**Envision SeaTac 2044 Public Review Draft Public Hearing: 10/15/2024** 

## **COMMENT SUMMARY & CITY STAFF RESPONSES**

<b>Comment Summary</b>	Staff Response
PLANNING COMMISSIONER COMMENTS & C	QUESTIONS
Information requested on how rezoning	Staff Response:
might affect property taxes.	<ul> <li>According to the City of Shoreline, which recently undertook a rezoning process, analysis from more than twenty (20) reputable studies found that property values in new developments near neighborhood centers and high-capacity transit generally rise in value, from 0% to 32%, the closer they are to transit stations or centers.</li> <li>Property taxes can be increased based on increased changes in property value, but in Washington State there are property tax levy limitations that restrict the amount property taxes can be increased.</li> <li>For more detailed information, please see FAQ information created for Rezone Property Owner Meeting (Oct. 2): Property Values &amp; Property Tax FAQ</li> </ul>
Questions were asked regarding proposed Ground floor active use requirements:	Please see staff response to Commissioners' questions about ground floor uses requirements in the section below with the following yellow highlighted title:
	Concerns About Proposed Rezones & Changes to Other Development Codes
Questions asked regarding accessory dwelling unit (ADU) allowances in higher-density zones.	Please see staff response to Commissioners' questions about ADUs in the section below with the following yellow highlighted title:
•	Concerns About Proposed Rezones & Changes to Other Development Codes

<b>Comment Summary</b>	V	
------------------------	---	--

## **Staff Response**

### **PUBLIC COMMENTS SUBMITTED AT HEARING**

**General Concerns:** Concerns shared about various Envision SeaTac 2044 proposals along with preferences to not make changes to people's neighborhoods.

### **Staff Response:**

- According to the Puget Sound Regional Council, the four-county Puget Sound region is anticipated to grow by 5.8 million people by 2050. Under the state Growth Management Act, SeaTac is required to plan to accommodate forecasted growth. Through a countywide process, SeaTac was assigned the following targets to plan for by 2044: 5,900 new housing units and 14,810 new jobs.
- Additionally, in 2023, state housing laws changed to require that areas with single family zoning throughout the State of Washington must allow up to two accessory dwelling units and new "middle" housing types like duplexes and townhouses by June 2025.
- To help prepare the city for the forecasted and anticipated population, job, and housing increases, the Envision SeaTac 2044 project staff undertook technical analyses, shared information with the public, and received input from community members on potential changes to growth policies during a process that has been on-going for over two years.
- Based on these efforts, the City is now proposing updated strategies, including proposed rezones, that focus growth over time to help create centers and villages that can provide a variety of options for housing, jobs, services, and amenities, while ensuring that future growth is supported by complete neighborhood infrastructure and services citywide.

### **Staff Recommendation:**

• No changes to proposed growth vision.

<b>Comment Summary</b>	Staff Response
<b>Concerns Regarding Tree Canopy Policies:</b>	Staff Response:
The Planning Commission heard public	The City currently has policies that promote preserving and expanding the City's tree
comment regarding a concern that there are	canopy as follows. Only one revision is proposed to the existing policies.
not sufficient tree retention/canopy policies	
in the Envision proposals.	Ch. 2 Land Use Element - Proposed
	(proposed revisions in blue, underlined text)
	<ul> <li>Policy 2.8K (Proposed): Preserve existing vegetation and street trees and</li> </ul>
	encourage the expansion of the tree canopy throughout the city for the
	aesthetic, health, and environmental benefits trees provide-
	Policy 2.8L Require site-appropriate installation of trees and other vegetation
	along streets.
	<u>Ch 9 Environment Element</u> - <i>Proposed</i>
	Policy 9.5E: Increase natural carbon storage by increasing tree canopy on city
	streets and properties and protecting green belts.
	Claff Dana and all land
	Staff Recommendation:
	No changes to current proposals.
Access to Parks with ½ Mile: Questions	Staff Response:
were asked about how the City can achieve	The Envision project proposes to maintain the City's existing and long held policies
goals related to providing parks within one-	to promote one-half mile access to parks, and to clarify that walkable access to parks
half mile walking distance of all households	is part of the Complete Neighborhoods growth strategies.
and concerns were shared regarding how	
the City will acquire new park land.	<ul> <li>One half-mile access to community or neighborhood parks is a current and</li> </ul>
	long-time City policy in the Parks Recreation & Open Space Element (See
	Policy 10.2A) and PROS Plan. It is also a national park and recreation
	standard. The existing Parks Element and PROS Plan also note that quarter
	mile access to parks is an aspirational goal for the City.
	The Envision project proposes revisions to maintain the intent of these
	policies while clarifying their role in supporting updated growth growth

