

January 21, 2022

Mr. Morgan Llewellyn Llewellyn Real Estate 601 West Grove Street Kent, Washington 98032

RE: Limited Phase II Subsurface Investigation and Geophysical Survey Shamseldin Property 20841, 21001, and 21011 International Boulevard, SeaTac, Washington 98198 RGI Project No. 2021-678-3

Dear Mr. Llewellyn:

The Riley Group, Inc. (RGI) is pleased to present our Limited Phase II Subsurface Investigation (Phase II) and Geophysical Survey for the above-referenced Shamseldin Property located at 20841, 21001, and 21011 International Boulevard in SeaTac, Washington (hereafter referred to as the Property, Figure 1). Authorization for this project was provided by Shannon Shamseldin on December 16, 2021.

PROJECT BACKGROUND

RGI completed, on behalf of Llewellyn Real Estate, a Phase I Environmental Site Assessment (ESA) on December 9, 2021 (RGI project number 2021-678-1). Based on our Phase I ESA findings, the following recognized environmental conditions (RECs) were identified:

- Suspect Fuel Underground Storage Tank (UST): Morgan Llewellyn, the client, indicated that "there may have been a small UST on the north side of the Property". The status (abandoned, removed, or closed-in-place) of the UST is unknown. The location, size, and installation date of the UST are unknown. No environmental sampling and testing of soils adjacent to the suspect UST is known to have been performed. Therefore, the soil and shallow groundwater quality in the vicinity of the suspect UST is unknown. The suspect fuel UST was considered a REC.
- Area-Wide Contamination: Ecology's Facility/Site Atlas online database mapped the Property as within the area affected by the Tacoma Smelter Plume. Arsenic concentrations ranging from 20.1 to 40 parts per million (ppm) have been found in soils tested near the Property. No known arsenic testing has been conducted at the Property. The Model Toxics Control Act (MTCA) Method A Soil Cleanup Level for Unrestricted Land Uses for arsenic is 20 ppm. The Property being located within the area known to have been affected by the former Tacoma Smelter Plume was considered a REC.

RGI recommended a geophysical survey be performed in an effort to locate any abandoned, decommissioned, or former UST locations at the Property and if an UST was discovered, that a Phase II be performed to evaluate the Property soil and shallow groundwater quality in the vicinity of the UST.

RGI also recommended shallow soil sampling at the Property to determine if shallow soils have been adversely impacted by the former Tacoma Smelter Plume.

Corporate Office 17522 Bothell Way Northeast Bothell, Washington 98011 Phone 425.415.0551 ∳ Fax 425.415.0311

www.riley-group.com

At the request of Llwellyn Real Estate (hereafter referred to as the Client), RGI has prepared this Phase II report to evaluate the above summarized potential environmental concerns.

SCOPE OF WORK

The scope of work for this project was performed in accordance with our proposal, dated December 15, 2021 and included the following:

- Performed public and private utility locating in an attempt to identify the location(s) of buried utility lines servicing the building on the Property.
- Performed a geophysical survey of the accessible areas of the Property in an attempt to locate any existing abandoned or decommissioned-in-place UST(s).
- Collected approximately 40 shallow soil samples at locations evenly spaced throughout the Property at depths between 6 to 12 inches below ground surface (bgs) as well as collect two deeper soil samples (2 feet and 5 feet bgs) adjacent to a geophysical anomaly.
- Submitted select soil samples for laboratory analysis of potential contaminants of concern.
- Compared analytical results to the Washington State Department of Ecology (Ecology) Model Toxics Control Act (MTCA) Method A Soil Cleanup Levels (CULs) for Unrestricted Land Use.
- > Prepared this report presenting our findings, observations, conclusions, and recommendations.

SUBSURFACE INVESTIGATION AND SAMPLING

Private and Public Utility Locate

At least 48 hours prior to commencing our subsurface investigation, RGI contacted One-Call to locate known public underground utilities near, or on, the Property. Public underground utilities located included electric, natural gas, telecommunications, water, sewer, and cable.

RGI also retained a private utility locator to locate private water, natural gas, electric, and other metallic underground utility conduits potentially located in the proposed test probe locations.

GEOPHYSICAL SURVEY

RGI supervised a contractor to perform the geophysical survey in an effort to locate any existing abandoned or decommissioned-in-place UST(s), any remaining product or vent piping, or other underground metallic anomalies. The geophysical survey utilized a ground-penetrating radar (GPR) along with a supplemental electromagnetic unit (magnetometer) to traverse the Property on approximately 5-to 10-foot-line spacing.

The geophysical survey uncovered various abandoned electrical and water utility lines as well as several other small anomalies indicative of abandoned utilities or debris (not displaying the size or dimensions of a UST). While no anomalies were found which displayed a curved radargram signature suggestive of USTs or indicated disturbed subsurface materials suggestive of a UST excavation, one geophysical anomaly was detected on the northern portion of the Property measuring approximately 5.5 feet wide by 6 feet long. The anomaly displayed a flat top on the radargram at a depth of approximately 1.5 to 2 feet bgs which does not rule out a UST (tops may collapse, irregular tank, etc.). The anomaly did not appear to be metal. The Client authorized deeper sampling at test pits adjacent to that anomaly on January 5, 2022.

Subsurface Investigation

On January 10, 2022, soil samples were collected from shallow excavations at depths ranging from approximately 6 inches to 12 inches bgs at forty locations across the Property (SS1 through SS40).



Additional soil samples were collected at 2 feet bgs and 5 feet bgs adjacent to the geophysical anomaly (SS40 and SS41). The excavations were advanced using a track-hoe excavator. Groundwater was not encountered during this phase of work. Test probe locations are shown on Figure 2 and described below.

The soil samples were collected at locations evenly spaced throughout the Property, except for the western margin of the Property, which was sloped and heavily overgrown with brush/vegetation which prevented access, although samples were collected at the base of the vegetated areas. Sample SS40 and SS41 were placed on opposite ends of the geophysical anomaly mentioned above. The selected sample locations generally comply with screening criteria established in Ecology's standards regarding testing of soils related to the ASARCO Smelter Plume.

Subsurface Conditions

Soil conditions encountered were described using the Unified Soil Classification System (USCS). Soils from the surface to 0.5-1 foot bgs appeared to be fill soils with deeper material consisting of glacial till (a dense mixture of silt, sand, and gravel). The fill appeared to be moist to wet due to recent storm events with the till appearing moist. No groundwater was encountered at the deepest depth of 8 feet bgs.

Soil Sampling

Soil samples were collected upon completion of surface excavations to depths of 6 inches by the contracted excavator. Additionally, soil samples from approximately 12 inches bgs were collected at 25% of the sampling locations to be evaluated for lead and arsenic. As mentioned above, at locations SS40 and SS41, placed adjacent to the large geophysical anomaly, test pits were excavated to deeper depths in an effort to determine potential impacts from the suspected tank. At SS40, part of the anomaly was uncovered during this excavation. As indicated by the non-metallic response from the EM equipment, the anomaly appeared to be a concrete vault type feature and an opening in the upper corner of the vault revealed water which had collected inside. No odors were observed and inserting a portable gas photoionization detector (PID) into the vault revealed no detections of volatile gases (as would likely be present if petroleum had been stored inside). Sample pit SS40 was extended to a depth of approximately 8 feet bgs and no odors, staining, sheens, or elevated PID readings were observed. Samples were collected at SS40 at 0.5, 1, 2, and 5 feet bgs. The 5 foot depth appeared to be consistent with the bottom or slightly below the bottom of the concrete vault. At sample location SS41, upon reaching a depth of 2 feet bgs, a clay pipe was encountered and top broken as it was not identified by the geophysical equipment. The pipe appeared to contain water. None of the contents of the pipe or vault appeared to have escaped those features at the locations and depths observed. A sample was collected below the pipe however no deeper excavation occurred in that area. No elevated PID readings, odors, sheens, or discolorations were observed at any of the soil sample locations.

Sampling Protocols

All samples were collected in accordance with our standard operating and decontamination procedures. Samples were placed in preconditioned, sterilized containers provided by an Ecology-accredited analytical laboratory. If soil samples were collected for analysis of VOCs, they were collected using the Environmental Protection Agency's Method 5035 sampling method. The samples were placed in a chilled cooler throughout the field program, with all subsequent transportation and transfer accomplished in strict accordance with RGI's chain-of-custody procedures. Analytical test certificates, including quality control, data, and chain-of-custody documentation for all samples submitted to the analytical testing laboratory by RGI as part of this Phase II are included in Appendix A. All soil sample locations were backfilled with excavated material.



