorial Drive, South 216th Street as well as the 24th/28th Avenue South corridor that affects land use, zoning and transportation that will significantly affect each City and its residents, and

WHEREAS, significant regional public transportation improvements have been and are expected to be approved in the future, and

WHEREAS, significant commercial property redevelopment is currently and will be occurring in each City necessitating the need for coordinated planning and environmental review, and

WHEREAS, the Cities of Des Moines and SeaTac express their respective intent to work cooperatively to provide for development in a way to meet the development needs and timetables of each City, and

WHEREAS, the 24/28th Avenue South Corridor Project ("Corridor Project") crosses the boundaries of Des Moines and SeaTac and both Cities seek to work cooperatively to provide vehicular access, utilities and aesthetic improvements along the corridor to support these needs when they occur, and

WHEREAS, the Cities may recognize some economies in working cooperatively to provide for a process that is consistent for all property owners in the Corridor Project benefit area, and

WHEREAS, the Cities further agree that to discuss transportation funding including, but not limited to, the option of using one or more Local Improvement District(S) (LID) as a viable funding source, each City agrees to consider requiring a "no protest" LID Agreement from the property owner as development or redevelopment occurs for those properties in the proposed benefit area to facilitate this option should the City elect to form such an LID in the future, and

WHEREAS, the Cities agree to jointly pursue grant opportunities through State and Federal sources as a supplemental funding source, and

WHEREAS, the Cities further agree that should an LID become a part of a funding package to construct the final improvements in the corridor, both Cities agree to consider cooperating to make the construction in the two Cities one construction project, with one City

named as the lead agency for the project, and establish a process to mutually select the consulting or management firm for the project in order to avoid unnecessary duplication of costs, and efforts, and

WHEREAS, the Cities also agree to consider the impacts that this Corridor Project may have on future Sound Transit Light Rail Corridor and the impacts to this project in its planning; now therefore,

NOW, THEREFORE, THE CITY COUNCIL OF THE CITY OF SEATAC, WASHINGTON HEREBY RESOLVES as follows:

- (1) That the City of SeaTac intends to work cooperatively with the City of Des Moines to coordinate transportation and land use planning and development efforts in the 24th/28th Ave South/SR 99 corridors, Des Moines Memorial Drive, and South 216th Street including, but not limited to, consideration of one or more interlocal agreements providing for completion of 24th/28th Avenue South, reciprocal assessment of traffic impact fees, coordination of SEPA actions, redevelopment of commercial and residential properties, redevelopment of South 208th Street, transit-oriented development.
- (2) Recognizing that time is of the essence, the City commits to working expeditiously on these matters with an aggressive schedule and well defined milestones.
- (3) The Council requests that progress updates be provided to the Transportation & Public Works Committee on an ongoing basis.
- (4) City staff is authorized to obtain "no protest" LID agreement from property owners in the mutually agreed upon benefit area, which is depicted in Exhibit A to this Resolution.
- (5) The City Clerk is directed to transmit a copy of this Resolution to the City of Des Moines.

PASSED this 10th day of July, 2007 and signed in authentication thereof on this 10th day of July, 2007.

CITY OF SEATAC

	Gene Fisher, Mayor	
ATTEST:		
Kristina Gregg, City Clerk		
Approved as to Form:		
Mary Mirante Bartolo, City Attorney		

RESOLUTION NO. <u>07-013</u>

A RESOLUTION of the City Council of the City of SeaTac, Washington, authorizing entry into an Amended and Restated Development Agreement between the City and SeaTac Airport Parking, LLC; L&R Investment Company; and 18613 International, LLC.