<b>Comment Summary</b>	Staff Response
	strategies. (See the updated Parks & Recreation Element policies 8.2A and 8.2B.)  • City practices for expanding the parks system include:  1) Addressing gaps in the open space network in line with adopted policies and level of service standards, and  2) Negotiating with willing parties.  Staff Recommendation:
	No changes to current proposals.
Bow Lake Estates Manufactured Home Park Proposed Rezone: A representative from the Bow Lake Estates Manufactured Home Park ownership read comments from a letter received by CPI Bow Lake Estates Owners, LLC. The letter includes the following statement in bold, underlined:  While the Property Owner has no plans to redevelop the Property, the Property Owner is opposed to the Bow Lake Proposal for the reasons explained herein.	Staff Response:  The City is proposing changing the current land use designations and zoning of parcels underlying the Bow Lake Estates 55+ Manufactured Home Park to better support and increase consistency with the City's long-time housing policy goal of supporting the maintenance of existing manufactured home parks as a source of affordable housing. This goal is provided below and includes proposed revisions that promote the housing security of its residents.  Ch. 5 Housing & Human Services Element- Proposed (proposed revisions in blue, underlined text)  GOAL 5.6 3-8  Support the continued maintenance of SeaTac's existing mobile-manufactured home parks as a source of affordable housing and promote the housing security of its residents.  The City is not proposing changes to development regulations for manufactured home parks in SMC 15.465.600.

<b>Comment Summary</b>	Staff Response
Bow Lake Estates Manufactured Home Park	Current Land Use Designation & Zoning
Proposed Rezone (continued)	The current land use designations and zones of the two parcels underlying the Bow
	Lake Estates Manufactured Home Park are as follows:
	Current Land Use Designations:
	Commercial High
	Residential High Mixed Use
	Residential High
	Current Zones:
	Urban High-900
	Urban High-1,800
	<ul> <li>Currently the western portion of Bow Lake Estates has zoning that does not match the area's land use designations which have Commercial High and Residential High Mixed Use designations. These designations allow high intensity commercial and residential mixed-use development. The CB-C and UH-UCR zoning that implements these land use designations allow for developments of unlimited height and density (except for FAA and Fire code requirements). The eastern portion of Bow Lake Estates has Urban High high-density multifamily zoning that matches its Residential High land use designation. Urban High zoning allows for multifamily/apartment zoning with buildings up to 55' in height.</li> </ul>
	Proposed Land Use Designation & Zoning
	The Envision project is proposing changes to land use designations and zones of the
	two parcels underlying the Bow Lake Estates Manufactured Home Park are as
	follows:
	Proposed Land Use Designations:
	Urban Residential Medium
	Proposed Zone:
	Manufactured Home Park

<b>Comment Summary</b>	Staff Response
Bow Lake Estates Manufactured Home Park Proposed Rezone (continued)	<ul> <li>Proposed Changes Increase Consistency Between Policies and Regulations:         As noted above, the City is proposing to change the land use designations and zoning of the Bow Lake Estates parcels to better support and increase consistency with the City's long-time housing policy goal of supporting the maintenance of existing manufactured home parks as a source of affordable housing. The proposed changes also align with the following existing and new policy proposals from the draft <a href="Housing &amp; Human Services Element:">Housing &amp; Human Services Element:</a> (proposed revisions in blue, underlined text)     </li> </ul>
	Policy 53.1F: Identify and use strategies to address the impacts of current local policies and regulations that may result in disparate impacts and displacement.
	<b>Policy 53.42B:</b> Promote a variety of housing types and options in all neighborhoods, particularly in proximity to <u>parks</u> , <u>pedestrian and bicycle routes</u> , <u>resident-oriented services</u> , transit, employment, and educational opportunities.
	GOAL 53.35: Strengthen the housing security and stability of SeaTac's residents, and the continued longevity of the city's existing residential neighborhoods. and foster a high degree of pride in residency or ownership.
	Policy 53.46A: Identify, maintain, and enhance the existing affordable housing stock in SeaTac, with a focus on units available for very low-, low-, moderate-, and middle-income households.
	<b>Policy 53.46B:</b> Use City land use and construction-related codes to encourage development and adequate supply of affordable housing for all economic segments of the forecast population.
	<ul> <li>Comprehensive Plan Amendment Docket Process</li> <li>Should property owners want to change the land use designation or zoning of the parcels in the future, they, like other members of the public, may propose amendments through the regular, biennial Comprehensive Plan Amendment Docket process that will occur in 2026-2027.</li> </ul>