REGULATORY FRAMEWORK

Washington's hazardous waste cleanup law, the Model Toxics Control Act (Chapter 70.105D RCW), mandates the necessity for site cleanups to protect human health and the environment. The MTCA Cleanup Regulation (Chapter 173-340 WAC) defines the approach for establishing cleanup requirements for individual sites, including the establishment of cleanup standards and selection of cleanup actions.

The MTCA Cleanup Regulation provides three options for establishing generic and site-specific cleanup levels for soil and groundwater. Method A cleanup levels have been adopted for specific purposes and are intended to provide conservative cleanup levels for sites undergoing routine site characterization or cleanup actions or those sites with relatively few hazardous substances. Method B and C cleanup levels are set using a site risk assessment, which focus on the use of "reasonable maximum exposure" assumptions based on site-specific characteristics and toxicity of the contaminants of concern.

For purposes of comparison, analytical laboratory data for this project are compared to the *MTCA Method A Soil CULs for Unrestricted Land Uses*, summarized in the attached Table 1.

ANALYTICAL LABORATORY ANALYSIS

Soil samples were submitted to Friedman & Bruya, Inc. (FBI), an Ecology-accredited, third-party analytical laboratory for the requested analyses. The samples were analyzed for one or more of the following contaminants of concern:

- > Total arsenic and lead using EPA 6020B (40 soil samples).
- Hydrocarbon identification (HCID) using qualitative Northwest Method NWTPH-HCID (two soil samples).

ANALYTICAL RESULTS

Analytical results and field screening data, summarized in the attached Table 1 and Figure 2, are discussed below. Copies of the analytical laboratory reports and associated sample chain-of-custody forms are included in Appendix A.

Soil Analytical Results

Various concentrations of arsenic and lead were reported in the soil samples analyzed; however, all concentrations were below the applicable MTCA Method A cleanup levels for arsenic and lead.

Testing of soils collected at a depth of 5 feet bgs at soil sample location 40 (SS40) at the approximate base of the concrete vault/geophysical anomaly feature as well soil sampled from 2 feet bgs at location 41 (SS41) at the base of the clay pipe adjacent to the geophysical anomaly revealed no detections of gasoline-, diesel-, or oil-range total petroleum hydrocarbons (TPH).

CONCLUSIONS & RECOMMENDATIONS

Based on our findings to-date, RGI concludes and/or recommends the following:

Contamination above MTCA Method A CULs for the contaminants tested for was not encountered in the soil samples analyzed at the Property. Based on the laboratory results, it does not appear that the historic Tacoma Smelter Plume has impacted shallow soil at the Property at the locations and depths tested. No further actions associated with the historic Tacoma Smelter Plume are recommended at this time.



- Depending upon future use of the Property, if the geophysical anomaly/concrete vault feature identified on the northern portion of the Property is no longer needed, RGI would recommend that such feature be removed and the concrete material disposed of at an appropriate facility.
- While the geophysical survey did not identify the presence of a UST for petroleum storage, that does not necessarily mean that a UST may not still be somewhere beneath the Property. If during the course of future site development/construction activities, a UST is encountered, RGI recommends it be properly decommissioned and removed in accordance with the applicable city, county, and/or state requirements. As a component of such decommissioning activity, it would be RGI's further recommendation that soil and/or groundwater samples be obtained by a licensed professional from appropriate localities within such a tank excavation and submitted for laboratory analysis in an effort to ascertain whether or not subsurface environmental conditions at the time of removal are consistent with WDOE cleanup standards in effect at that time.

PROJECT LIMITATIONS

This report is the property of Shannon Shamseldin, Morgan Llewellyn, Llewellyn Real Estate and their authorized representatives or affiliates and was prepared in a manner consistent with the level of skill and care ordinarily exercised by members of the profession currently practicing in the same locality and under similar conditions. This report is intended for specific application to the Shamseldin Property located at 20841, 21001, and 21011 International Boulevard, SeaTac, King County, Washington. No warranty or guarantee, expressed or implied, is made.

The analyses and recommendations presented in this report are based upon data obtained from our review of available information at the time of preparing this report, test locations dug on the Property, or other noted data sources. The findings and conclusions of this study are based upon the results of laboratory testing of selected samples obtained from separated locations and conditions may vary between those localities or at other locations, depths, media, or date. Access to the western margin of the Property was prevented by dense scrub vegetation, preventing sample collection in those areas. Conditional changes may occur through time by natural or human-made process on this or adjacent properties. Additional changes may occur in legislative standards, which may or may not be applicable to this report. These changes, beyond RGI's control, may render this report invalid, partially or wholly. If variations appear evident, RGI should be requested to reevaluate the recommendations in this report.



Please contact the undersigned at (425) 415-0551 should you have any questions or need additional information.

Sincerely, **THE RILEY GROUP, INC.**

Eric Zuern Project Geologist

Megan Poysnick, LG Senior Environmental Manager

Attachments:Figure 1, Property Vicinity Map
Figure 2, Property Representation with Soil Analytical ResultsTable 1, Summary of Soil Sample Analytical Laboratory ResultsAppendix A, Analytical Laboratory Report and Chains of CustodyDistribution:Mr. Morgan Llewellyn, Llewellyn Real Estate (PDF)







= Soil Analytical Results in mg/kg;

Depth = Feet below ground surface

HCID = Hydrocarbon identification

Gas/DSL/Oil = Gasoline, diesel, oil total petroleum hydrocarbons (TPH)

As, Pb = Total arsenic, lead

ND = Not detected above laboratory detection limits Bold results indicate concentrations above laboratory detection limits

Bold and highlighted results (if any) indicate concentrations above MTCA Soil Cleanup Levels

= Geophysical survey area $\mathbb{Z}\mathbb{Z}$

- = 6-inch sample depth by RGI, 01/10/22
- = 12-inch sample depth by RGI, 01/10/22
- \oplus = 2-foot sample depth by RGI, 01/10/22
- Property boundary



S	S41										
Denth		HCID									
Septit	Gas	DSL	Oil								
2	ND	ND	ND	I							
SS29)				SS28	3		1			
Depth	As	Pb		ate	Depth	As	Pb				
1	3.02	3.03	01/	10/22	0.5	7.59	2.33				
								1			
SS32					<u>SS33</u>	3					
Depth	As	Pb		ate	Depth	As	Pb				
0.5	3.92	10.8	01/2	10/22	0.5	7.33	15.8				
SS31			i 🗖		SS30)]			
Depth	As	Pb		ate	Depth	As	Pb				
0.5	4.17	12.9	01/2	10/22	0.5	5.75	9.26				
								1			
SS2					SS1						
Depth	As	Pb		ate	Depth	As	Pb				
1	4.92	7.94	<u> 01/:</u>	10/22	0.5	5.65	27	J			
553			Í 🖂		555			1			
Depth	Δς	Ph		ate	Depth	Δc	Ph				
0.5	3,69	24.6		10/22	1	2.65	69.7				
0.5	5.05	24.0		10/22		2.05	05.7]			
SS11					SS13	3					
Depth	As	Pb	D	ate	Depth	As	Pb				
0.5	3.76	23.2	01/:	10/22	0.5	3.33	2.88				
CC1/			í —		5512			1			
J314 Denth	٨c	Dh			JDenth	٨c	Dh				
0.5	AS 7 20	PU 72 7		ale 10/22	05	AS 2 E 0	PU 11 1				
0.5	7.50	/5./		10/22	0.5	5.50	11.1	J			
SS18					SS15	5					
Depth	As	Pb	D	ate	Depth	As	Pb				
0.5	7.52	120	01/:	10/22	0.5	6.17	56.3				
6610		,	í								
5519 Dooth	<u> </u>	Dh	-								
OF		26 5									
0.5	5.69	30.5	J								
SS16	i										
Depth	As	Pb									
0.5	18.5	102	1								
			1								
SS17			-								
Depth	As	Pb									
1	4.06	5.72	J								
			Δn	nrovi	mate	Scale	• 1"=e	' 0'			
						Jean	I -C				
				3	0	60			120	Ń.	
		:	0		<u> </u>	00					
Sh	amse	eldin P	roper	ty					Figure	2 2	
er: P	oner	tv Rer	oreser	ntatio	on wit	h Soi	Analy	/tical	Date	Drawn	1:
''	Reculte 01/2022										
		4044		1.030	110		<u> </u>			12022	
, 2100	21001, & 21011 International Boulevard, Seatac, Washington 98198										