WHEREAS, RCW 36.70B.170 through .200 and SMC 15.05.057 authorize the City to enter into Development Agreements with persons or entities having ownership or control of real property within the City; and

WHEREAS, SeaTac Airport Parking, LLC, a Washington Limited Liability Company, and L & R Investment Company, a California general partnership, and 18613 International LLC, a Washington Limited Liability Company (hereinafter "Owner"), are the entities having ownership of certain real property located at 18445, 18601 and 18613 International Boulevard, within the City; and

WHEREAS, pursuant to Council Resolution No.04-018, the City and the Owner entered into a Development Agreement, filed on February 8, 2005 under King County Recorder's No. 20050208001970, relating to the said real property which is more particularly described in the Amended and Restated Development Agreement; and

WHEREAS, pursuant to Council Resolution No. 05-009, that City and the Owner entered into an amended and restated Development Agreement, dated June 28, 2005 and recorded under King County recording number 20051215000001, to address issues related to the construction of the project in one phase, and provide for the demolition of the Airport Plaza Hotel; and

WHEREAS, the Owner has requested a second Amended and Restated Development Agreement, because Sound Transit is in the process of acquiring a significant portion of the Owner's property that abuts 28th Avenue South, for the purpose of constructing light rail; and

WHEREAS, the Sound Transit property acquisition resulted in the Owner to redesign the project; and

WHEREAS, the representatives of the Owner and the City have conducted negotiations toward such an amendment and restatement; and

WHEREAS, notice was published pursuant to SMC 16A.13.010, and the Council has held a public hearing; and

WHEREAS, the Council finds that the proposed changes satisfy the criteria of SMC 15.22.055 and remain generally consistent with current City development regulations and provide significant public benefit;

NOW, THEREFORE, THE CITY COUNCIL OF THE CITY OF SEATAC, WASHINGTON HEREBY RESOLVES as follows:

- 1. The City Manager is authorized to execute, on behalf of the City, an Amended and Restated Development Agreement with SeaTac Airport Parking, LLC, L & R Investment Company, and 18613 International, LLC, generally in the form attached to this Resolution as Exhibit A.
- 2. The City Clerk shall cause the fully executed document to be filed with the King County Recorder and to cause the prior filing under Recorder's No. 20051215000001 to be released.

PASSED this <u>24th</u> day of <u>July</u>, 2007 and signed in authentication thereof on this <u>24th</u> day of <u>July</u>, 2007.

	CITY OF SEATAC
	Gene Fisher, Mayor
ATTEST:	
Kristina Gregg, City Clerk	
Approved as to Form:	
Mary E. Mirante Bartolo, City Attorney	

[L&R Amended and Restated D.A.]

RESOLUTION NO. <u>07-014</u>

A RESOLUTION of the City Council of the City of SeaTac, Washington authorizing the Director of Finance to issue and sign checks for payment of claims before the City Council has had the opportunity to approve said claims, so long as the requirements of RCW 42.24.180 are met.

WHEREAS, RCW 42.24.180 provides that the City Council may authorize the issuance of checks in payment of claims provided that certain statutory requirements are followed, including 1) the auditing officer obtains a bond in an amount not less than \$50,000; 2) the Council adopt contracting, hiring, purchasing, and disbursing policies that implement effective internal controls; 3) the Council approve claims paid at the next regularly scheduled meeting; and 4) if the Council disapproves a claim, any amount paid becomes a receivable to the City; and

WHEREAS, the City Council deems that it is appropriate to make said authorization;

NOW, THEREFORE, THE CITY COUNCIL OF THE CITY OF SEATAC, WASHINGTON HEREBY RESOLVES as follows:

1. The Director of Finance is authorized to issue and sign checks for payment of claims before the City Council has had the opportunity to approve said claims, so long as the requirements of RCW 42.24.180 are met.

PASSED this <u>24th</u> day of <u>July</u>, 2007 and signed in authentication thereof on this <u>24th</u> day of <u>July</u>, 2007.

CITY OF SEATAC	
Gene Fisher, Mayor	

ATTEST:
Kristina Gregg, City Clerk
Approved as to Form:
Mary Mirante Bartolo, City Attorney

[Payment of Claims prior to Council Approval]

RESOLUTION NO. _07-015

A RESOLUTION of the City Council of the City of SeaTac, Washington, stating its intent to purchase the "Community Access Point" property from Sound Transit.