Comment Summary	Staff Response
Bow Lake Estates Manufactured Home Park	Staff Recommendation:
Proposed Rezone (continued)	No changes to current proposal.
Concerns About Proposed Rezones &	Staff Response:
<b>Changes to Other Development Codes:</b> At	After the public hearing, staff reviewed comments received about proposed zoning
the public hearing, multiple people shared	changes and reassessed those proposals regarding how they align with and help
concerns about the rezoning of their parcels	implement overall project goals including key project themes such as Increasing
and other proposed code changes,	access to opportunity, Housing for all, and other new policy goals and proposals.
especially from owners of single-family	
homes.	Staff Recommendations:
	In light of this review, staff is recommending changes to the original rezoning
This includes commenters from the	proposal for the following areas: Bow Vista urban village zoning and North City
following neighborhoods:	<u>Center zoning</u> . Staff is also recommending <u>increasing flexibility for owners of single-</u>
<ul> <li>Bow Vista, located generally</li> </ul>	family homes in all areas with proposed rezones. See sections below for specific
between S 188th Street and the	recommendations:
Cedarbrook Lodge: Based on	
addresses provided at the Public	1) Bow Vista Area: Change the Current Rezone Proposal for Urban Village Zones
Hearing, most residents with	The Bow Vista extended neighborhood is within the City Center and Urban
concerns about the proposed	Center boundaries. The area is located near the YMCA on both sides of S
rezones reside in the extended Bow	188 <sup>th</sup> and is proposed to be developed over time into one of the four new
Vista neighborhood.	"village nodes" proposed by the Envision project that will increase access to
<ul> <li>North City Center along S 166<sup>th</sup></li> </ul>	new neighborhood services and housing choices. While most of the area's
Street	zoning currently allows single family homes, all of the parcels within the City
<ul> <li>Southwest SeaTac "RBX" Rezones,</li> </ul>	Center/Urban Center boundaries have land use designations that allow
along S 208 <sup>th</sup> Street, west of 24 <sup>th</sup>	higher densities than the current zoning.
Avenue S.	Current Envision Rezone Proposal:
	<ul> <li>Urban Village Rezones: Rezone the areas near S 188<sup>th</sup> Street that are</li> </ul>
The most heard issues include:	located within the City Center/Urban Center boundaries to Urban Village
<ul> <li>Overall concerns about proposed</li> </ul>	High (close to International Boulevard) and Urban Village Medium
rezones and related changes. People	(farther from International Boulevard) near 36 <sup>th</sup> Ave S.

# **Comment Summary Staff Response** expressed concerns about changing the zoning of their property and allowing different uses than currently allowed. It appeared that all commenters had parcels with singlefamily homes. Request for more flexibility. While some people were open to the proposed new zoning for their properties, there was a desire for more flexibility from the proposed zoning and other new codes than currently proposed. 186<sup>th</sup> St. Desire for accessory dwelling units: For people with existing single-family houses on their property (most/all 166th Street commenters), many owners wanted the option to build accessory dwelling units, which some of the proposed zoning does not allow. • Concerns about ground floor commercial requirements. There

were concerns that requirements for ground floor commercial uses in the

proposed Urban Village High and

people's properties.

Urban Village Medium zones would

be barriers to the redevelopment of

- Urban Residential Medium Rezones: Rezone areas to Urban Residential Medium to increase consistency between zoning and Comprehensive Plan. (Note: While the current land use designation is "Townhouse," this designation is being removed and is being replaced by the very similar Urban Residential medium designation.)
- Recommended Changes to Envision Rezone Proposal: Maintain Urban Village zoning, but alter as follows:
  - Bow Vista West Urban Village Medium: Replace the Urban Village High zoning with Urban Village Medium to better recognize the single-family properties and parcel sizes in the area.
  - Bow Vista East/S 188<sup>th</sup> & 36<sup>th</sup> Ave S Urban Village High: Replace the Urban Village Medium zoning with Urban Village High for the three large parcels with frontage on the west east side of 36<sup>th</sup> Ave S and south of S 186<sup>th</sup> St.
- 2) North City Center: Change the Current Rezone Proposal for Lots Adjacent to S 166th Street
  - Current Envision Rezone Proposal: Urban Village High. To help establish a north end node for the City Center area, the parcels along S 166<sup>th</sup> Street at the northern edge of the City Center boundary, are proposed to be rezoned to Urban Village High land use designations and zones. (Currently, the parcels are zoned "Urban Medium" which allows townhouse and small apartments, though there are many single-family dwellings in the area.)
  - Recommended Changes to Envision Rezone Proposal: Urban Village
     Medium. To better acknowledge that these parcels are on the edge of this
     urban village node, as well as topographic and parcel size issues, staff is
     recommending that the parcels along south of S 166<sup>th</sup> and east of 31<sup>st</sup> Ave S
     be rezoned to UVM.