Table 1, Page 1 of 2. Summary of Soil Sample Analytical Laboratory ResultsShamseldin Property

20841, 21001, & 21011 International Boulevard, Seatac, Washington 9819	8
The Riley Group, Inc. Project No. 2021-678-3	

Sample	Samnle	Sample	HCID			Total Metals	
Number	Depth	Date	Gasoline	Diesel	Heavy Oil	As	Pb
SS1-0.5	0.5	01/10/22				5.65	27
SS2-1	1	01/10/22				4.92	7.94
SS3-0.5	0.5	01/10/22				3.69	24.6
SS4-0.5	0.5	01/10/22				10.4	108
SS5-1	1	01/10/22				2.65	69.7
SS6-0.5	0.5	01/10/22				4.23	41.2
SS7-0.5	0.5	01/10/22				8.63	43.3
SS8-1	1	01/10/22				1.60	1.93
SS9-0.5	0.5	01/10/22				3.98	36.9
SS10-0.5	0.5	01/10/22				3.24	21.7
SS11-0.5	0.5	01/10/22				3.76	23.2
SS12-0.5	0.5	01/10/22				3.58	11.1
SS13-0.5	0.5	01/10/22				3.33	2.88
SS14-0.5	0.5	01/10/22				7.38	73.7
SS15-0.5	0.5	01/10/22				6.17	56.3
SS16-0.5	0.5	01/10/22				18.5	102
SS17-1	1	01/10/22				4.06	5.72
SS18-0.5	0.5	01/10/22				7.52	120
SS19-0.5	0.5	01/10/22				5.89	36.5
SS20-0.5	0.5	01/10/22				8.64	7.23
SS21-0.5	0.5	01/10/22				2.9	15.5
SS22-1	1	01/10/22				12.9	22.7
SS23-0.5	0.5	01/10/22				3.13	5
SS24-0.5	0.5	01/10/22				3.49	11
SS25-0.5	0.5	01/10/22				2.14	2.16
SS26-1	1	01/10/22				2.49	2.33
SS27-0.5	0.5	01/10/22				3.46	6.76
SS28-0.5	0.5	01/10/22				7.59	2.33
SS29-1	1	01/10/22				3.02	3.03
SS30-0.5	0.5	01/10/22				5.75	9.26
SS31-0.5	0.5	01/10/22				4.17	12.9
SS32-0.5	0.5	01/10/22				3.92	10.8
SS33-0.5	0.5	01/10/22				7.33	15.8
SS34-1	1	01/10/22				2.21	1.89
SS35-0.5	0.5	01/10/22				6.19	23.1
MTCA Method A Soil Cleanup Levels for Unrestricted Land Uses			100/30 ¹	2,0	000	20	250

Table 1, Page 2 of 2. Summary of Soil Sample Analytical Laboratory ResultsShamseldin Property

20841, 21001, & 21011 International Boulevard, Seatac, Washington 9819	8
The Riley Group, Inc. Project No. 2021-678-3	

Sample	Sample	Sample		HCID		Total	Metals
Number	Depth	Date	Gasoline	Diesel	Heavy Oil	As	Pb
SS36-0.5	0.5	01/10/22				5.22	17.5
SS37-1	1	01/10/22				8.24	17.4
SS38-0.5	0.5	01/10/22				8.66	22.2
SS39-0.5	0.5	01/10/22				8.73	23.9
SS40-1	1	01/10/22				3.11	4
SS40-5	5	01/10/22	ND<20	ND<50	ND<250		
SS41-2	2	01/10/22	ND<20	ND<50	ND<250		
MTCA Method A Soil Cleanup Levels for Unrestricted Land Uses		100/30 ¹	2,0	000	20	250	

Notes:

All results and detection limits are given in milligrams per kilogram (mg/kg); equivalent to parts per million (ppm).

Sample Depth = Soil sample depth interval in feet below ground surface (bgs).

Gasoline, Diesel, and Oil HCID (hydrocarbon identification) determined using Northwest Test Method NWTPH-HCID.

Total Metals (As = arsenic, Pb = lead) determined using EPA Method 6020B.

ND = Not detected at a concentration above the analytical detection limit.

---- = Not analyzed or not applicable.

Washington State Department of Ecology (Ecology) Model Toxics Control Act (MTCA) Method A Soil Cleanup Levels for Unrestricted Land Uses (WAC 173-340-900, Table 740-1).

¹ The higher cleanup level is allowed if no benzene is present in the gasoline mixture and the total concentration of toluene, ethylbenzene and xylenes is less than 1% of the gasoline mixture.

Bold results indicate concentrations (if any) above laboratory detection limits.

Bold and yellow highlighted results indicate concentrations (if any) that exceed MTCA Method A Soil Cleanup Levels.

ENVIRONMENTAL CHEMISTS

James E. Bruya, Ph.D. Yelena Aravkina, M.S. Michael Erdahl, B.S. Vineta Mills, M.S. Eric Young, B.S. 3012 16th Avenue West Seattle, WA 98119-2029 (206) 285-8282 fbi@isomedia.com www.friedmanandbruya.com

January 19, 2022

Eric Zuern, Project Manager The Riley Group, Inc. 17522 Bothell Way NE Bothell, WA 98011

Dear Mr Zuern:

Included are the results from the testing of material submitted on January 10, 2022 from the Shamseldin 2021-678-3, F&BI 201094 project. There are 48 pages included in this report. Any samples that may remain are currently scheduled for disposal in 30 days, or as directed by the Chain of Custody document. If you would like us to return your samples or arrange for long term storage at our offices, please contact us as soon as possible.

We appreciate this opportunity to be of service to you and hope you will call if you have any questions.

Sincerely,

FRIEDMAN & BRUYA, INC.

Cale

Michael Erdahl Project Manager

Enclosures c: Tait Russell TRG0119R.DOC

ENVIRONMENTAL CHEMISTS

CASE NARRATIVE

This case narrative encompasses samples received on January 10, 2022 by Friedman & Bruya, Inc. from the The Riley Group Shamseldin 2021-678-3, F&BI 201094 project. Samples were logged in under the laboratory ID's listed below.

<u>Laboratory ID</u>	<u>The Riley Group</u>
201094 -01	SS1-0.5
201094 -02	SS2-0.5
201094 -03	SS2-1
201094 -04	SS3-0.5
201094 -05	SS4-0.5
201094 -06	SS5-0.5
201094 -07	SS5-1
201094 -08	SS6-0.5
201094 -09	SS7-0.5
201094 -10	SS8-0.5
201094 -11	SS8-1
201094 -12	SS9-0.5
201094 -13	SS10-0.5
201094 -14	SS11-0.5
201094 -15	SS12-0.5
201094 -16	SS13-0.5
201094 -17	SS14-0.5
201094 -18	SS15-0.5
201094 -19	SS16-0.5
201094 -20	SS17-0.5
201094 -21	SS17-1
201094 -22	SS18-0.5
201094 -23	SS19-0.5
201094 -24	SS20-0.5
201094 -25	SS21-0.5
201094 -26	SS22-0.5
201094 -27	SS22-1
201094 -28	SS23-0.5
201094 -29	SS24-0.5
201094 -30	SS25-0.5
201094 -31	SS26-0.5
201094 -32	SS26-1
201094 -33	SS27-0.5
201094 -34	SS28-0.5
201094 -35	SS29-0.5
201094 -36	SS29-1

ENVIRONMENTAL CHEMISTS

CASE NARRATIVE (continued)

<u>Laboratory ID</u>	<u>The Riley Group</u>
201094 -37	SS30-0.5
201094 -38	SS31-0.5
201094 -39	SS32-0.5
201094 -40	SS33-0.5
201094 -41	SS34-0.5
201094 -42	SS34-1
201094 -43	SS35-0.5
201094 -44	SS36-0.5
201094 -45	SS37-0.5
201094 -46	SS37-1
201094 -47	SS38-0.5
201094 -48	SS39-0.5
201094 -49	SS40-0.5
201094 -50	SS40-1
201094 -51	SS40-2
201094 -52	SS40-5
201094 -53	SS41-2

All quality control requirements were acceptable.

