

## Gwen Rathe

---

**From:** PAMELA FERNALD <[REDACTED]>  
**Sent:** Wednesday, July 17, 2024 9:21 AM  
**To:** P&R Public Comment  
**Cc:** Mary Tuttle  
**Subject:** REVISION to my comment Fwd: public comment for Parks and Rec July 17 meeting  
**Attachments:** July 17, 2924 CSAC meeting.rtf  
  
**Importance:** High

[NOTICE: This message originated outside of City of SeaTac -- DO NOT CLICK on links or open attachments unless you are sure the content is safe.]

I have revised my attached comment so please use THIS version. Thank you. 😊

Pam Fernald

----- Original Message -----

**From:** PAMELA FERNALD <[REDACTED]>  
**To:** "PRpubliccomment@seatacwa.gov" <PRpubliccomment@seatacwa.gov>  
**Cc:** Mary Tuttle <mtuttle@seatacwa.gov>  
**Date:** 07/17/2024 12:07 AM PDT  
**Subject:** public comment for Parks and Rec July 17 meeting

Hi Mary,  
I cc'd you on this because I'm not sure I have submitted it to the correct place and hoped that if I messed up, you could let me know so I could get it to the correct place before the meeting. Hope that makes sense.

Thank you.

Be well.

Pam Fernald

**Comment for the July 17 Parks and Rec meeting regarding item 7 on the agenda.**

I am addressing CRF Tracking Number (Executive Asst. to assign): 2024-05 dated March 14, 2024 .

I strongly disagree with, and object to the request **to dissolve the Community Services Advisory Committee.**

I would like to understand what is meant by this statement from the CRF: "The Community Services Advisory Committee has run its course and no longer serves its purpose at this time."

- Can this be addressed at the July 17th meeting and added to the minutes to aid in understanding the reason for the CFR?

I believe that the application form and or process could be revised, **if** it is analyzed and determined that is what needs to happen, **without dissolving the committee.**

**The formal Committee provides the transparency and oversight necessary for tax payers to have the assurance and knowledge that the budgeted \$1.5 percent of their tax money is actually going to help SeaTac residents only, and not being spread around King County.**

**It is imperative that the distribution of the budgeted \$1.5 percent does not become an 'ad hoc' process away from the eyes of the public, and**

**remains transparent and accountable to the tax payers.**

Thank you.

Pam Fernald

SeaTac resident and tax payer (formerly involved with this committee)

## Gwen Rathe

---

**From:** Earl Gipson <[REDACTED]>  
**Sent:** Wednesday, July 17, 2024 12:31 PM  
**To:** P&R Public Comment  
**Cc:** City Council; Kyle Moore; Kim Cooper; Gwen Voelpel; Mary Mirante Bartolo  
**Subject:** Dissolution of the CSAC-NO!

**[NOTICE: This message originated outside of City of SeaTac -- DO NOT CLICK on links or open attachments unless you are sure the content is safe.]**

Dear Committee and City Council,

(ref: <https://www.seatacwa.gov/home/showpublisheddocument/37267/638566494069909800> )

This is not only a bad idea but reeks of bias by the CRF submitter not the other way around as stated by the submitter. The vetting by the CFAC and required performance of those submitting applications for Human Services funds has served the residents of City well and spent our money efficiently for our needs.

Our Human Services funds are to serve the residents of SeaTac and not to duplicate services of King County, nor enhance the coffers of favored "non-profits" of some SeaTac Council members. The process we have now is objective and thorough. Go corrupt/hand out political favors somewhere else.

With the dissolution of the CSAC the Human Services allocations will be as transparent as a brick and muddled in some PowerPoint presentation down the line (probably by a consultant). The intentions here ARE transparent. No accountability for expenditures of Human Services funds.

Please reject this proposal. I am tired of lecturing fools at Council Meetings. Council, please don't bother to reply.

Sincerely,

Earl Gipson  
SeaTac, WA 98188  
[REDACTED]

Those Bcc'ed. I am sure some of you have seen this "manuever" before.