<b>Comment Summary</b>	Staff Response
	<ul> <li>Southwest SeaTac RBX Rezones: No Change Proposed</li> <li>While no change is recommended to the rezoning of single-family parcels to match their current Regional Business Mix (RBX) commercial/industrial land use designations, staff is recommending that more flexibility be provided for owners of existing single-family homes in that area. See item #4 below.</li> </ul>
	<ul> <li>4) Accessory Dwelling Units: Changes Recommended to Allow Properties with Existing Single-Family Homes to Include ADUs</li> <li>To increase flexibility and continue existing opportunities for building wealth for owners of properties that are proposed for rezones, staff is recommending that parcels with existing single-family homes be allowed to construct accessory dwelling units. The list below shows where staff recommendations for where new single family detached dwelling units and ADUS are allowed (including where no changes are proposed):</li> </ul>
	<ul> <li>Urban Village High Zone</li> <li>No Change: New Detached Dwelling Units not allowed</li> <li>New Recommendation: For existing single-family homes, one ADU is allowed through nonconformance code</li> <li>Urban Village Medium Zone</li> <li>No Change: New Detached Dwelling Units not allowed</li> <li>New Recommendation: For existing single-family homes, one ADU is allowed through nonconformance code</li> <li>Neighborhood Village High</li> </ul>
	<ul> <li>No Change: New Detached Dwelling Units not allowed</li> <li>New Recommendation: For existing single-family homes, one ADU is allowed through nonconformance code</li> <li>Neighborhood Village Medium</li> </ul>

<b>Comment Summary</b>	Staff Response
	<ul> <li>No Change: New Detached Dwelling Units allowed (will be reviewed as part of middle housing code amendments next year)</li> <li>No Change: Up to 2 ADUs allowed since -family is allowed (will be reviewed next year)</li> </ul>
	Urban Residential Medium (URM):
	<ul> <li>No Change in City Center, <u>but new for Angle Lake and S 154<sup>th</sup> Station Areas</u>:         New Detached Dwelling Units and new accessory units allowed (will be reviewed next year as part of middle housing code amendments)     </li> </ul>
	5) Ground Floor Commercial Uses for Urban Village & Neighborhood Village Zones: Changes Recommended to Increase Flexibility and Better Align
	Requirements with Current Codes
	To increase flexibility and better align requirements with other parts of the City's development codes, staff is recommending changing requirements for ground floor commercial uses to be provided within certain village zones.
	<ul> <li>Current Envision Proposals: Currently, the Urban Village High, Urban Village Medium, and Neighborhood Village High zones all require that new developments provide 50% of their ground floors as commercial/retail/ services uses.</li> </ul>
	<ul> <li>Staff Recommended Changes: After hearing a desire for increased flexibility from the public, and reassessing existing City code, staff is recommending the following:</li> </ul>
	<ul> <li>Remove the requirement for 50% ground floor commercial uses for all parcels with Urban Village High, Urban Village Medium, and Neighborhood Village Medium zoning.</li> </ul>
	<ul> <li>Require 50% ground floor commercial uses only in the Urban Village High and Neighborhood Village High zones located along certain streets with high visibility and higher rates of pedestrian and/or vehicle traffic.</li> </ul>