ENVIRONMENTAL CHEMISTS

Date of Report: 01/19/22 Date Received: 01/10/22 Project: Shamseldin 2021-678-3, F&BI 201094 Date Extracted: 01/11/22 Date Analyzed: 01/11/22

RESULTS FROM THE ANALYSIS OF SOIL SAMPLES FOR GASOLINE, DIESEL AND HEAVY OIL BY NWTPH-HCID

Results Reported on a Dry Weight Basis Results Reported as Not Detected (ND) or Detected (D)

THE DATA PROVIDED BELOW WAS PERFORMED PER THE GUIDELINES ESTABLISHED BY THE WASHINGTON DEPARTMENT OF ECOLOGY AND WERE NOT DESIGNED TO PROVIDE INFORMATION WITH REGARDS TO THE ACTUAL IDENTIFICATION OF ANY MATERIAL PRESENT

<u>Sample ID</u> Laboratory ID	<u>Gasoline</u>	<u>Diesel</u>	<u>Heavy Oil</u>	Surrogate (% Recovery) (Limit 56-165)
SS40-5 201094-52	ND	ND	ND	108
SS41-2 201094-53	ND	ND	ND	109
Method Blank 02-0085 MB2	ND	ND	ND	107

ND - Material not detected at or above 20 mg/kg gas, 50 mg/kg diesel and 250 mg/kg heavy oil.

ENVIRONMENTAL CHEMISTS

Client ID:	SS1-0.5	Client:	The Riley Group
Date Received:	01/10/22	Project:	Shamseldin 2021-678-3
Date Extracted:	01/11/22	Lab ID:	201094-01
Date Analyzed:	01/11/22	Data File:	201094-01.059
Matrix:	Soil	Instrument:	ICPMS2
Units:	mg/kg (ppm) Dry Weight	Operator:	SP
	Concentration		
Analyte:	mg/kg (ppm)		
Arsenic	5.65		
Lead	27.0		

ENVIRONMENTAL CHEMISTS

Client ID:	SS2-1	Client:	The Riley Group
Date Received:	01/10/22	Project:	Shamseldin 2021-678-3
Date Extracted:	01/11/22	Lab ID:	201094-03
Date Analyzed:	01/11/22	Data File:	201094-03.062
Matrix:	Soil	Instrument:	ICPMS2
Units:	mg/kg (ppm) Dry Weight	Operator:	SP
	Concentration		
Analyte:	mg/kg (ppm)		
Arsenic	4.92		
Lead	7.94		

ENVIRONMENTAL CHEMISTS

Client ID:	SS3-0.5	Client:	The Riley Group
Date Received:	01/10/22	Project:	Shamseldin 2021-678-3
Date Extracted:	01/11/22	Lab ID:	201094-04
Date Analyzed:	01/11/22	Data File:	201094-04.063
Matrix:	Soil	Instrument:	ICPMS2
Units:	mg/kg (ppm) Dry Weight	Operator:	SP
	Concentration		
Analyte:	mg/kg (ppm)		
Arsenic	3.69		
Lead	24.6		

ENVIRONMENTAL CHEMISTS

Client ID:	SS4-0.5	Client:	The Riley Group
Date Received:	01/10/22	Project:	Shamseldin 2021-678-3
Date Extracted:	01/11/22	Lab ID:	201094-05
Date Analyzed:	01/11/22	Data File:	201094-05.064
Matrix:	Soil	Instrument:	ICPMS2
Units:	mg/kg (ppm) Dry Weight	Operator:	SP
	Concentration		
Analyte:	mg/kg (ppm)		
Arsenic	10.4		
Lead	108		

ENVIRONMENTAL CHEMISTS

Client ID:	SS5-1	Client:	The Riley Group
Date Received:	01/10/22	Project:	Shamseldin 2021-678-3
Date Extracted:	01/11/22	Lab ID:	201094-07
Date Analyzed:	01/11/22	Data File:	201094-07.065
Matrix:	Soil	Instrument:	ICPMS2
Units:	mg/kg (ppm) Dry Weight	Operator:	SP
	Concentration		
Analyte:	mg/kg (ppm)		
Arsenic	2.65		
Lead	69.7		

ENVIRONMENTAL CHEMISTS

Client ID:	SS6-0.5	Client:	The Riley Group
Date Received:	01/10/22	Project:	Shamseldin 2021-678-3
Date Extracted:	01/11/22	Lab ID:	201094-08
Date Analyzed:	01/11/22	Data File:	201094-08.066
Matrix:	Soil	Instrument:	ICPMS2
Units:	mg/kg (ppm) Dry Weight	Operator:	SP
	Concentration		
Analyte:	mg/kg (ppm)		
Arsenic	4.23		
Lead	41.2		

ENVIRONMENTAL CHEMISTS

Client ID:	SS7-0.5	Client:	The Riley Group
Date Received:	01/10/22	Project:	Shamseldin 2021-678-3
Date Extracted:	01/11/22	Lab ID:	201094-09
Date Analyzed:	01/11/22	Data File:	201094-09.067
Matrix:	Soil	Instrument:	ICPMS2
Units:	mg/kg (ppm) Dry Weight	Operator:	SP
	Concentration		
Analyte:	mg/kg (ppm)		
Arsenic	8.63		
Lead	43.3		

ENVIRONMENTAL CHEMISTS

Client ID: Date Received: Date Extracted: Date Analyzed: Matrix:	SS8-1 01/10/22 01/11/22 01/11/22 Soil	Client: Project: Lab ID: Data File: Instrument:	The Riley Group Shamseldin 2021-678-3 201094-11 201094-11.068 ICPMS2
Units:	mg/kg (ppm) Dry Weight	Operator:	SP
Analyte:	Concentration mg/kg (ppm)		
Arsenic	1.60		
Lead	1.93		

ENVIRONMENTAL CHEMISTS

Client ID:	SS9-0.5	Client:	The Riley Group
Date Received:	01/10/22	Project:	Shamseldin 2021-678-3
Date Extracted:	01/11/22	Lab ID:	201094-12
Date Analyzed:	01/11/22	Data File:	201094-12.071
Matrix:	Soil	Instrument:	ICPMS2
Units:	mg/kg (ppm) Dry Weight	Operator:	SP
	Concentration		
Analyte:	mg/kg (ppm)		
Arsenic	3.98		
Lead	36.9		

ENVIRONMENTAL CHEMISTS

Client ID: Date Received: Date Extracted: Date Analyzed: Matrix:	SS10-0.5 01/10/22 01/11/22 01/11/22 Soil	Client: Project: Lab ID: Data File: Instrument:	The Riley Group Shamseldin 2021-678-3 201094-13 201094-13.072 ICPMS2
Units:	mg/kg (ppm) Dry Weight	Operator:	SP
Analyte:	Concentration mg/kg (ppm)		
Arsenic	3.24		
Lead	21.7		

ENVIRONMENTAL CHEMISTS

Client ID: Date Received: Date Extracted: Date Analyzed:	SS11-0.5 01/10/22 01/11/22 01/11/22 Social	Client: Project: Lab ID: Data File:	The Riley Group Shamseldin 2021-678-3 201094-14 201094-14.073
Units:	Soll mg/kg (ppm) Dry Weight	Operator:	SP
Analyte:	Concentration mg/kg (ppm)	- F	
Arsenic Lead	$\begin{array}{c} 3.76\\ 23.2 \end{array}$		

ENVIRONMENTAL CHEMISTS

Client ID:	SS12-0.5	Client:	The Riley Group
Date Received:	01/10/22	Project:	Shamseldin 2021-678-3
Date Extracted:	01/11/22	Lab ID:	201094-15
Date Analyzed:	01/11/22	Data File:	$201094 ext{-} 15.074$
Matrix:	Soil	Instrument:	ICPMS2
Units:	mg/kg (ppm) Dry Weight	Operator:	SP
	Concentration		
Analyte:	mg/kg (ppm)		
Arsenic	3.58		
Lead	11.1		