WHEREAS, the City Council adopted the SeaTac/Airport Light Rail Station Area Action Plan (SAAP) to offer a vision for the land uses and development patterns that are preferable surrounding this Light Rail Station; and

WHEREAS, the City Council intends to encourage mixed-use, transit-oriented development, as called for in the SAAP, adjacent to the Sound Transit's forthcoming SeaTac/Airport Light Rail Station; and

WHEREAS, the City Council is in support of the intent of Dollar Development to construct a mixed-use, transit-oriented development within this station area, at the corner of 176th Street and International Boulevard; and

WHEREAS, the City Council recognizes that the development of this project is contingent upon City ownership and control of the "Community Access Point" property at the corner of International Boulevard and 176th Street; and

WHEREAS, the City Council has the option to purchase this property as referenced in Paragraph 6.4 of the Development and Transit Way Agreement for the Sound Transit Central Link Light Rail Airport Link Project between the City of SeaTac and Sound Transit, dated February 16, 2006; and

WHEREAS, the City Council recognized in May 2007 that the purchase of this property was a top City priority and that this expense was budgeted for in the City's 2007 Budget;

NOW, THEREFORE, THE CITY COUNCIL OF THE CITY OF SEATAC, WASHINGTON HEREBY RESOLVES as follows:

The City intends to purchase the "Community Access Point" property from Sound Transit subject to subsequent approval by the City Council of the costs pertaining to the acquisition.

PASSED this <u>6th</u> day of <u>August</u>, 2007 and signed in authentication thereof on this <u>6th</u> day of <u>August</u>, 2007.

	CITY OF SEATAC
	Ralph Shape, Deputy Mayor
ATTEST:	
Kristina Gregg, City Clerk	
Approved as to Form:	
Mary E. Mirante Bartolo, City Attorney	

[Community Access Point Purchase]

RESOLUTION NO. <u>07-016</u>

A RESOLUTION of the City Council of the City of SeaTac, Washington setting forth a process for evaluation of the City Manager.

WHEREAS, RCW 35.13 provides that the City Manager serves at the pleasure of the City Council; and

WHEREAS, the City Council believes that it is appropriate to have a written process for evaluating the City Manager on a yearly basis, consistent with all applicable laws and the City Council's Administrative Procedures;

NOW, THEREFORE, THE CITY COUNCIL OF THE CITY OF SEATAC, WASHINGTON HEREBY RESOLVES as follows:

Section 1. In order to establish and maintain effective City Council and City Manager relations, it is essential that the City Council establish an ongoing evaluation process that offers an opportunity for each party to review the performance of the City Manager. This evaluation should focus on how effectively the City Manager is accomplishing the goals established by the City Council and how he/she is carrying out his/her responsibilities in key performance areas. Specifically, the evaluation should serve the following needs:

- 1) Allow the City Manager and City Council to test, identify, and refine their respective roles, relationships, and expectations of responsibilities to each other.
- 2) Compare the progress of the City toward achieving the interim goals mutually agreed to by the City Council and the City Manager during the Budget Process.
- 3) Allow discussion of the City Manager's strengths and weaknesses as demonstrated by performance during the evaluation period, with the objective of increasing the City Manager's effectiveness.
- 4) Give the City Council the opportunity to provide positive feedback in areas that have been handled well and to clarify areas where the City Manager could become more effective through improved performance.

Section 2. The following process is established to conduct the performance evaluation of the City Manager.

- 1) The evaluation process shall occur each year within sixty (60) days of the City Manager's contract anniversary date. However, the Council may require an additional evaluation at any time during the year.
- 2) The City Manager shall complete the City Manager's Evaluation Form approximately one month before an evaluation is scheduled.
- 3) Approximately two weeks before the evaluation is scheduled, the Mayor shall provide each Councilmember with a copy of the evaluation form and the Evaluation Form completed by the City Manager.
- 4) Councilmembers and the Mayor shall each complete an evaluation form and then meet to review their evaluations.
- 5) A Councilmember, designated by the Mayor, shall tabulate the evaluation results.
- 6) The composite evaluation by the Mayor and City Council, along with the Evaluation Form completed by the City Manager, shall be distributed by the Mayor to the City Council and the City Manager prior to the City Manager's evaluation meeting.
- 7) The City Council shall meet with the City Manager to jointly review the evaluation.
- 8) The Mayor shall review with the City Council the City Manager's employment adjustment request, including salary and benefits, and shall also solicit additional adjustment suggestions from the Council.
- **Section 3.** Any performance evaluation of the City Manager shall not be construed as a contractual promise, a contract or covenant of employment, nor is it a guarantee of employment if certain performance standards are met. Continued employment of the City Manager is solely a decision of the City Council.
- **Section 4.** Should any provision of this Resolution conflict with the provisions of an employment contract between the City Manager and the City, the provisions of the contract shall prevail.
- **Section 5.** The evaluation form to be utilized as part of the City Manager evaluation process outlined in Section 2 of this Resolution is attached as Exhibit A.

