

### City Manager's Weekly Update

February 20, 2009

### Dear Mayor, Councilmembers, Residents, and Employees:

**Police News:** On February 3, Travis Dean Cunningham of SeaTac pled guilty to possession of a dangerous dog. On September 8, one of Cunningham's two pit bulls attacked a 71-year-old woman near her home in SeaTac. The victim, Huong Le, was seriously injured.

Cunningham also pled guilty to one count of first-degree unlawful possession of a firearm. While investigating the dog attack, King County sheriff's deputies searched Cunningham's home and found a stolen firearm. Mr. Cunningham has a prior felony conviction which makes it illegal for him to possess a gun. He faces a sentence of 77 months in prison for possession of a dangerous dog and the weapons charge, plus an additional 63 months for violating the terms of his prior sentence. Sentencing is scheduled for March 13.

In a separate case Ronald Tobin pled guilty to five felony cases involving stolen cars and narcotics possession. SeaTac Police had two investigations with Mr. Tobin who is a prolific auto thief in our city. The King County Prosecutor's Office will recommend a sentence of 57 months. Sentencing is scheduled for February 27.

On February 4, Street Crimes detectives assisted the King County Sheriff's Office Major Crimes unit in providing surveillance and the subsequent arrest of a homicide suspect located in a Tukwila hotel. SeaTac Police secured the scene and talked the suspect out of the hotel room. The suspect was booked for the murder of his mother and father.

**Domestic Violence Task Force Activities:** During the week of February 2 – 9, the City of SeaTac Domestic Violence Task Force worked in partnership with several students at the Tyee Educational Complex to collect information on surveys for National Teen Dating Violence Awareness and Prevention Week. The students were able to gather 60 surveys covering teens experiencing violence in dating relationships. This information will be used for their Junior Community Service Report and they have already decided to continue collecting data for their Senior Community project. Community Domestic Violence Advocate Chris Peterson, Community Service Officers Eloise Kruger and Mechee Burnett, and other task force members assisted during the lunch hour at the school. Gift cards and candy were given out to entice the teens to participate in the survey and to take educational information.

The Domestic Violence Task force also completed a cell phone donation drive at City Hall and collected 122 cell phones and batteries to be redistributed the victims of domestic violence.

### **Attachments:**

February Calendar

Agendas:

Planning Commission	2.23.09
Transportation & Public Works	2.24.09

**Minutes:** 

Land Use & Parks Committee 2.12.09

Craig R. Ward, City Manager



Updated 02/06/2009

\*Council Chambers are accessible to persons with disabilities and are also equipped with Assistive Listening Devices.

The dates and times of meetings are subject to change. Please contact City Hall to verify the above information.

**-**

City of SeaTac: 4800 South 188th Street: SeaTac, WA 98188-8605; Main: 206.973.4800; TDD: 206.973.4808; FAX: 206.973.4809 © 2002 City of SeaTac. All rights reserved.

## CITY OF SEATAC PLANNING COMMISSION MEETING

### **February 23, 2009**

Council Chambers, SeaTac City Hall, 4800 S. 188<sup>th</sup> Street 5:30 p.m. to 7:30 p.m.

### MEETING AGENDA

1.	Call to Order/Roll Call – 5:30 P.M.
2.	Approve Minutes of February 9, 2009 – 5:30 to 5:35 P.M. [Exhibit A]
3.	New Business – 5:35 to 6:00 P.M.
	Update on Shoreline Master Program Update Process [Exhibit]
	Overview of Major Zoning Code Update Process [Exhibit]
4.	Old Business – 6:00 to 7:15 P.M.
	<ul> <li>Continued Discussion about Potential Zoning Code Amendments Regarding Tree Retention [Exhibit]</li> </ul>
	Continued Discussion Regarding "Dumbbell Lots" [Exhibit]
	• Review of Planning Commission's Three Month Work Schedule [Exhibit]
5.	Detailed Commission Liaison's Report – 7:15 to 7:20 P.M.
6.	Planning Director's Report – 7:20 to 7:25 P.M.
7.	Planning Commission Comments (including suggestions for next meeting's agenda) – $7:25$ to $7:30$ P.M.
8.	Adjournment – 7:30 P.M.

