

COMPREHENSIVE PLAN AMENDMENT APPLICATION - 2019

DIRECTIONS: All of the materials listed in the Application Checklist table below must be provided as part of the application submittal process. Additional materials may be required. If you have any questions, please contact Senior Planner Kate Kaehny at (206) 973-4834, or kkaehny@seatacwa.gov.

PRE-APPLICATION MEETINGS: Staff encourages applicants to schedule a pre-application meeting before submitting an application. To schedule a meeting, please contact Senior Planner Kate Kaehny at (206) 973-4834, or kkaehny@seatacwa.gov.

APPLICATION DUE DATE: 5:00 pm, March 29, 2019

Applications that remain incomplete as of March 29, 2019, will not be processed.

SUBMITTAL CHECKLIST

In addition to the application form on the following pages, the applicant must fill in and submit the Submittal Checklist information below.

Name of Project/Proposal: _	
(Example:	" J. Smith Map Amendment," or "J. Smith Text Amendment.")

Application Checklist:

		Applicant (Check items submitted with	Staff
1	Submittal Cover Sheet (1 hard copy)	application)	
2	Comprehensive Plan Amendment Application Form &		
	Associated Required Materials (1 hard copy)		
	- Completed application form with signatures		
	- Supplemental documentation as required		
3	SEPA Environmental Checklist (1 hard copy)		
	- Completed SEPA Checklist (<u>Available on Comprehensive Plan</u>		
	Amendment website)		
	For Map Amendments with Required Concurrent Rezones:		
	- SEPA Checklist must include information on both proposed		
	Map Change and desired Zone		
4	Payment of Submittal Fees		
	- See fee schedule for Comprehensive Plan Amendment		
	Application and SEPA Checklist costs		
	(checks should be made payable to: City of SeaTac)		



COMPREHENSIVE PLAN AMENDMENT APPLICATION FORM

1.	CONTACT INFORMA	ATION		
1.1	Applicant:			
	Name:			
	Mailing			
	Address:			
		City	State	Zip
	Phone:	Sicy	Email:	
		Lessee, Agent, Etc.)		
	Status. (Owner,	Lessee, Agent, Lie.,		
1.2	Designated Contact Per correspondence from th	• •	vill receive and dis	seminate all
	Name:			
	Mailing			
	Address:			
	Address.	-		
		City	State	Zip
	Phone:	City	Email:	21ρ
	. Holle			
2.	SIGNATURE – for Co	mprehensive Plan N	Map Amendme	nts
I decl	are that I am the owner of		-	
and a	nswers herein contained a	and the information here	with submitted ar	e in all respects true and
corre	ct to the best of my know	ledge and belief. I unde	erstand that addition	onal information may be
requi	red at my expense in order	to complete review of the	ne proposed amen	dment.
Signa	ture	Printed Na	ame	Date Submitted
3.	SIGNATURE – for Co	mprehensive Plan T	ext Amendmer	nts
	are that the statements and			
	n all respects true and con ional information may be re	•	•	
amen	idment.			
Signa	ture	Printed Na	ame	Date Submitted

(Attach additional pages as necessary to fully respond to the questions.)	
ALL APPLICANTS ARE REQUIRED TO RESPOND TO THESE QUESTIONS.	
4.1 Provide a general description of the proposal.	
5. TEXT AMENDMENT QUESTIONS	
ONLY APPLICANTS PROPOSING COMPREHENSIVE PLAN TEXT AMENDMENTS ARE REQUIRED TO RESPOND TO THIS QUESTION.	
5.1 For text changes to the Comprehensive Plan, what specific revisions are you proposing List specific changes proposed, referencing pages and section numbers to be amended, i known. (Please skip to Section 7, if not proposing text amendments.)	

6. MAP AMENDMENT QUESTIONS

(If the proposed map amendment will require a rezone to maintain consistency between the Official Zoning Map and Comprehensive Plan, a concurrent rezone will be needed as part of the map amendment process. See applicable concurrent rezone questions in Section 8.)

ONLY APPLICANTS PROPOSING COMPREHENSIVE PLAN MAP AMENDMENTS ARE REQUIRED TO RESPOND TO THESE QUESTIONS.

	Street address of site(s):
	King County tax parcel number(s):
6.3	Total acreage or square footage of parcel(s):
6.4	Attach a map that identifies the site and includes adjacent street names.
	rent & Proposed Land Uses
6.5	Current use of the property (describe what buildings/businesses are located on the site):
6.6	Describe the land uses surrounding the site of the proposed amendment:
6.7	Describe the type of development intended for the area proposed for change and how the property is suited for the anticipated development:
	rent & Proposed Comprehensive Plan Designations/Zoning
6.8	Current Comprehensive Plan designation(s):
6.9	Desired Comprehensive Plan designations(s):
6.10	Current Zoning of affected parcel(s):
	Desired Zoning (Only applies if concurrent rezone required for consistency with Comprehensive Plan):

Availability Of Services 6.12 The site is currently served by sewer ; septic (check one)
6.13 The site is currently served by a public water system: Yes; No (check one)
Water District:
4.14 The site is located on a public road; private road (check one)
Name of road:
Critical Areas 6.15 The site includes land that has been identified as a critical area per SMC Chapter 15.700:
Yes; No (check one)
Description of critical area(s):

7. COMPREHENSIVE PLAN AMENDMENT CRITERIA QUESTIONS

(The following questions are based on review criteria which can be found in the Comprehensive Plan Amendment Procedures. <u>Click here to access the Procedures document</u> available on the Comprehensive Plan Amendment web page. Attach additional pages as necessary to fully respond to the questions.)