PASSED this 6 th day of August , 2007 and signed in authentication thereo			
on this 6th day of August, 2007.			
	CITY OF SEATAC		
	Ralph Shape, Deputy Mayor		
ATTEST:			
Kristina Gregg, City Clerk			
Approved as to Form:			
Mary E. Mirante Bartolo, City Attorney			
[City Manager Performance Review Process]			

RESOLUTION NO. 07-017

A RESOLUTION of the City Council of the City of SeaTac, Washington amending Resolution 07-011 and the City of SeaTac Schedule of License Fees, Permit Fees, and Other Fees and Charges for City Services.

WHEREAS, the City Council has, by Resolution, previously adopted a City of SeaTac Schedule of Fees, Permit Fees and Other Fees and Charges for City Services; and

WHEREAS, it is appropriate for the Council to adopt fees by Resolution rather than by Ordinance; and

WHEREAS, it is appropriate to update the fee schedule accordingly;

NOW, THEREFORE, THE CITY COUNCIL OF THE CITY OF SEATAC, WASHINGTON HEREBY RESOLVES as follows:

That the City's Schedule of License Fees, Permit Fees, and Other Fees and Charges for City Services is hereby amended as set forth on the attached Exhibit "A", which is incorporated herein by this reference.

PASSED this 11th day of September, 2007 and signed in authentication thereof on this 11th day of September, 2007.

	CITY OF SEATAC	
ATTEST:	Gene Fisher, Mayor	
Kristina Gregg, City Clerk		
Approved as to Form:		
Mary Mirante Bartolo, City Attorney		

RESOLUTION NO. <u>07-018</u>

A RESOLUTION of the City Council of the City of SeaTac, Washington declaring the December 2006 windstorm disaster closed.

WHEREAS, a windstorm on December 14-15, 2006 caused an emergency by creating damage and injuries within the City of SeaTac; and

WHEREAS, persons and property were threatened and damaged due to the December 14-15, 2006 windstorm; and

WHEREAS, on January 9, 2007, the City Council passed Resolution No. 07-001 ratifying the Proclamation of Emergency dated December 15, 2006, and ratifying the decision to let a contract with Allied Waste for curbside storm debris removal; and

WHEREAS, the City has submitted all bills associated with the windstorm to FEMA; and

WHEREAS, FEMA is responsible for reimbursing the City \$71,000 for costs associated with the storm; and

WHEREAS, there are no outstanding bills to be paid; and

WHEREAS, this matter went before the Public Safety and Justice Committee and the Administration and Finance Committee on July 10, 2007 and it is the Committees' recommendation that the December 14-15, 2006 windstorm disaster be closed;

NOW, THEREFORE, THE CITY COUNCIL OF THE CITY OF SEATAC, WASHINGTON HEREBY RESOLVES as follows:

The City Council of the City of SeaTac hereby declares that the December 14-15, 2006 windstorm disaster be closed.

PASSED this <u>11th</u> day of <u>September</u>, 2007 and signed in authentication thereof on this <u>11th</u> day of <u>September</u>, 2007.

	CITY OF SEATAC	
	Gene Fisher, Mayor	
ATTEST:		
Vuisting Curry Claule		
Kristina Gregg, City Clerk Approved as to Form:		
Mary E. Mirante Bartolo, City Attorney		

[2006 windstorm disaster closed]

RESOLUTION NO. <u>07-019</u>

A RESOLUTION of the City Council of the City of SeaTac, Washington expressing the City Council's support of Sound Transit (a Regional Transit Authority) and RTID (a Regional Transportation Investment District) Proposition #1—Regional Roads and Transit System, to be presented to the electorate on November 6, 2007.