G:\group\PLANNING\PlanningCommission\PC-2009\Agenda 2-9 (Final).doc



### Transportation and Public Works Committee Agenda

February 24, 2009 4:00 PM Airport Conference Room 345

Members: Mia Gregerson, Chair Chris Wythe Tony Anderson

Staff Coordinator: Tom Gut, Public Works Director

- 1. Proposal to adjust Public Works Permit Fee Schedule (Gary)
- 2. Update on consultant selection for the 2009 Pedestrian Improvement Project 42<sup>nd</sup> Ave South Sidewalk (Daren)
- 3. Update on Purchasing Code (Mark)
- 4. Approval of low bidders for asphalt patching and landscaping for Fire Station 46 (Pat)



(Note: To be Approved by the City Council on 2/24/09)

# **City Council Committee Meeting Land Use and Parks Committee (LUP)**

February 12, 2009 4:30 PM/Airport Conference Room - 345

Present:
Chris Wythe, Chair
Tony Anderson
Gene Fisher

Absent: Commence: 4:35 p.m. Adjourn: 8:00 p.m.

#### **Others Present:**

Steve ButlerMark JohnsenLeonard McGheeRoxie ChapinCraig WardBarry LadenburgEric ChippsEarl GipsonJack DodgeJohn ThompsonDaryl TapioArt Skolnik

Staff Coordinator: Steve Butler, Director, Department of Planning & Community Development

AB #	Topic	Disposition
АВπ		•
	1. Presentation by Partners for	X Informational Update
	Livable Communities' Suburban	Recommended for:
	Alternatives Program.	Approval
		Approval with modifications
		Denial
	Art Skolnik	Referred to
	Art Skomk	
	Comments:	
	Art Skolnik distributed documents regar	ding a number of suburban alternatives that include: (1)
	The restored village concept; (2) The ne	w town center; and (3) Greenfield villages.
	<ul> <li>Most stimulus packages are gear</li> </ul>	ed toward larger cities.
	<ul> <li>Suburban City – This is a deroga</li> </ul>	tory term.
	<ul> <li>All cities are equal except for sca</li> </ul>	ale.
	<ul> <li>Councilmember Wythe – Does v</li> </ul>	what you're describing have land use implications?
	(Answer: No, trying to establish	the goals of cities.)
	<ul> <li>More people moving to smaller of</li> </ul>	cities rather than to Seattle.
	S	establishing "town centers". How do they relate with
	=	erlapping development creates a waste of monies; many
	=	to look at four to five communities relative to scale and
	needs.	
	·	s the cost to participate? (Answer: \$35,000.)
		o talk with Suburban Cities (would participate if free).
	Part of effort will be to community	
	<ul> <li>Try to do smaller city thinking; l cities be promoted?</li> </ul>	have a number of cities thinking as a unit. How would the
	<ul> <li>Councilmember Wythe – Still ur clear summary.</li> </ul>	nclear what direct benefits and costs would be, need a
	<ul> <li>Councilmember Fisher – Need to thing as Suburban Cities, would</li> </ul>	know the pros and cons (if this study does the same have problems with funding.)