ENVIRONMENTAL CHEMISTS

Client ID: Date Received: Date Extracted: Date Analyzed: Matrix:	SS13-0.5 01/10/22 01/11/22 01/11/22 Soil	Client: Project: Lab ID: Data File: Instrument:	The Riley Group Shamseldin 2021-678-3 201094-16 201094-16.075 ICPMS2
Units:	mg/kg (ppm) Dry Weight	Operator:	SP
Analyte:	Concentration mg/kg (ppm)		
Arsenic	3.33		
Lead	2.88		

ENVIRONMENTAL CHEMISTS

Client ID: Date Received: Date Extracted: Date Analyzed: Matrix: Units:	SS14-0.5 01/10/22 01/11/22 01/11/22 Soil mg/kg (ppm) Dry Weight	Client: Project: Lab ID: Data File: Instrument: Operator:	The Riley Group Shamseldin 2021-678-3 201094-17 201094-17.076 ICPMS2 SP
Analyte:	Concentration mg/kg (ppm)	e per aver	
Arsenic	7.38		
Lead	73.7		

ENVIRONMENTAL CHEMISTS

Client ID:	SS15-0.5	Client:	The Riley Group
Date Received:	01/10/22	Project:	Shamseldin 2021-678-3
Date Extracted:	01/11/22	Lab ID:	201094-18
Date Analyzed:	01/11/22	Data File:	$201094 ext{-} 18.077$
Matrix:	Soil	Instrument:	ICPMS2
Units:	mg/kg (ppm) Dry Weight	Operator:	SP
	Concentration		
Analyte:	mg/kg (ppm)		
Arsenic	6.17		
Lead	56.3		

ENVIRONMENTAL CHEMISTS

Client ID: Date Received: Date Extracted: Date Analyzed: Matrix:	SS16-0.5 01/10/22 01/11/22 01/11/22 Soil	Client: Project: Lab ID: Data File: Instrument:	The Riley Group Shamseldin 2021-678-3 201094-19 201094-19.078 ICPMS2
Units:	mg/kg (ppm) Dry Weight	Operator:	SP
Analyte:	Concentration mg/kg (ppm)		
Arsenic	18.5		
Lead	102		

ENVIRONMENTAL CHEMISTS

Client ID:	SS17-1	Client:	The Riley Group
Date Received:	01/10/22	Project:	Shamseldin 2021-678-3
Date Extracted:	01/11/22	Lab ID:	201094-21
Date Analyzed:	01/11/22	Data File:	201094-21.079
Matrix:	Soil	Instrument:	ICPMS2
Units:	mg/kg (ppm) Dry Weight	Operator:	SP
	Concentration		
Analyte:	mg/kg (ppm)		
Arsenic	4.06		
Lead	5.72		

ENVIRONMENTAL CHEMISTS

Client ID: Date Received: Date Extracted: Date Analyzed: Matrix: Units:	SS18-0.5 01/10/22 01/11/22 01/11/22 Soil mg/kg (ppm) Dry Weight	Client: Project: Lab ID: Data File: Instrument: Operator:	The Riley Group Shamseldin 2021-678-3 201094-22 201094-22.080 ICPMS2 SP
Analyte: Arsenic	Concentration mg/kg (ppm) 7.52		51
Lead	120		

ENVIRONMENTAL CHEMISTS

Client ID: Date Received: Date Extracted: Date Analyzed:	SS19-0.5 01/10/22 01/11/22 01/11/22	Client: Project: Lab ID: Data File:	The Riley Group Shamseldin 2021-678-3 201094-23 201094-23.083
Matrix:	Soil	Instrument:	ICPMS2
Units:	mg/kg (ppm) Dry Weight	Operator:	SP
Analyte:	Concentration mg/kg (ppm)		
Arsenic	5.89		
Lead	36.5		

ENVIRONMENTAL CHEMISTS

Client ID:	SS20-0.5	Client:	The Riley Group
Date Received:	01/10/22	Project:	Shamseldin 2021-678-3
Date Extracted:	01/11/22	Lab ID:	201094-24
Date Analyzed:	01/11/22	Data File:	201094-24.084
Matrix:	Soil	Instrument:	ICPMS2
Units:	mg/kg (ppm) Dry Weight	Operator:	SP
	Concentration		
Analyte:	mg/kg (ppm)		
Arsenic	8.64		
Lead	7.23		

ENVIRONMENTAL CHEMISTS

Client ID: Date Received: Date Extracted: Date Analyzed: Matrix:	SS21-0.5 01/10/22 01/11/22 01/11/22 Soil	Client: Project: Lab ID: Data File: Instrument:	The Riley Group Shamseldin 2021-678-3 201094-25 201094-25.085 ICPMS2
Units:	Concentration	Operator:	Sr
Analyte:	mg/kg (ppm)		
Arsenic	2.90		
Lead	15.5		

ENVIRONMENTAL CHEMISTS

Client ID:	SS22-1	Client:	The Riley Group
Date Received:	01/10/22	Project:	Shamseldin 2021-678-3
Date Extracted:	01/11/22	Lab ID:	201094-27
Date Analyzed:	01/11/22	Data File:	201094-27.088
Matrix:	Soil	Instrument:	ICPMS2
Units:	mg/kg (ppm) Dry Weight	Operator:	SP
	Concentration		
Analyte:	mg/kg (ppm)		
Arsenic	12.9		
Lead	22.7		

ENVIRONMENTAL CHEMISTS

Client ID: Date Received: Date Extracted: Date Analyzed: Matrix:	SS23-0.5 01/10/22 01/11/22 01/11/22 Soil	Client: Project: Lab ID: Data File: Instrument:	The Riley Group Shamseldin 2021-678-3 201094-28 201094-28.089 ICPMS2
Units:	Concentration	Operator:	SP
Analyte:	mg/kg (ppm)		
Arsenic	3.13		
Lead	5.00		

ENVIRONMENTAL CHEMISTS

Client ID:	SS24-0.5	Client:	The Riley Group
Date Received:	01/10/22	Project:	Shamseldin 2021-678-3
Date Extracted:	01/11/22	Lab ID:	201094-29
Date Analyzed:	01/11/22	Data File:	201094-29.090
Matrix:	Soil	Instrument:	ICPMS2
Units:	mg/kg (ppm) Dry Weight	Operator:	SP
	Concentration		
Analyte:	mg/kg (ppm)		
Arsenic	3.49		
Lead	11.0		

ENVIRONMENTAL CHEMISTS

Client ID:	SS25-0.5	Client:	The Riley Group
Date Received:	01/10/22	Project:	Shamseldin 2021-678-3
Date Extracted:	01/11/22	Lab ID:	201094-30
Date Analyzed:	01/11/22	Data File:	201094-30.091
Matrix:	Soil	Instrument:	ICPMS2
Units:	mg/kg (ppm) Dry Weight	Operator:	SP
	Concentration		
Analyte:	mg/kg (ppm)		
Arsenic	2.14		
Lead	2.16		

ENVIRONMENTAL CHEMISTS

Client ID:	SS26-1	Client:	The Riley Group
Date Received:	01/10/22	Project:	Shamseldin 2021-678-3
Date Extracted:	01/11/22	Lab ID:	201094-32
Date Analyzed:	01/11/22	Data File:	201094-32.092
Matrix:	Soil	Instrument:	ICPMS2
Units:	mg/kg (ppm) Dry Weight	Operator:	SP
	Concentration		
Analyte:	mg/kg (ppm)		
Arsenic	2.49		
Lead	2.33		

ENVIRONMENTAL CHEMISTS

Client ID: Date Received: Date Extracted: Date Analyzed: Matrix:	SS27-0.5 01/10/22 01/11/22 01/11/22 Soil	Client: Project: Lab ID: Data File: Instrument:	The Riley Group Shamseldin 2021-678-3 201094-33 201094-33.139 ICPMS2
Units:	mg/kg (ppm) Dry Weight	Operator:	SP
Analyte:	Concentration mg/kg (ppm)		
Arsenic	3.46		
Lead	6.76		

ENVIRONMENTAL CHEMISTS

Client ID: Date Received: Date Extracted: Date Analyzed:	SS28-0.5 01/10/22 01/11/22 01/11/22	Client: Project: Lab ID: Data File:	The Riley Group Shamseldin 2021-678-3 201094-34 201094-34.143
Matrix:	Soil	Instrument:	ICPMS2
Units:	mg/kg (ppm) Dry Weight	Operator:	SP
Analyte:	Concentration mg/kg (ppm)		
Arsenic	7.59		
Lead	2.33		