WHEREAS, Sound Transit and RTID Proposition #1 (RTID Proposition #1) will be presented to the voters at the general election on November 6, 2007, with the following official Ballot Title and Description:

Sound Transit (a Regional Transit Authority) and RTID (a Regional Transportation Investment District) Proposition #1 - Regional Roads & Transit System.

To reduce transportation congestion, increase road and transit capacity, promote safety, facilitate mobility, provide for an integrated regional transportation system, and improve the health, welfare, and safety of the citizens of Washington, shall Sound Transit (a regional transit authority) implement a regional rail and transit system linking Lynnwood, Shoreline, Northgate, Seattle, Bellevue, Redmond, SeaTac airport, Kent, Federal Way and Tacoma as described in the Sound Transit 2 plan, financed by the existing taxes approved by the voters in 1996 and an additional sales and use tax of up to five-tenths of one percent imposed by Sound Transit, all as provided in Resolution No. R2007-15; and shall a regional transportation investment district (RTID) be formed and authorized to implement and invest in improving the regional transportation system by replacing vulnerable bridges, improving safety, and increasing capacity on state and local roads to further link major education, employment, and retail centers as described in Moving Forward Together: A Blueprint for Progress - King Pierce Snohomish Counties, financed by a sales and use tax of one-tenth of one percent and a local motor vehicle excise tax of eight-tenths of one percent imposed by RTID, all as provided in Resolution No. PC-2007-02; further provided that the Sound Transit taxes shall be imposed only within the boundaries of Sound Transit, and the RTID taxes shall be imposed only within the boundaries of the RTID? [] YES [] NO

WHEREAS, regional traffic congestion costs Puget Sound residents over \$2 billion annually (Blue Ribbon Commission on Transportation) with significant impacts to individuals, the environment, and the economy; and

WHEREAS, traffic conditions in the Central Puget Sound region and the need for mobility solutions in South King County and the City of SeaTac are at a critical crossroads; and

WHEREAS, the economy and quality of life in SeaTac are dependant upon clearly articulated land use and transportation plans consistent with the Washington State Growth Management Act of 1990 and SeaTac's Comprehensive Plan; and

WHEREAS, the Washington State Legislature created the Regional Transit Authority (Sound Transit) in 1992 and the Regional Transportation Investment District in 2002 and related regional taxing authority to allow the Region to plan for and raise sufficient revenues for key regional transportation projects, as evidenced by the passage of ESHB 1396 in 2007; and

WHEREAS, the Sound Transit Phase 2 Plan in combination with the Regional Transportation Investment District's Roads Plan provides a comprehensive, regional transportation investment plan that includes timely multimodal transportation solutions; and

WHEREAS, said regional plan includes significant road projects including the I-5/SR 509 Corridor Completion and Freight Improvement Project, that completes the missing link between SR 509 and I-5, providing a south access road to Sea-Tac Airport and connecting the Port of Seattle to the Kent/Auburn manufacturing and distribution center, adds general purpose lanes to I-5, improves freight mobility, removes trucks from I-5, and reduces Southcenter Hill and I-5 congestion; and

WHEREAS, said regional plan includes significant transit projects including the extension of Link Light Rail from Sea-Tac Airport through Des Moines and Federal Way to the Tacoma Dome, including a new light rail station at South 200th Street in the City of SeaTac; and

WHEREAS, the City of SeaTac is strongly committed to supporting such improvements that provide regional transportation capacity to address existing and future growth in population, jobs, and travel demand; and

WHEREAS, in order to demonstrate the City of SeaTac's commitment to and the importance of the I-5/SR 509 Corridor Completion and Freight Improvement Project, the SeaTac City Council passed Resolution No. 07-009 on June 12, 2007, stating the City Council's intent to reinvest any and all sales tax revenue received by the City from the I-5/SR509 road project back into the project; and

WHEREAS, the King County Council approved Ordinance #2007-0357 on June 25, 2007 placing the RTID Proposition #1 on the November 6, 2007 ballot;

WHEREAS, in accordance with RCW 42.17.130, notice to comment was published and posted; and

WHEREAS, public statements and comments were received by the Council; and WHEREAS, the Council finds that an expression of support for RTID Proposition #1 is

NOW, THEREFORE, THE CITY COUNCIL OF THE CITY OF SEATAC, WASHINGTON HEREBY RESOLVES as follows:

appropriate;

1. The City Council of the City of SeaTac expresses its support of Sound Transit and RTID Proposition #1—Regional Roads and Transit System, which will be presented to the electorate on November 6, 2007.