Staff Response
Recommended Locations for 50% Ground Floor Commercial Requirements:  - Urban Village High Zones in City Center: Maintain existing ground floor commercial requirements, but add the following locations:City Center South/S 188th: Add ground floor commercial requirement to Urban Village High zoned parcel along frontage of S 188th Street between 36th Ave S and the eastern boundary of the City Center/Urban Center eastern boundaryCity Center Central/S 170th: Add ground floor commercial requirements to parcels zoned Urban Village High and Neighborhood Village Medium on the north side of S 170th StreetNote: City Center proposals will be reviewed as part of the subarea plan project that will resume after the completion of the Envision SeaTac 2044 Comprehensive Plan update project.  - Neighborhood Village High ZonesCity Hall/S 188th: Require ground floor commercial uses in parcels zoned Neighborhood Village High located on both sides of S 188th adjacent to Military Rd SMcMicken Heights/Military Rd S: Require ground floor commercial uses in parcels zoned Neighborhood Village High along both sides of Military Rd S.
<ul> <li>Staff Response:         <ul> <li>Staff agrees with Mr. Greif that the property is significantly constrained because of critical areas, and that a low density residential land use designation and Residential Low zoning of his parcel are appropriate.</li> </ul> </li> <li>Staff Recommendation:</li> </ul>

Comment Summary	Staff Response
Property owner opposes the proposal to change current single-family zoning, Urban Low 15,000, to match the existing Residential Medium land use designation because the property is severely constrained by critical areas, and therefore much of the parcel cannot be redeveloped.  The property owner is also concerned about property tax issues regarding his critical area constrained parcel.	<ul> <li>Change current land use designation, Residential Medium, to Residential Low.</li> <li>Change current zone, Urban Low 15,000, to the lowest density residential zone available under the updated zoning typology: Residential Low.</li> </ul>
Master Builders Association of King and Snohomish Counties (MBAKS)  Overall, the letter was supportive of the city's work. Staff believe that the current proposal and its analysis on housing paired with the work next year on middle housing and ADU regulations will address any concerns expressed by MBAKS.  MBAKS further requested that the city adopt its middle housing and ADU codes earlier than the June 30, 2025, deadline. Due to staff resources and priorities, staff does not believe we can commit to early adoption.	Staff Response:  Overall, the letter was supportive of the city's work. Staff believe that the current proposal and its analysis on housing paired with the work next year on middle housing and ADU regulations will address any concerns expressed by MBAKS.  MBAKs further requested that the city adopt its middle housing and ADU codes earlier than the June 30, 2025, deadline. Due to staff resources and priorities, staff does not believe we can commit to early adoption.  Staff Recommendation:  No changes to current proposal.
INTERESTED PARTY COMMENTS	
Jordan Family Comprehensive Plan	Staff Response:
Amendment and Rezone applicant	

<b>Comment Summary</b>	Staff Response
expressed concerns about mixed use requirements that would apply to their properties which are proposed to be rezoned to Neighborhood Village High.	After hearing comments from the Jordan Family and their consultant regarding the need for flexibility to the Neighborhood Village High Zone, staff reassessed the proposed new zone and its related code provisions.
The four parcels are located in McMicken Heights on Military Rd S, just north of and adjacent to Scott Plaza.	The Staff assessment indicated that the current Envision proposal provides increased building height and removes density restrictions compared to the Jordan Family's current zoning.
The four parcels are currently zoned single family but could be rezoned to the Urban High 900 multifamily zone. The Jordan's original proposal requested a new Urban High 450 zone be created and applied to	Since mixed use development in other locations within the city is being constructed, and commercial tenant space is being leased in those developments, including such uses as pharmacy, daycare, and pizzeria, staff believes market conditions would also allow for ground floor commercial uses to be provided as part of a new development within the Jordan Family's four parcels.
their properties. Their proposed new zone would maintain the current 55' building height but allow for increased density/units.	Staff Recommendation:  • No changes to current proposal.
AGENCY COMMENTS	
King County Affordable Housing Committee	Staff Response:
Comments on Draft Housing and Housing- Related Policies	<ul> <li>The Envision project consultant is completing work on data points required by the by King County Countywide Planning Policies.</li> </ul>
<ul> <li>In 2023, King County's Growth         Management Planning Council         directed the Affordable Housing         Committee to conduct a housing         focused review to assess draft         Comprehensive Plans for alignment         with the King County Countywide         Planning Policies (CPP).</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Staff Recommendation:</li> <li>Envision project staff will add in all data points required by the CPPs within the housing policies and/or background report as necessary to ensure full compliance with issues identified within the Affordable Housing Committee's letter.</li> </ul>

Staff Response
Staff Response:
While most of the comments appear to already be addressed through proposals in the Environment and Land Use Elements, staff may propose some, limited,
additional changes to increase alignment with state fish and wildlife plans in the
final review draft of the Comprehensive Plan to be reviewed by City Council.
Staff Recommendation:
<ul> <li>Planning Commission may direct staff to identify changes for the Final Draft policies to increase alignment with state fish and wildlife plans as appropriate.</li> </ul>