



(Note: To be Approved by the City Council on 8/10/10)

City Council Committee Meeting Land Use and Parks Committee (LUP)

July 27, 2010

2:30 PM/Airport Conference Room – 345

Council Members

Mia Gregerson, Chair
Ralph Shape
Pam Fernald

Present:

X
X
X

Absent:

Commence: 2:30 p.m. Adjourn: 4:00 p.m.

Others Present:

| | | | |
|----------------|----------------|----------------|---------------------------------------|
| Terry Anderson | Jack Dodge | Kate Kaehny | Julia Yoon |
| Todd Cutts | Mike Scarey | Anita Woodmass | *Please see sign-in sheet for members |
| Steve Butler | Albert Torrico | Jeff Robinson | of the public in attendance |

Staff Coordinator: Steve Butler, Director, Department of Planning & Community Development

| AB # | Topic | Disposition |
|------|--|---|
| | 1. Presentation on Transit-Oriented Development Principles and Local Examples. Dave Cutler & Dan Bertolet | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Informational Update <input type="checkbox"/> Recommended for: <input type="checkbox"/> Approval <input type="checkbox"/> Approval with modifications <input type="checkbox"/> Denial <input type="checkbox"/> Referred to |
| | Comments: <i>A PowerPoint presentation was made on Transit-Oriented Communities (TOC) outlining a concept of compact, mixed-use, walkable neighborhoods with a range of housing choices and easy access to high quality transit. Larger cities are underperforming growth targets; smaller cities and rural areas are growing too fast. Factors associated with positive social and environmental outcomes in station area communities include employment and residential density, Pedestrian/bike and transit connectivity, housing affordability, and open space. Examples of TOC in Virginia and California were reviewed, including specific opportunities and constraints. Mr. Cutler & Mr. Bertolet reported that lessons learned as a result of their work with TOC in other jurisdictions include: (1) Catalytic sites require minimum land areas and capital; (2) Fractured land ownership requires a long term approach; (3) Streets can be catalysts themselves; (4) Transformative density “here” may require protections “there”; and (5) It’s all about the sidewalk-if you can get that right at the beginning, you are ahead already.</i> | |
| | 2. Monthly Update on the Zoning Code Update Ad Hoc Committee’s Progress. Kate Kaehny & Anita Woodmass | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Informational Update <input type="checkbox"/> Recommended for: <input type="checkbox"/> Approval <input type="checkbox"/> Approval with modifications <input type="checkbox"/> Denial <input type="checkbox"/> Referred to |
| | Comments: <i>The Ad Hoc Committee (AHC) and Planning Commission (PC) have endorsed the zoning map, zoning descriptions, and use charts (not including overlay districts) components of Division II. The AHC is currently reviewing City Center use charts. Both the AHC and PC have received</i> | |

| | |
|---|--|
| | <p><i>complete copies of the Draft Zoning Code, it is also available online. Staff is currently preparing checklists for a comprehensive and expedited review of the Code. For City Council review, staff is proposing five to six workshops, each will focus on review of one Division or significant section of the Code, with final adoption of the entire new Code after the Council has reviewed and endorsed all seven Divisions. The next AHC meeting is scheduled for Monday, August 9. The first Council workshop is tentatively scheduled for Tuesday, August 10; the second workshop will likely be held in September.</i></p> |
| <p>3. Discussion about the “Final Docket” of 2010 Proposed Comprehensive Plan Amendments (with a focus on “Changing the Vision for the SeaTac/Airport Station Area” Proposal).</p> | <p>___ Informational Update ___ Recommended for: ___ Approval ___ Approval with modifications ___ Denial ___ Referred to</p> |
| | <p>Comments:</p> <p><i>Mike Scarey provided a summary of the special LUP meeting that was held on July 26, and asked for input from the Committee regarding the alternatives presented at that meeting.</i></p> <p><i>Councilmember Shape – Nobody is happy about any of the alternatives, may need an alternative four.</i></p> <p><i>Councilmember Gregerson – Pull out the major road network, and move it to an appendix. Keep Alternative Three with less open space, reduce the boundary, and create development standards.</i></p> <p><i>Councilmember Fernald – Why are items in Alternative Two better than the City Center Plan? What is the difference between Alternatives One and Two? Steve Butler provided an explanation.</i></p> <p><i>Councilmember Forschler – Get rid of the Station Area Plan, use the City Center Plan.</i></p> <p><i>The entire LUP Committee agreed that they do not want more surface park and fly parking. Further, it was agreed that an Alternative Four would be created with the northern boundary at South 170th Street, and the southern boundary at South 176th Street.</i></p> |
| <p>4. Continued Discussion about Proposed “Electric Vehicle Charging Stations” Ordinance.</p> <p>Jack Dodge</p> | <p>___ Informational Update ___ Recommended for: ___ Approval ___ Approval with modifications ___ Denial ___ Referred to</p> |
| | <p>Comments:</p> <p><i>Puget Sound Regional Council (PSRC) has recommended that the City not move forward with proposed regulations until model regulations are completed. It is anticipated that PSRC will have model regulations online and available for cities to use on July 30. Therefore, Mr. Dodge recommended the City postpone any decision on Electric Vehicle Infrastructure (EVI) regulations at this time.</i></p> |
| <p>5. Discussion about Next LUP Meeting Date.</p> | <p>___ Informational Update ___ Recommended for: ___ Approval ___ Approval with modifications ___ Denial ___ Referred to</p> |
| | <p>Comments:</p> <p><i>The next LUP meeting will be scheduled for Tuesday, August 10 from 1:00 – 2:30 p.m.</i></p> |