PASSED this <u>25th</u> day of <u>September</u>, 2007 and signed in authentication thereof on this <u>25th</u> day of <u>September</u>, 2007.

	CITY OF SEATAC	
	Gene Fisher, Mayor	
ATTEST:		
Kristina Gregg, City Clerk		
Approved as to Form:		
Mary Mirante Bartolo, City Attorney		
[Resolution regarding RTID Proposition #1]		

RESOLUTION NO. <u>07-020</u>

A RESOLUTION of the City Council of the City of SeaTac, Washington establishing a small works roster process for award of public works contracts and a consulting services roster for architectural, engineering and other professional services.

WHEREAS, RCW 39.04.155 and other laws regarding contracting for public works by municipalities, allow certain contracts to be awarded by a small works roster process; and

WHEREAS, in order to be able to implement small works roster processes, the City is required by law to adopt a resolution establishing specific procedures; and

WHEREAS, RCW 39.80.030 requires that an agency publish in advance that agency's requirement for professional services and that one of the ways to accomplish that notification is to announce generally to the public its projected requirements for any category or type of professional services and request qualification statements to be kept on file with the agency;

NOW, THEREFORE, THE CITY COUNCIL OF THE CITY OF SEATAC, WASHINGTON HEREBY RESOLVES as follows:

Section 1. Resolution No. 00-020 is hereby repealed.

Section 2. MRSC Rosters. The City wishes to contract with the Municipal Research and Services Center of Washington (MRSC) to adopt for City use those state wide electronic databases for small works roster and consulting services developed and maintained by MRSC. In addition, paper and/or electronic rosters may be kept on file by appropriate City departments.

Section 3. Small Works Rosters. The following small works roster procedures are established for use by the City pursuant to RCW 39.04.155:

a. **Cost.** The City need not comply with formal sealed bidding procedures for the construction, building, renovation, remodeling, alteration, repair, or improvement of real property where the estimated total cost does not exceed Two Hundred Thousand Dollars (\$200,000.00), which includes the costs of all labor, material, equipment and sales and/or use taxes as applicable. Instead, the City may use the small works roster procedures

for public works projects as set forth herein. The breaking of any project into units or accomplishing any projects by phases is prohibited if it is done for the purpose of avoiding the maximum dollar amount of a contract that may be let using the small works roster process or limited public works process. When determining whether a project is being broken into units or phases that would prohibit the use of the Small Works Roster Process, factors that should be considered should include whether the units or phases are being constructed in close proximity in time or location, whether the work is budgeted or funded so as to be considered a single project, whether the work of one unit or phase is necessary for the construction of the other, and whether the work is encompassed in the same permits or environmental review documents.

- b. **Publication.** At least once a year, on behalf of the City, MRSC is authorized to publish in a newspaper of general circulation within the jurisdiction a notice of the existence of the roster or rosters and solicit the names of contractors for such roster or rosters. Responsible contractors shall be added to appropriate MRSC roster or rosters at any time that they submit a written request and necessary records. The City shall require master contracts to be signed that become effective when a specific award is made using a small works roster.
- c. **Telephone or Written Quotations.** The City shall obtain telephone, written or electronic quotations for public works contracts from contractors on the appropriate small works roster to assure that a competitive price is established and to award contracts to a contractor who meets the mandatory bidder responsibility criteria in RCW 39.04.350(1) and may establish supplementary bidder criteria under RCW 39.04.350(2).
 - 1. A contract awarded from a small works roster need not be advertised. Invitations for quotations shall include an estimate of the scope and nature of the work to be performed as well as materials and equipment to be furnished. However, detailed plans and specifications need not be included in the invitation.
 - 2. Quotations may be invited from all appropriate contractors on the appropriate small works roster. As an alternative, quotations may be invited from at least five contractors on the appropriate small works roster who have indicated the capability of performing the kind of work being contracted, in a manner that will equitably distribute the opportunity among the contractors on the appropriate roster. "Equitably distribute" means that the City may not favor certain contractors on the appropriate small works roster over the contractors on the appropriate small works roster who perform similar services.

