



(Note: To be Approved by the City Council on 5/11/10)

City Council Committee Meeting Land Use and Parks Committee (LUP)

April 27, 2010

2:30 PM/Airport Conference Room – 345

Council Members

Mia Gregerson, Chair
Ralph Shape
Pam Fernald

Present:

X
X
X

Absent:

Commence: 2:30 P.M. Adjourn: 4:00 P.M.

Others Present:

Terry Anderson	Kit Ledbetter	Norman Hines	Earl Gipson
Steve Butler	Todd Mason	Sarah Telschow	
Jack Dodge	Robert Brown	Chad Osvog	

Staff Coordinator: Steve Butler, Director, Department of Planning & Community Development

AB #	Topic	Disposition
	<p>1. Discussion about a Potential Code Amendment Allowing Commercial Parking in Single-Family Zones, in Certain Situations.</p> <p>Steve Butler</p>	<p><input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Informational Update</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> Recommended for:</p> <p style="padding-left: 40px;"><input type="checkbox"/> Approval</p> <p style="padding-left: 40px;"><input type="checkbox"/> Approval with modifications</p> <p style="padding-left: 40px;"><input type="checkbox"/> Denial</p> <p><input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Referred to <u>Staff will research if it is possible to allow on this site only (on a case-by-case basis)</u></p>
	<p>Comments:</p> <p><i>Angle Lake Church was contacted about using their parking lot for off-site employee parking for approximately two to three years during the Bow Lake Transfer Station expansion. Details of how workers would be transported to the construction site are currently unknown, possibly using between twenty and sixty vans. Angle Lake Church would allow a maximum of 60 vehicles. It was suggested that adjacent residents be notified of the proposed use. LUP directed staff to research whether or not the City can legally allow the use on this property only, and have discretionary approval authority on a case-by-case basis if similar requests are received. If it is able to be done on a case-by-case basis, LUP recommended that Angle Lake Church be allowed to share their parking lot as requested, and that a letter of intent or support be provided to them.</i></p>	
	<p>2. Presentation about a Possible Radio-controlled Car Track in North SeaTac Park.</p> <p>Kit Ledbetter</p>	<p><input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Informational Update</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> Recommended for:</p> <p style="padding-left: 40px;"><input type="checkbox"/> Approval</p> <p style="padding-left: 40px;"><input type="checkbox"/> Approval with modifications</p> <p style="padding-left: 40px;"><input type="checkbox"/> Denial</p> <p><input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Referred to <u>Staff to provide additional information regarding parking, the number of trees that may be removed, and potential issues with the Port, i.e. ILA.</u></p>
	<p>Comments:</p> <p><i>Electric cars only would be allowed, similar to those used in Seattle parks. Some trees would need to be removed. Concern was raised about parking issues, tree replacement, and potential</i></p>	

	<i>issues with the Port. LUP recommended that, since they need additional information and the Committee meets only once a month, discussions should be held separately to ensure the project continues to move forward.</i>	
	3. Discussion about the City Contracting with Verizon for a Temporary Cell Phone Site in Grandview Park, if the Valley Floods. Kit Ledbetter	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Informational Update <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Recommended for: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Approval ___ Approval with modifications ___ Denial ___ Referred to
	Comments: <i>A Verizon representative advised that an emergency site was needed due to potential flooding in the Green River Valley. A five year term is being requested. Staff indicated that Verizon would also need to contact the Save Our Dog Areas (SODA) group that currently leases the park.</i>	
	4. Monthly Update on the Zoning Code Update Ad Hoc Committee's Progress. Steve Butler	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Informational Update <input type="checkbox"/> Recommended for: ___ Approval ___ Approval with modifications ___ Denial ___ Referred to
	Comments: <i>Staff is recommending that the new Zoning Code be adopted in sections, this may be the best approach in terms of City Council review and adoption. No firm timelines have been established. The LUP Committee agreed this would be the best way to handle the proposed changes.</i>	
	5. Discussion about the 2010 Comprehensive Plan Amendment Process, Potential 2010 Amendments, and the Option of Changing the Schedule to Allow Amendments Only Once Every Two Years. Mike Scarey	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Informational Update <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Recommended for: <u>Keep one year schedule.</u> <u>Consider modest application fee.</u> ___ Approval ___ Approval with modifications ___ Denial ___ Referred to
	Comments: <i>The deadline for submittal is April 30. Proposed amendments received from the public and those developed by staff were reviewed and discussed, as well as proposals the City anticipates will be submitted. Comprehensive Plan Amendment processes of other jurisdictions were reviewed. Discussion was held about SeaTac changing from a one year to a two year cycle, and consideration of a modest application fee. LUP felt that a one year process is a benefit to the citizens.</i>	

	<p>6. Discussion about King County's Proposed Amendments to the Countywide Planning Policies Regarding Growth Targets.</p> <p>Mike Scarey</p>	<p><input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Informational Update <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Recommended for: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Approval <input type="checkbox"/> Approval with modifications <input type="checkbox"/> Denial <input type="checkbox"/> Referred to</p>
<p>Comments: <i>Countywide Planning Policies was developed by King County and its cities in the early 1990's to comply with state Growth Management Act regulations. The Policies were updated in 2009 calculating existing growth "targets" through 2031. Each City must demonstrate the ability to accommodate their 20-year growth "target", but is not required to reach said target. Cities are tentatively scheduled to ratify the Countywide Planning Policies in May.</i></p>		
	<p>7. Discussion about Riverton Heights Public Engagement and Site Design Project.</p> <p>Soraya Lowry</p>	<p><input type="checkbox"/> Informational Update <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Recommended for: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Approval <input type="checkbox"/> Approval with modifications <input type="checkbox"/> Denial <input type="checkbox"/> Referred to</p>
<p>Comments: <i>A Steering Committee will be formed, to include two or three Council members. Public meetings and events will be scheduled to determine how the property will be used. Consultants have been interviewed. It was suggested that a fire station be sited.</i></p>		
	<p>8. Discussion about Proposed Postponement of "Construction Storage/Laydown as a Temporary Use" Zoning Code Amendment.</p> <p>Jack Dodge</p>	<p><input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Informational Update <input type="checkbox"/> Recommended for: <input type="checkbox"/> Approval <input type="checkbox"/> Approval with modifications <input type="checkbox"/> Denial <input type="checkbox"/> Referred to</p>
<p>Comments: <i>This issue is being postponed until after the summer construction season. At that time, it will be reviewed again and appropriate amendment proposals brought forward.</i></p>		