Councilmember Wythe – Art Sk more details for LUP.	olnik was asked to work with Steve Butler to provide
2. Presentation on Sound Transit's	X Informational Update
ST-2 Mass Transit Expansion	Recommended for:
Program.	Approval
Leonard McGhee	Approval with modifications
Eric Chipps	Denial
Eric Cinpps	Referred to
Comments:	·
Eric Chipps distributed materials, made	a PowerPoint presentation, and discussed ST-2 as
follows:	•
• 1.5 mile light rail extension fron	n SeaTac/Airport station to S. 200 <sup>th</sup> St.
	nstructability review, final design, right-of-way
acquisition, and construction.	
• Revenue service by December, 2	2020.
Project elements include:	
<ul> <li>Alignment elevated on east sid</li> </ul>	e of 28 <sup>th</sup> Ave. S. consistent with future right of way of the
Port of Seattle south link and s	
<ul> <li>Includes an elevated station str</li> </ul>	
	630 park & ride spaces in a two/four story structure
- Bus transfer area located under	
	djacent to bus transfer area/share signalized entrance off
S. 200 <sup>th</sup> St.	To seth a second
	tween IB and 28 <sup>th</sup> Ave. S. required
Discussed map.	
• 36 miles of new light rail (included)	
Would terminate at Redondo/Sta	
New Sound Transit buses will be	-
<ul> <li>New services in off-peak period</li> </ul>	
• Expand rail (4 additional trains s	
• Will have 9 trains plus 8-car Sou	
	200 <sup>th</sup> St. (there will be an environmental process for
expansion south of S. 200 <sup>th</sup> St.).	
• South of S. 200 <sup>th</sup> St. in conceptu	
• Timing – 2023 to Redondo/Star	
Just beginning an implementation	
	e parking – no one will pay park 'n fly prices for parking.
	arking? Will it be subsidized? (Answer: Nothing has been
decided yet.)	
	ve a property owner who would lease space.
	re? (Answer: Conceptual findings – garages. Assumed
structured parking to lease at S.	
	ing the airport, and summarized negotiations with L&R
Investment (including impacts).	
	for the stimulus package; understand it is in the stimulus
package.	CITA OO A
<ul> <li>Todd Cutts – What's budgeted in</li> </ul>	n ST-2? Answer: (If it was a distinct project, funds set

aside (stations, parking).

3. Discussion about YMCA Annual Program Purchase.	X Informational Update X Recommended for:
	X Approval – on fee/Purchase of Fee
	Services
Kit Ledbetter	Approval with modifications Denial
Claudia Tan	Referred to
Comments:	
Kit Ledbetter distributed YMCA progra	tms – costs for buying services (total \$56,930).
• Councilmember Fisher – Is this programs outlined.)	over 20 years? (Answer: One year costs \$56,930 for the
II =	there still an area where youths can go without ere is a free area for teens in the front of the building.)
• Councilmember Ladenburg – W then \$56 per month.)	hat will the membership costs be? (Answer: \$100 to join,
Councilmember Wythe – Will the state of	nere be a user fee? (Answer: Yes.)
<ul> <li>Councilmember Ladenburg – Fa (Answer: No.)</li> </ul>	amily night/teen night, will they need punch cards?
Councilmember Wythe – Can w	e track SeaTac resident's use? (Answer: Possibly.)
YMCA is tentatively scheduled November.	for a "soft opening" in October; the full public opening in
4. Discussion about Proposed	X Informational Update
Changes to the Fee Schedule.	X Recommended for:
	X Approval – Parks & Clerks
Jack Dodge	Approval with modifications Denial
Kit Ledbetter	Referred to
Comments:	II.
Kit Ledbetter explained parks' proposed minimize the need for future changes.	d fee changes. Current fees are low. Has a range of fees to
<u>Parks</u>	
<ul> <li>No residents have complained al</li> </ul>	bout fees; residents would have lower fees than others.
<ul> <li>Roxie Chapin – Do we no longe sponsored groups.)</li> </ul>	r have non-resident fees? (Answer: Yes, we do, with co-
<ul> <li>Councilmember Wythe – How d look at roster.)</li> </ul>	lo we track co-sponsor? (Answer: Co-sponsored groups,
Councilmember Ladenburg – W	hat's the break point on youth? (Answer: Under 18.)
Kit Ledbetter – We do not allow	tackle football at Valley Ridge.
<u>Clerks</u>	·
<ul> <li>Clerk's Office – Okay.</li> </ul>	
Planning	
Jack Dodge explained the notification	eation process
guek bodge explained the nothing	cation process.