If the estimated cost of the work is from one hundred thousand dollars (\$100,000) to two hundred thousand dollars (\$200,000), the City may choose to solicit quotations from less than all the appropriate contractors on the appropriate small works roster but, in such cases, shall also notify the remaining contractors on the appropriate small works roster that quotations on the work are being sought. The City has the sole option of determining whether this notice to the remaining contractors is made by:

- (i) Publishing notice in a legal newspaper in general circulation in the area where the work is to be done;
- (ii) Mailing a notice to these contractors; or
- (iii) Sending a notice to these contractors by facsimile or email.
- 3. At the time quotations are solicited, the City representative shall not inform a contractor of the terms or amount of any other contractor's quotation for the same project;
- 4. A written record shall be made by the City representative of each contractor's bid on the project and of any conditions imposed on the quotation. Immediately after an award is made, the quotations obtained shall be recorded, open to public inspection, and available by telephone inquiry.
- d. **Limited Public Works Process.** If a work, construction, alteration, repair, or improvement project is estimated to cost less than thirty-five thousand dollars (\$35,000), the City may award such a contract using the limited public works process provided under RCW 39.04.155(3). For a limited public works project, the City will solicit electronic or written quotations from a minimum of three contractors from the appropriate small works roster and shall award the contract to the lowest responsible bidder as defined under RCW 39.04.010. After an award is made, the quotations shall be open to public inspection and available by electronic request.

For limited public works projects, the City may waive the payment and performance bond requirements of Chapter 39.08 RCW and the retainage requirements of Chapter 60.28 RCW, thereby assuming the liability for the contractor's nonpayment of laborers, mechanics, subcontractors, materialpersons, suppliers, and taxes imposed under Title 82 RCW that may be due from the contractor for the limited public works project. However, the City shall have the right of recovery against the contractor for any payments made on the contractor's behalf.

The City shall maintain a list of the contractors contacted and the contracts awarded during the previous 24 months under the limited public works process, including the name of the contractor, the contractor's registration

- number, the amount of the contract, a brief description of the type of work performed, and the date the contract was awarded.
- e. **Determining Lowest Responsible Bidder.** The contract for public works projects shall be awarded to the lowest responsible bidder provided that, whenever there is a reason to believe that the lowest acceptable quotation is not the best price obtainable, all quotations may be rejected and the City may call for new quotations. A responsible bidder shall be a registered and/or licensed contractor who meets the mandatory bidder responsibility criteria established by RCW 39.04.350 and who meets any supplementary bidder responsibility criteria established by the City.
- f. **Award.** All of the telephone quotations shall be collected and presented at the same time to the Council for consideration, determination of the lowest responsible bidder, and award of the contract. However, the City Council may delegate the authority to award contracts and reject bids by Ordinance, Resolution, or other Council action.
- g. **Applicability.** This Section 3 of this Resolution only applies to "Public Work" as defined by RCW 39.04.010 and the procedures set forth in this Section supersede the requirements set forth in SMC 3.30. Furthermore, the provisions of RCW 39.08 regarding payment and performance bond requirements, RCW 60.28 regarding retainage, and RCW 39.12 regarding prevailing wages are expressly applicable, except as provided under the Limited Public Works Process established in paragraph (d) of this Section.

Section 4. Consulting Services Rosters.