ALL APPLICANTS MUST RESPOND TO THESE QUESTIONS, EXCEPT AS NOTED OTHERWISE.

For A	All Amendments:
7.1	Regional Policy Consistency. Describe how the proposal conforms to the Growth
	Management Act (Chapter 36.70A RCW), the Puget Sound Regional Council's Vision 2040
	Regional Growth Strategy and the King County Countywide Planning Policies. (<u>Click here for a summary of these regional growth policies</u> .)

	a summary of these regional growth policies.)
7.2	Supports Comprehensive Plan . Describe how the proposed amendment is consistent with and implements goals, policies and implementation strategies in the Comprehensive Plan. Please include goal, policy or implementation reference number(s). (Click here to access the Comprehensive Plan.)

Comprehensive Plan.	don reference nam	ber(3). (<u>ellek Here ti</u>	o uccess tri

sul b. M a	At Amendment Proposals: Circumstances related to the proposed amendment have estantially changed since the last major update of the Comprehensive Plan in 2015 ap Amendment Proposals: Explain how at least one of the following applies: Conditions have changed since the property was given its present Comprehensive Plan designation such that the current designation is no longer appropriate, or The map amendment corrects a Comprehensive Plan designation that was clearly made in error or due to an oversight.

7.3 Why Amendment Needed. Describe how your proposal demonstrates the following:

7.4	Population/Employment Targets. Describe how the proposed amendment will not prevent the City from achieving its adopted population and employment targets, which aim to facilitate residential and employment growth in SeaTac. (Click here for Population/Employment Target information.)

For Map Amendments Only:

7.5	Consistency with Comprehensive Plan Land Use Designation Criteria. Describe how the parcels subject to the request meet the Comprehensive Plan Land Use Designation Criteria for the proposed new land use designation as established in Table 2.1 of the Land Use Element in the current Comprehensive Plan. (Click here for Land Use Designation Criteria)
7.6	Suitability/Compatibility. Are the parcels subject to the request suitable for the requested land use designation and the anticipated land use development, including, but
	not limited to: 1) access; 2) provision of utilities; and 3) compatibility with existing and planned surrounding land uses?
	not limited to: 1) access; 2) provision of utilities; and 3) compatibility with existing and
	not limited to: 1) access; 2) provision of utilities; and 3) compatibility with existing and
	not limited to: 1) access; 2) provision of utilities; and 3) compatibility with existing and
	not limited to: 1) access; 2) provision of utilities; and 3) compatibility with existing and
	not limited to: 1) access; 2) provision of utilities; and 3) compatibility with existing and
	not limited to: 1) access; 2) provision of utilities; and 3) compatibility with existing and

7.7 Anticipated Impacts. Describe how the proposal will not result in development that

8. CONCURRENT REZONES – REQUIRED INFORMATION

(If the proposed map amendment will require a rezone to maintain consistency between the Official Zoning Map and Comprehensive Plan, a concurrent rezone will be needed as part of the map amendment process. Attach additional pages as necessary to fully respond to the questions.)

ONLY APPLICANTS PROPOSING COMPREHENSIVE PLAN MAP AMENDMENTS THAT REQUIRE A CONCURRENT REZONE MUST RESPOND TO THESE QUESTIONS.

<u>Title 15 Zoning Code, Zone Reclassification Requirements (SMC15.115.050B)</u>

The applicant must show that the proposal satisfies the following:

8.1	How is the proposed zoning change consistent with the goals and policies of the Comprehensive Plan? Please include goal, policy or implementation reference number(s).					
8.2	Describe how the requested reclassification is in the public interest. Address how it is consistent with the purpose of the City zoning code (SMC15.100.015) to facilitate "the economic, social and aesthetic advantages of orderly development through harmonious groupings of compatible and complementary land uses."					
	economic, social and aesthetic advantages of orderly development through harmonious					
	economic, social and aesthetic advantages of orderly development through harmonious					
	economic, social and aesthetic advantages of orderly development through harmonious					
	economic, social and aesthetic advantages of orderly development through harmonious					
	economic, social and aesthetic advantages of orderly development through harmonious					
	economic, social and aesthetic advantages of orderly development through harmonious					

0.5	impacts on adjacent properties.
8.4	Describe how the requested reclassification does not pose undue burdens on public facilities.
8.5	For sites located within the designated Urban Center, describe how the requested reclassification has, or will potentially have, an adequate link to a High-Capacity Transit Mode (such as light rail or bus routes).