



(Note: To be Approved by the City Council on 9/14/10)

# City Council Committee Meeting Land Use and Parks Committee (LUP)

August 10, 2010

1:00 PM/Airport Conference Room – 345

**Council Members**

Mia Gregerson, Chair  
Ralph Shape  
Pam Fernald

**Present:**

X  
X  
X

**Absent:**

**Commence:**

**Adjourn:**

**Others Present:**

Terry Anderson	Rick Forschler	Kate Kaehny	Reid Bennion
Gene Fisher	Jack Dodge	Jeff Robinson	**Please see the sign-in sheet for
Tony Anderson	Mike Scarey	Mark Johnsen	members of the public in attendance

**Staff Coordinator: Steve Butler, Director, Department of Planning & Community Development**

AB #	Topic	Disposition
	<b>1. Public Comment.</b>	<input type="checkbox"/> Informational Update <input type="checkbox"/> Recommended for: <input type="checkbox"/> Approval <input type="checkbox"/> Approval with modifications <input type="checkbox"/> Denial <input type="checkbox"/> Referred to
	Comments: <i>None.</i>	
	<b>2. Discussion about Comprehensive Plan Amendment Proposal #S-1: "Changing the Vision for the SeaTac/Airport Station Area".</b>	<input type="checkbox"/> Informational Update <input type="checkbox"/> Recommended for: <input type="checkbox"/> Approval <input type="checkbox"/> Approval with modifications <input type="checkbox"/> Denial <input type="checkbox"/> Referred to
	Comments: <i>Mike Scarey presented a series of policy choices about aspects of the SeaTac/Airport Station Area. LUP Committee direction on the questions will assist staff to develop an alternative that represents the Committee's vision for this area. That alternative will then be brought back to the Committee for their review, and ultimately full Council review, comment, and adoption. The following questions/issues were reviewed and discussed: (1) Appropriate land uses for the area; (2) Geographic area; (3) Whether a street network within the station area should be provided; (4) Whether a large central gathering place should be provided (the LUP Committee asked staff to research what other cities require in their open space standards); (5) Park-and-fly operations: surface or structured; and (6) Whether retail (includes restaurants), service, or other pedestrian oriented uses should be provided at the ground floor level of new developments. A summary of responses to the survey questionnaire used at the meeting, and open space standards used by other cities, will be prepared and provided to the LUP Committee in the near future.</i>	