

## Special Transportation and Public Works Committee Meeting

March 22, 2011 4:00 PM/Airport Conference Room - 345

Present:	<b>Present:</b>	Absent:	<b>Commence:</b>	<u>Adjourn:</u>
Ralph Shape, Chair		X	4:00pm	5:01pm
Mia Gregerson	X		-	-
Rick Forschler	X			

Other Councilmembers present: Mayor Terry Anderson, Pam Fernald

Staff Coordinator: Tom Gut, Public Works Director

Item #1 was jointly reviewed with Land Use and Parks Committee

AB#	Торіс	Disposition
	1. Motion approving Intergovernmental Agreement with the Port of Seattle regarding an aerial crossing of S 188 <sup>th</sup> Street for the South Access Roadway	X Informational Update X Recommended for:  X Approval Approval with modifications Denial X Referred to 4/12/11 RCM
	Comments: The original concept was for South Access to cross South 188 <sup>th</sup> Street in a tunnel. Sound Transit's coordination with the Port on the Light Rail extension south of the airport has led the Port to develop an option of an aerial crossing. At a December 14, 2010 Council Workshop, Port staff presented two options for the future South Access to cross South 188 <sup>th</sup> Street. Afterwards, the Interim City Manager sent a letter on behalf of the Council to convey the Council's expressed support to cross South 188 <sup>th</sup> Street overhead rather than via tunnel. The letter also committed the City to craft an agreement with the Port recognizing that the aerial option is preferred by the City. The terms of the draft Intergovernmental Agreement were presented to both LUP and T&PW. The agreement establishes that the aerial alternative is the preferred option of both the Port and City. It requires that, in the future, if either the Port or the City desires to change the preferred option, that party would be responsible for the cost difference between the aerial and tunnel options. The agreement sets a ten year time limit to this agreement. The agreement provides certainty for Sound Transit's light rail extension to proceed in the tight corridor north of South 188 <sup>th</sup> Street.	

AB#	Topic	Disposition	
	2. Continued discussion of electric vehicle charging station installation at City Hall	X Informational Update Recommended for: Approval Approval with modifications Denial X Referred to staff provide further information at 4/26/11 T&PW Committee Meeting	
	Comments: Previous considerations of this item were presented. The purpose of a federal grant is to create jobs and encourage use of electric vehicles by deploying charging stations in select markets. In the Seattle area market, there are two grant eligible vendors to install charging stations for public use. Various factors of each vendor were presented. The City has the option of installing a private charging station for City use which would only require minor wiring changes, or installing a charging station which could be open for public use. The public charging station option could be partially grant funded and would have a mechanism to charge the users. Concern was raised about providing a service to the public that competes with the private sector. Discussion resulted in requests for additional information regarding the locations of other public charging stations in the area.		
AB#	Topic	Disposition	
	3. Presentation of Low Impact Development (LID) Report	<ul> <li>X Informational Update</li> <li>Recommended for:</li> <li>Approval</li> <li>Approval with modifications</li> <li>Denial</li> <li>X Referred to staff to submit to Dept. of Ecology</li> </ul>	
	Comments: This presentation was started at the 2/22/11 T&PW meeting and had completed 3/22/11 due to time constraints. Staff gave a brief summation of the key point issues of the Low Impact Development Report (Appendix B of the NPDES Annual Report presentation described how low impact development (LID) methods are currently being locally. It also indicated that the report was an opportunity to provide input on how requirements will likely be folded into the next NPDES permit reissuance in 2012. The recommended that LID should be incentivized rather than required due to several issues rather from financial to potential environmental impacts. Staff estimated that fully implementing and developing design standards may take five to seven years. This report and the presentation of		

AB#	Topic	Disposition
	4. Discussion of 2011/2012 Neighborhood Pedestrian Project	<ul> <li>X_Informational Update</li> <li>Recommended for:</li> <li>Approval</li> <li>Approval with modifications</li> <li>Denial</li> <li>_X_Referred to staff to prepare design contract</li> </ul>
	Project was presented. This local Improvement Program and approved to been brought forward that South 166 <sup>th</sup> to Military Road be added to the scop Various options were discussed. Staff 2011 Neighborhood Sidewalk Project. Council consideration in April, 2011.	on of South 164 <sup>th</sup> St as the 2011 Neighborhood Sidewalk tion was included in the 2011-2020 Transportation by the T&PW Committee on 1/15/11. A suggestion has Street and South 168 <sup>th</sup> Street from McMicken Elementary be of work for the 2011 Neighborhood Sidewalk Project. was requested to go ahead with South 164 <sup>th</sup> Street as the Staff will be bringing a consultant contract forward for Staff will come back to the Council during the 2012-2021 will include South 166th Street and South 168 <sup>th</sup> Street for ct.
AB#	Topic	Disposition
3313	5. Motion authorizing City Manager to execute a construction contract for S 138 <sup>th</sup> Street Sidewalk Project	X Informational Update X Recommended for: X Approval Approval with modifications Denial X Referred to 4/26/11 RCM
	Comments: The project was described and the Engineer's Estimate was presented. The current schedule is to advertise the project on 3/30/11 and open bids on 4/13/11. If bids do not exceed the Engineer's Estimate by more than ten percent, this item will be brought to the Council of 4/26/11.	
AB#	Topic	Disposition
	6. Discussion of Comcast Franchise renewal	Informational UpdateRecommended for: Approval Approval with modifications DenialReferred to
	Comments: Due to time constraints, this item was not reviewed.	