ENVIRONMENTAL CHEMISTS

Client ID: Date Received: Date Extracted: Date Analyzed: Matrix:	SS29-1 01/10/22 01/11/22 01/11/22 Soil	Client: Project: Lab ID: Data File: Instrument:	The Riley Group Shamseldin 2021-678-3 201094-36 201094-36.144 ICPMS2
Units:	Concentration	Operator:	Sr
Analyte:	mg/kg (ppm)		
Arsenic	3.02		
Lead	3.03		

ENVIRONMENTAL CHEMISTS

Client ID: Date Received: Date Extracted: Date Analyzed: Matrix:	SS30-0.5 01/10/22 01/11/22 01/11/22 Soil	Client: Project: Lab ID: Data File: Instrument:	The Riley Group Shamseldin 2021-678-3 201094-37 201094-37.145 ICPMS2
Units:	mg/kg (ppm) Dry Weight	Operator:	SP
Analyte:	Concentration mg/kg (ppm)		
Arsenic	5.75		
Lead	9.26		

ENVIRONMENTAL CHEMISTS

Client ID: Date Received: Date Extracted: Date Analyzed: Matrix:	SS31-0.5 01/10/22 01/11/22 01/11/22 Soil	Client: Project: Lab ID: Data File: Instrument:	The Riley Group Shamseldin 2021-678-3 201094-38 201094-38.146 ICPMS2
Units:	mg/kg (ppm) Dry Weight	Operator:	SP
Analyte:	Concentration mg/kg (ppm)		
Arsenic	4.17		
Lead	12.9		

ENVIRONMENTAL CHEMISTS

Client ID: Date Received: Date Extracted: Date Analyzed: Matrix:	SS32-0.5 01/10/22 01/11/22 01/11/22 Soil	Client: Project: Lab ID: Data File: Instrument:	The Riley Group Shamseldin 2021-678-3 201094-39 201094-39.147 ICPMS2
Units:	mg/kg (ppm) Dry Weight	Operator:	SP
Analyte:	Concentration mg/kg (ppm)		
Arsenic	3.92		
Lead	10.8		

ENVIRONMENTAL CHEMISTS

Client ID: Date Received: Date Extracted: Date Analyzed: Matrix:	SS33-0.5 01/10/22 01/11/22 01/11/22 Soil	Client: Project: Lab ID: Data File: Instrument:	The Riley Group Shamseldin 2021-678-3 201094-40 201094-40.148 ICPMS2
Units:	mg/kg (ppm) Dry Weight	Operator:	SP
Analyte:	Concentration mg/kg (ppm)		
Arsenic	7.33		
Lead	15.8		

ENVIRONMENTAL CHEMISTS

Client ID: Date Received:	SS34-1 01/10/22	Client: Project:	The Riley Group Shamseldin 2021-678-3
Date Extracted:	01/11/22	Lab ID:	201094-42
Date Analyzed:	01/11/22	Data File:	201094 - 42.151
Matrix:	Soil	Instrument:	ICPMS2
Units:	mg/kg (ppm) Dry Weight	Operator:	SP
Analyte:	Concentration mg/kg (ppm)		
Arsenic	2.21		
Lead	1.89		

ENVIRONMENTAL CHEMISTS

Client ID: Date Received:	SS35-0.5 01/10/22	Client: Project:	The Riley Group Shamseldin 2021-678-3
Date Extracted: Date Analyzed:	01/11/22 01/11/22	Lab ID: Data File	201094-43 201094-43 152
Matrix:	Soil	Instrument:	ICPMS2
Units:	mg/kg (ppm) Dry Weight	Operator:	SP
Analyte:	Concentration mg/kg (ppm)		
Arsenic	6.19		
Lead	23.1		

ENVIRONMENTAL CHEMISTS

Client ID: Date Received: Date Extracted: Date Analyzed: Matrix:	SS36-0.5 01/10/22 01/11/22 01/11/22 Soil	Client: Project: Lab ID: Data File: Instrument:	The Riley Group Shamseldin 2021-678-3 201094-44 201094-44.153 ICPMS2
Units:	mg/kg (ppm) Dry Weight	Operator:	SP
Analyte:	Concentration mg/kg (ppm)		
Arsenic	5.22		
Lead	17.5		

ENVIRONMENTAL CHEMISTS

Client ID:	SS37-1	Client:	The Riley Group
Date Received:	01/10/22	Project:	Shamseldin 2021-678-3
Date Extracted:	01/11/22	Lab ID:	201094-46
Date Analyzed:	01/11/22	Data File:	201094 - 46.154
Matrix:	Soil	Instrument:	ICPMS2
Units:	mg/kg (ppm) Dry Weight	Operator:	SP
	Concentration		
Analyte:	mg/kg (ppm)		
Arsenic	8.24		
Lead	17.4		

ENVIRONMENTAL CHEMISTS

Client ID:	SS38-0.5	Client:	The Riley Group
Date Received:	01/10/22	Project:	Shamseldin 2021-678-3
Date Extracted:	01/11/22	Lab ID:	201094-47
Date Analyzed:	01/11/22	Data File:	201094 - 47.155
Matrix:	Soil	Instrument:	ICPMS2
Units:	mg/kg (ppm) Dry Weight	Operator:	SP
	Concentration		
Analyte:	mg/kg (ppm)		
Arsenic	8.66		
Lead	22.2		

ENVIRONMENTAL CHEMISTS

Client ID:	SS39-0.5	Client:	The Riley Group
Date Received:	01/10/22	Project:	Shamseldin 2021-678-3
Date Extracted:	01/11/22	Lab ID:	201094-48
Date Analyzed:	01/11/22	Data File:	201094 - 48.156
Matrix:	Soil	Instrument:	ICPMS2
Units:	mg/kg (ppm) Dry Weight	Operator:	SP
	Concentration		
Analyte:	mg/kg (ppm)		
Arsenic	8.73		
Lead	23.9		

ENVIRONMENTAL CHEMISTS

Client ID: Date Received: Date Extracted: Date Analyzed: Matrix:	SS40-1 01/10/22 01/11/22 01/11/22 Soil	Client: Project: Lab ID: Data File: Instrument:	The Riley Group Shamseldin 2021-678-3 201094-50 201094-50.157 ICPMS2 SD
Units:	mg/kg (ppm) Dry Weight	Operator:	SP
Analyte:	Concentration mg/kg (ppm)		
Arsenic	3.11		
Lead	4.00		

ENVIRONMENTAL CHEMISTS

Client ID:	Method Blank	Client:	The Riley Group
Date Received:	NA	Project:	Shamseldin 2021-678-3
Date Extracted:	01/11/22	Lab ID:	I2-18 mb
Date Analyzed:	01/11/22	Data File:	I2-18 mb.052
Matrix:	Soil	Instrument:	ICPMS2
Units:	mg/kg (ppm) Dry Weight	Operator:	SP
	Concentration		
Analyte:	mg/kg (ppm)		
Arsenic	<1		
Lead	<1		

ENVIRONMENTAL CHEMISTS

Client ID: Date Received: Date Extracted:	Method Blank NA 01/11/22	Client: Project: Lab ID:	The Riley Group Shamseldin 2021-678-3 I2-19 mb
Date Analyzed:	01/11/22	Data File:	I2-19 mb.054
Matrix:	Soil	Instrument:	ICPMS2
Units:	mg/kg (ppm) Dry Weight	Operator:	SP
Analyte:	Concentration mg/kg (ppm)		
Arsenic	<1		
Lead	<1		

ENVIRONMENTAL CHEMISTS

Date of Report: 01/19/22 Date Received: 01/10/22 Project: Shamseldin 2021-678-3, F&BI 201094

QUALITY ASSURANCE RESULTS FOR THE ANALYSIS OF SOIL SAMPLES FOR TOTAL METALS USING EPA METHOD 6020B

Laboratory Code: 201094-01 (Matrix Spike)

			Sample	Percent	Percent		
	Reporting	Spike	Result	Recovery	Recovery	Acceptance	RPD
Analyte	Units	Level	(Wet wt)	MS	MSD	Criteria	(Limit 20)
Arsenic	mg/kg (ppm)	10	5.08	106	101	75 - 125	5
Lead	mg/kg (ppm)	50	24.3	109	102	75 - 125	7