 <ul> <li>Councilmember Fisher – Politica</li> <li>Rick Forschler – Use technology</li> <li>Maintain the reimbursement to d</li> </ul>	_
500' & 1000'.  5. Further Discussion about the Proposed New Subdivision Code, Based on Discussion at the City Council Retreat.  Jack Dodge Steve Butler	Informational UpdateX_Recommended for:X_Approval – Bring to full CouncilApproval with modificationsDenialX_Referred to – Have CC determine need for panhandle lots
Daryl Tapio – Brought up issue a codes require showing the location He stated this was expensive, rooproperty unless an on-site inspection.	ots allowed side-by-side. anhandle lots; no more than two together. regarding locating rockeries and trees. The proposed on of rockeries and trees within 25 feet of the property. ckeries and trees should only be shown on the subject ction requires the additional information. nade by Council, do not vote, bring back two weeks later.
6. Continued Discussion about Zoning Code Amendments Regarding Tree Retention.	Informational Update Recommended for: Approval Approval with modifications Denial Referred to – Back to Planning Commission
<ul> <li>close the loophole on clearing.</li> <li>Steve Butler – If we want to kee clear cutting trees is relatively early to be a clear cutting trees is relatively early to be a clear cutting of the control of the clear cutting is a clear cutting of the clear cutting is a clear cutting the clear cutting the clear cutting is a clear cutting the clear cutting the clear cutting is a clear cutting the clear cutting is a clear cutting the clear cutting the clear cutting the clear cutting the clear cutting is a clear cutting the clear cutting is a clear cutting the clear cutting is a clear cutting is a clear cutting is a clear cutting is a clear cutting the clear cutting is a clear cutting the clear cutting is a clear cutting is a clear cutting the clear cutting is a clear cutting the clear cutting is a clear cutting the clear cutting the clear cutting is a clear cutting the clear</li></ul>	p the existing regulations, just closing the loophole on asy. raft tree retention has more impacts. lopers probably would not clear their property, if we have ang, it would allow anyone to just clear their property. It clear their property absent regulations. result of the tree retention regulations. re was an agreement at the Council/PC retreat regarding the compromise regulations went too far beyond what  "Compromise Tree Retention Regulations" where for intained comments not only from the Council Retreat, but er outlining impacts of the newest compromise proposal

- Chris Wythe Stated that if significant trees are outside of the footprint of the house, driveways, and utility easements, up to three per lot should be saved. He also indicated that the compromise version of tree retention is more simplified.
- Steve Butler Clarified that once a lot was purchased by a home owner, then the new property owner could remove trees.
- Barry Ladenburg If any encumbrances are removed from the property, he saw no problems with the concepts proposed.
- Rick Forschler The Planning Commission would prefer their option (Option 4 of matrix). It was his opinion that the City should have no tree retention regulations.
- Chris Wythe Stated that the City needs to have tree retention regulations in some form.
- Roxie Chapin We need regulations that are simple and easy to understand and do not take away property rights.
- Rick Forschler Indicated the Planning Commission would like to talk more regarding tree retention. He also volunteered that the Planning Commission members would provide their comments regarding the compromise regulations to Jack by Wednesday (2/18/09).
- The LUP committee requested that the Planning Commission conduct additional review of the compromise tree retention proposal.

7. Discussion about Proposed
Miscellaneous Zoning Code
Amendments Related to Monument
Signs in Multi-Family Residential
Zones, Utility Substations in SingleFamily Residential Zones, and
Dumbbell Lots.

	Informational Update
X	Recommended for:

X Approval –Sport League & Monument Signs, Utility Substation in SF & MF Zones

\_\_\_\_ Approval with modifications

Denial

X Referred to – Send Dumbbell lots back to Planning Commission

#### Comments:

Jack Dodge made a PowerPoint presentation, and reviewed the proposed amendments as follows: Monument Signs

• The sign shall be located on the primary access to multifamily development exceeding 30 units; auxiliary projections or attachments shall provide single architectural feature unique to the development, do not increase the overall sign lettering normally found on 35 sq. ft. sign, and must be on a scale commensurate with size of development.

#### **Utility Substation**

• Modify use charts to allow utility substations through the Conditional Use Permit (CUP) process in both single-family and multifamily zones.

#### **Dumbbell Lots**

- Staff is proposing that dumbbell lots be prohibited.
- Rick Forschler Dumbbell lots should be allowed. The property owner should have the right to develop their property as they see fit .
- Councilmember Ladenburg The proposed lots shown in the picture are poorly designed.
- Rick Forschler The Planning Commission would like to review these types of lots further.