- a. **Consulting Services.** Consulting services are professional services that have a primarily intellectual output or product and include architectural and engineering services as defined in RCW 39.80.020.
- b. **Publication.** At least once a year, on behalf of the City, MRSC is authorized to publish in a newspaper of general circulation within the jurisdiction a notice of the existence of the consulting services roster or rosters and solicit statements of qualifications from firms providing consulting services. Such advertisements will include information on how to find the address and telephone number of a representative of the City who can provide further details as to the City's projected needs for consulting services. Firms or persons providing consulting services shall be added to appropriate MRSC roster or rosters at any time that they submit a written request and necessary records. The City shall require master contracts to be signed that become effective when a specific award is made using a consulting services roster.

c. Professional Architectural and Engineering Services. The MRSC Rosters will distinguish between professional architectural and engineering services as defined in RCW 39.80.020 and other consulting services and will announce generally to the public the City's projected requirements for any category or type of professional or other consulting services. The City reserves the right to publish an announcement on each occasion when professional services or other consulting services are required by the City and to use paper and/or other electronic rosters that may be kept on file by appropriate City departments.

PASSED this	6 th	_ day of _	Nov	vember	_, 2007 and signed in
authentication thereof on this	s 6 th		day of	November	, 2007.
				CITY OF SE	ATAC
				Ralph Shape,	Deputy Mayor
ATTEST:					
Kristina Gregg, City Clerk					
Approved as to Form:					
Mary E. Mirante Bartolo, Cit	ty Attoi	rney			

[Small Works Roster]

RESOLUTION NO. 07-021

A RESOLUTION of the City Council of the City of SeaTac, Washington fixing the time for a public hearing and for Council action on vacation of a portion of South 158th Street lying westerly of the West margin of International Boulevard South and the easterly of the West line of Section 22, Township 23 N, Range 4 East, W.M.

WHEREAS, the Port of Seattle has requested vacation of a certain portion of the City street and right-of-way of South 158th Street, located generally west of International Blvd S. as shown on the map attached as Exhibit "A" to this Resolution, and as legally defined in Exhibit "B" to this Resolution; and

WHEREAS, Section 11.05.090 of the SeaTac Municipal Code adopts the street vacation procedures of Chapter 35.79 RCW; and

WHEREAS, RCW 35.79.010 authorizes the City Council to initiate street vacation procedures by resolution and further requires that a public hearing prior to final Council action must be fixed not less than twenty (20) days nor more than sixty (60) days after the date of passage of such a resolution; and

WHEREAS, no apparent municipal use of the said street and right-of-way continues to exist, but the Port has reason to convert the right-of-way to airport related purposes; and

WHEREAS, the City will be entitled to monetary or other consideration for the vacation; and

WHEREAS, the Council finds that a public hearing prior to consideration of final action should be placed on the agenda of the Regular Council Meeting of January 22, 2008;

NOW, THEREFORE, THE CITY COUNCIL OF THE CITY OF SEATAC, WASHINGTON HEREBY RESOLVES as follows:

- 1. A public hearing on the Port of Seattle's request for vacation of that portion of the street and right-of-way of South 158th Street lying westerly of the West margin of International Boulevard South and the easterly of the West line of Section 22, Township 23 N, Range 4 East, W.M., and as depicted on the map attached hereto as Exhibit "A", and as legally described on Exhibit "B", which is abutted on both sides by Port property, is hereby fixed to commence at 6:00 p.m. on Tuesday, January 22, 2008, or as soon thereafter as the hearing may be held, at the Council Chambers, SeaTac City Hall, 4800 South 188th Street, SeaTac, WA 98188.
- 2. Notice of the public hearing shall be posted in three public places within the City and along South 158th Street in the area of the street requested to be vacated. The Port, as sole abutting owner, and the owners of property secondarily accessed from South 158th Street, shall be given notice by mail at least fifteen days before the date fixed for the hearing.
- 3. Following the public hearing, the City Council shall consider public comments and shall then take such action in regard to the requested vacation as may be deemed appropriate.

PASSED this 2/th day of	November, 2007 and signed in authentication
thereof on this 27th day of Nove	<u>ember</u> , 2007.
	CITY OF SEATAC
	Gene Fisher, Mayor
ATTEST:	·
Kristina Gregg, City Clerk	<u> </u>
Approved as to Form:	
Mary Mirante Bartolo, City Attorney	<u> </u>
[Port Street Vacation S. 158 th Street]	