Laboratory Code: Laboratory Control Sample

Laboratory Co	de. Laboratory Com	ample	Percent	
	Reporting	Spike	Recovery	Acceptance
Analyte	Units	Level	LCS	Criteria
Arsenic	mg/kg (ppm)	10	105	80-120
Lead	mg/kg (ppm)	50	112	80-120

ENVIRONMENTAL CHEMISTS

Date of Report: 01/19/22 Date Received: 01/10/22 Project: Shamseldin 2021-678-3, F&BI 201094

QUALITY ASSURANCE RESULTS FOR THE ANALYSIS OF SOIL SAMPLES FOR TOTAL METALS USING EPA METHOD 6020B

Laboratory Code: 201094-25 (Matrix Spike)

			Sample	Percent	Percent		
	Reporting	Spike	Result	Recovery	Recovery	Acceptance	RPD
Analyte	Units	Level	(Wet wt)	MS	MSD	Criteria	(Limit 20)
Arsenic	mg/kg (ppm)	10	2.52	125	122	75 - 125	2
Lead	mg/kg (ppm)	50	13.5	118	120	75 - 125	2

Laboratory Code: Laboratory Control Sample

Laboratory Co	de. Laboratory Com	101 Sample	Percent	
	Reporting	Spike	Recovery	Acceptance
Analyte	Units	Level	LCS	Criteria
Arsenic	mg/kg (ppm)	10	91	80-120
Lead	mg/kg (ppm)	50	93	80-120

ENVIRONMENTAL CHEMISTS

Data Qualifiers & Definitions

a - The analyte was detected at a level less than five times the reporting limit. The RPD results may not provide reliable information on the variability of the analysis.

b - The analyte was spiked at a level that was less than five times that present in the sample. Matrix spike recoveries may not be meaningful.

ca - The calibration results for the analyte were outside of acceptance criteria. The value reported is an estimate.

c - The presence of the analyte may be due to carryover from previous sample injections.

cf - The sample was centrifuged prior to analysis.

d - The sample was diluted. Detection limits were raised and surrogate recoveries may not be meaningful.

dv - Insufficient sample volume was available to achieve normal reporting limits.

f - The sample was laboratory filtered prior to analysis.

fb - The analyte was detected in the method blank.

fc - The analyte is a common laboratory and field contaminant.

hr - The sample and duplicate were reextracted and reanalyzed. RPD results were still outside of control limits. Variability is attributed to sample inhomogeneity.

hs - Headspace was present in the container used for analysis.

ht – The analysis was performed outside the method or client-specified holding time requirement.

ip - Recovery fell outside of control limits due to sample matrix effects.

j - The analyte concentration is reported below the lowest calibration standard. The value reported is an estimate.

 ${\rm J}$ - The internal standard associated with the analyte is out of control limits. The reported concentration is an estimate.

jl - The laboratory control sample(s) percent recovery and/or RPD were out of control limits. The reported concentration should be considered an estimate.

js - The surrogate associated with the analyte is out of control limits. The reported concentration should be considered an estimate.

lc - The presence of the analyte is likely due to laboratory contamination.

L - The reported concentration was generated from a library search.

nm - The analyte was not detected in one or more of the duplicate analyses. Therefore, calculation of the RPD is not applicable.

pc - The sample was received with incorrect preservation or in a container not approved by the method. The value reported should be considered an estimate.

ve - The analyte response exceeded the valid instrument calibration range. The value reported is an estimate.

vo - The value reported fell outside the control limits established for this analyte.

x - The sample chromatographic pattern does not resemble the fuel standard used for quantitation.

PHE ECK 7			SAMPL	ERS (signo	uture)						<u></u>					Page		of 1
Report $10 1.7 = 1$	her		- PROJE	CT NAME						P	°O #	<u> </u>	;-;-;-;-;-;-;-;-;-;-;-;-;-;-;-;-		XSta	indar	d turnarour	id
Address 17516 130	12-1/1.2	· · ·		mseld	lin			20	マン	1-6	578	8-	3		⊔ n∪ Rush	char	ges authori:	sed b
City, State, ZIP 13077 Phone 445-415-0551 Ema	il eznerne	1A 14	- REMAR	KS Specific RL	<i>бу></i> s? - Ус	~~~~ es / \$	Ð i		Å U		DICE ST	TO			∃ Arc ∃ Otl Defa	SAM chive her ult: I	IPLE DISP samples Dispose aft	OSAJ
		<u> </u>	· ··· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		I			A	NA	LYSI	ES R	EQU	ESTI	ED			
Sample ID	Lab ID	Date Sampled	Time Sampled	Sample Type	# of Jars	NWTPH-Dx	NWTPH-Gx	BTEX EPA 8021	NWTPH-HCID	VOCs EPA 8260	PAHs EPA 8270	PCBs EPA 8082	Arsenicad				N	otes
551-0.5	0(Vio	810	50,7	Ì.					•			X					
552-0.5	07	1	815		1					۰ ۲			F	TR	4			
552-1	03		820										X					
553-0.5	04		825										$\left \times \right $					
554-0.5	05		830										$ _{\mathcal{X}}$					
555-0.5	06		835									4	X	72	1			
555-1	07		840										X					
556-0.5	08		845										$\boldsymbol{\chi}$					
557-0.5	89		850										K					
558-0.5	10	V	855	a d	\checkmark								¥	TR		Sar	ples recei	.ved
Friedman & Bruya, Inc.	SI elinguished b <u>y:</u>	GNATURE			PRIN	VT N.	AMI	E				(COM	PAN	Y	·	DATE	7
3012 16 th Avenue West R	eceived by:	$\overline{\mathcal{F}}$		+-'-	<u>,,,+</u>	<u> </u>						R	<u>- ~</u> (T				1/10/73	
	C2	in The	7		··· · · · · · ·		213	~				· · ·	${}$	~				-+

001004			SAMPLE	E CHAIN	I OF (CUS	STC	DY	r	C	71-	70.	-2	r.			BIU	t/ l	181
2010 1 1 Benort To			SAMPL	ERS (signe	uture)				•							Page #		of	<u>6</u>
Company Ret	•	······································	PROJEC	CT NAME		~~	<u></u>	2	02	Р /е	0#			م ر 1	≪Sta] RU	ndar SH	d turnarou	ind	
Address		. <u>.</u>	- DEMAD	TZC					TN				3			CHARS			
City, State, ZIP			-						11.	۷۷U	IUE	10		5	Arc	hive :	samples	r O o/	217
PhoneE	mail		- Project a	specific RL	<u>s? - Y</u> e	es /	No								Defai	ilt: [Dispose at	fter	30 days
		I	1	1	I				A	NAI	LYSE	ES RE	EQUI	ESTE	ED	T	1		
Sample ID	Lab ID	Date Sampled	Time Sampled	Sample Type	# of Jars	NWTPH-D _x	NWTPH-Gx	BTEX EPA 8021	NWTPH-HCID	VOCs EPA 8260	PAHs EPA 8270	PCBs EPA 8082	Arsenic					Note	8
558-1	11	Yio	900	50,1	1								X						
559-0.5	12		920										X						
5510-0.5	B		925										Х						
5511-0.5	14		925										X						
5512-0.5	15		530										X						
5513-0.5	16		935										\times						
5514-0.5	17		940										X						
5515-0.5	18		945										\times						
5516-0.5	19		950										X						
5517-0.5	70	V	955	P	V								Z	TR		Sam	les rece	ived	at <u>4</u> 0
	SIC	GNATURE	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		PRIN	TN	AMI	C				С	OMF	AN	Y		DATE		TIME
Friedman & Bruya, Inc.	Received by:	$\overline{P} \ll$		Ta	Ft-		<				1.	$\langle \langle c \rangle$	1				Ye		1330
SUIZ 10 th Avenue West	Relinquiched hr	ij Chy		E	512	2.	ا چن	M				21	61				1/10	(336
Ph. (206) 285-8282	Received by:	y ly		- K	Griz Man	2 _{	ve. ha	in				Fr.	GI BT				1/10/22	- 1	14:39 439

201094			SAMPLI	E CHAIN	OF	CUS	STC	DY	7		01	-1	0-	-22			BIY	lvsi	
D			SAMPL	ERS (signo	uture)	<u></u>			/			and the second				Page #		of <u>6</u>	2
Keport Io			- PROJE	TNAME	a	-				D	0#			-	Sto.	rurr ndar	IARUUNI I turnarou	J TIMI und	5
Company KG	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		-	OF INTRUES	·			25	>)	يد حرك	0 # ••				RU	SH			
Address									~/	G	78	5	3	I	Rush	charg	es author	ized by	r:
City, State, ZIP			REMAR	KS					II	NVO	ICE	ТО			Arc	SAM hive s	PLE DISE samples	POSAL	······································
PhoneEn	nail		- Project	specific RL	s? - Ye	es /	No) Oth Defai	ier ilt: D	ispose af	ter 30	davs
						r		·	4		VSI	ES R	EOII	ESTE	m				
								5		<u>s</u>	212	20							
Sample ID	Lab ID	Date Sampled	Time Sampled	Sample Type	# of Jars	NWTPH-Dx	NWTPH-Gx	BTEX EPA 805	NWTPH-HCII	VOCs EPA 826	PAHs EPA 827	PCBs EPA 808	tead and]	Notes	
5517-1	2(10	1000	50,71) }								Х						
5518-0.5	82		1005										\times						
5519-0.5	23		1010										X		1 . 11 .			· · · ·	
5520-0.5	24		1015										\boldsymbol{X}						
5521-0-5	25		1020										X						
3522-0.5	26		1=25																
5522-1	えう		1030										χ						ŀ
5523-0.5	28		1035										X						
5524-0.5	29		1040										X						
5525-0.5	30	V	1045	V	V								\times		Sa	mple	s receive	d at <u>4</u>	_°c
	SIC	INATURE			PRIN	TN	AMI	3				C	OM	PAN	Y		DATE		IME
Friedman & Bruya, Inc.	Relinquished by:/	<u> </u>	1	125	=	12	,					<	4	#			Yio	13	30
3012 16 th Avenue West	Received by:	in Sa	<u>~</u>	6	ic Z	VC	\sim						RE	J			40	3.	36
Seattle, WA 98119-2029	Relinquished by:	i m		Gri	- 2	2.	e۲					P	. G J				1/10	١٢	-39
Ph. (206) 285-8282	Received by:	anar	\sim	Nh	un	Ph	ar	1			Ŧ	zt	3 <u>T</u>				1/10/20	- 19	139

Ph.	(206)	285-	8282
		W 0	~~~~

Remark The			SAMPL	ERS (signo	ature)				/						Page	#	$\frac{1}{2}$	<u>4 6</u> TIME
	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		PROJE	CT NAME					÷	PC) #	<u>.</u>		XSI	anda	rd tu	rnaroun	d
Company RC			•					25	انخ ح	1-6	7	9-	>	C R Rus	USH_ h chai	rges	authoriz	ed by:
Address) T7 C ¹				-	T'N	TOT	י כ ת קיי				SAT	MPL		NSAT.
City, State, ZIP				ino					TT.	NVO1		.0			rchive	e sam	iples	<i>,,,,,,,,,,</i>
PhoneEma	ail		- Project	specific RL	s? - Ye	es /	No							□ 0 Def	ther_ ault:	Disp	ose aft	er 30 day
									A	NAL	YSES	S REC	UES	TED				
Sample ID	Lab ID	Date Sampled	Time Sampled	Sample Type	# of Jars	NWTPH-Dx	NWTPH-Gx	BTEX EPA 8021	NWTPH-HCID	VOCs EPA 8260	PAHs EPA 8270	PCBs EPA 8082	Arsenic				N	otes
5526-0.5	31	1/10	1050	501	1												· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
5516-1	32		(055									3	$\langle $					
5517-0-5	83		1100										$\langle $					
5528-0.5	34		1105												•			· .
5529-0-5	35		1110															
5529-1	34		1115)	$\langle $					
5530-0.5	37		1120															
5531-0.5	38		1125								<u>.</u>		$\langle $					
5532-0.5	39		1130									_						
5533-0.5	40	$\lfloor V \rfloor$	1135		N							4		8	Samp	les r	eceived	at <u>4</u> C
	SI	GNATURE		-	PRIN	VT N	AMF	E				CC	MPA	INY			DATE	TIM
Friedman & Bruya, Inc.	Lennquisned by:	Pe	F	Ta	7-0	\sim					0	\leq	\leq	1			10	132
3012 16 th Avenue West	teceived by:	ing Gr		6	<u>iz</u> Z		Ŵ					<u>k (</u>	<u> </u>			1	10/22	13:30
Seattle, WA 98119-2029	telinquished by:	i h	n	6	<u>n'C i</u>	2_)em	<u> </u>			(RG	J	-		14	10	14:3
Ph. (206) 285-8282	leceived by:	mini		- N	han	f	1/1	40			Ĥ	20	T			11/1	0/22	143

.

201011			SAMPL	ERS (signo	ture)		•					[Page #		f <u>6</u> rime
Report To	· · · ·		PROJE	CT NAME	<u> </u>	<i>ه</i> >			[P	O #		Sta.	ndard	Turnaroun	d
Company / Company									20	イト	678-3	}	L RU: Rush	SH charg	es authorize	ed by:
Address	- -		- REMAR	RKS					n	NVO	ICE TO			SAM	PLE DISPO	SAL
City, State, ZIP			—										🗆 Disj 🗆 Arci	pose a hive S	ifter 30 days Samples	
PhoneEma	il		_ [7 770			□ Oth	er		
									ANA C I C	LYS	ES REQU)EST	ED 	1		
Sample ID	Lab ID	Date Sampled	Time Sampled	Sample Type	# of Jars	TPH-HCID	TPH-Diesel	TPH-Gasoline	VOCs by 82600	SVOCs by 8270	PAHS 8270D SI	ALXVI C			No	otes
5534-0-5	41	Vio	1140	50.1	l											
5534-1	42		1145													
5535-0.5	43		1150								λ					
5536-0.5	44		1155								X					
5537-0.5	45		1200											<u> </u>		
5537-1	46		1205			:						_				
5538-0.5	47		1210													******
5539-05	48		IL15								レス	·	-			
5540-0.5	49		1220											ļ		
5540-1	50	d	1225	V	V								S	ampl	les received	1 at <u>4</u>
	SI	GNATURE			PRII	NT N	AME				COM	IPAI	NY '		DATE	TIN
Friedman & Bruya, Inc.	cennquished by:	<u>z</u>	£	$$	a.F.		K			6	≤ 4	34			10	1/33
3012 16 ^m Avenue West ¹	teceivea oy:	a: An	-	6	ric !	Ćυ	em				K6	Ĺ			1/10/22	13:3

201094	4 SAMPLE CHAIN OF CUSTODY $0/-/0-5$										22	×		B	24/	US1					
Report To	SAMPL	SAMPLERS (signature)										Page # of									
Company RGI				PROJÉ	PROJECT NAME					PO# 2002 (-678-3						Standard turnaround					
City, State, ZIP				REMARKS					INVOICE TO						SAMPLE DISPOSAL						
PhoneEmail			_ Project	Project specific RLs? - Ye				es / No								Default: Dispose after 30 d					
		<u>,</u>		T	1		<u> </u>	1		A	NAI	YSE	SR	EQU	ESTI	ED					
Sample ID		Lab ID	Date Sampled	Time Sampled	Sample Type	# of Jars	NWTPH-Dx	NWTPH-Gx	BTEX EPA 8021	NWTPH-HCID	VOCs EPA 8260	PAHs EPA 8270	PCBs EPA 8082	HCTD					Note	ŝ	
5540-2		51	1/10		50,71	l															
5540-5		52A-E				5								X							
5541-2		531	d		V	5								$\boldsymbol{\chi}$							
Δ	•													······					<u></u>	i	
															-	 					
_/												÷									
/														-			Samı	les recei	ived	at <u>4</u>	
Eriedman & Brung Inc. Relinquished by					PRINT					Г NAME				COMPA				NY DATE			
3012 16th Avenue West Received by:			<u> </u>	Tait K						RG					I Vio 1330						
Senttle WA 98110-9090	Relin	quished by:	: My	۱	- Gric Zver					em RGI					- Vio/22 13:31					13-30	
Ph. (206) 285-8282	32 Received by: mlina				- Dhan Phan							EGI FUBI					1/10/22		14:39 1439		