## City of SeaTac Flow Control BMP Infeasibility Criteria

Table 1 – Infeasibility Criteria Checklist for All Dispersion BMPs and All Infiltration BMPs

LID BMP	Infeasibility Criteria	Additional Information from Applicant		
All Dispersion	•			
BMPs				
	appropriately licensed professional (e.g., engineer, geologist, or hydrogeologist):			
	☐ Where professional geotechnical evaluation recommends dispersion not be used due to			
	reasonable concerns about erosion, slope failure, or downgradient flooding.			
	The following criteria each establish that dispersion BMPs are infeasible, without further			
	justification, though some criteria may require professional services to evaluate:			
	☐ Where the minimum design requirements for dispersion BMPs in the 2016 King County			
	Surface Water Design Manual (KCSWDM), as amended by the City of SeaTac FINAL			
	Addendum to KCSWDM (Addendum), effective January 2017, cannot be met.			
	☐ For sites with septic systems, where the discharge of runoff from dispersion devices cannot			
	be located down slope of the primary and reserve drainfield areas.			
	☐ Where the only available sites for dispersion devices are within critical area buffers (City of			
	SeaTac Municipal Code [SMC] Title 15.700) or on slopes ≥15%.			
	☐ Where the only available sites for dispersion devices are within 50 feet of a steep slope			
	hazard area (SMC Title 15.700.270), erosion hazard area (Addendum), or landslide hazard			
	area (SMC Title 15.700.250).			
All Infiltration	The following criterion establishes that infiltration BMPs are infeasible, but only if based on an			
BMPs	evaluation of site-specific conditions and a signed and stamped written determination from an			
	appropriate licensed professional (e.g., engineer, geologist, or hydrogeologist):			
	☐ Where professional geotechnical evaluation recommends infiltration not be used due to			
	reasonable concerns about erosion, slope failure, or down gradient flooding.			
	The following criteria each establish that infiltration BMPs are infeasible without further justification, though some criteria may require professional services to evaluate:			
	□ Where the minimum design requirements in the KCSWDM, as amended by the Addendum,			
	cannot be met.			
	☐ Where the minimum 5-foot setback between any part of an infiltration device and any			
	structure or property line cannot be provided.			
	$\square$ For sites with septic systems, where the infiltration device cannot be located downgradient			
	of the primary and reserve drainfield areas.			

LID BMP	Infeasibility Criteria	Additional Information from Applicant
All Infiltration BMPs	□ Where the only available sites for infiltration devices are within sensitive area buffers or critical area buffers (SMC Title 15.700.015).	
(Continued)	□ Where the only available sites for infiltration devices are within 50 feet of a steep slope hazard area (SMC Title 15.700.270), erosion hazard area (Addendum), or landslide hazard area (SMC Title 15.700.250).	
	Note: For most infiltration BMPs, setbacks are measured from the vertical extent of maximum	
	ponding before overflow. For bioretention, setback distances are as measured from the bottom	
	edge of the bioretention soil mix (i.e., bioretention cell bottom at the toe of the side slope).	

## Notes:

Addendum FINAL City of SeaTac Addendum to the King County Surface Water Design Manual, effective January 2017

BMP

Best Management Practice 2016 King County Surface Water Design Manual City of SeaTac Municipal Code KCSWDM

SMC

Table 2 – Infeasibility Criteria Checklist for Flow Control BMPs

ВМР	Infeasibility Criteria	Reference (Standard, Section, Page)	Additional Information from Applicant	
Soil Amendment	The following portions of the project area are considered to be infeasible for soil amendment:			
	☐ Areas covered by an impervious surface	Addendum, Key		
	☐ Areas incorporated into a drainage facility	Revisions section, Page 3		
	☐ Areas that are subject to a state surface mine reclamation permit			
	☐ Structural fill or engineered slopes			
	☐ Till soils with slopes >33%			
Full	The following portions of the project area are considered to be infeasible for f	ull dispersion:		
Dispersion	☐ Where any of the infeasibility criteria for "All Dispersion BMPs" apply.	Table 1 (above)		
	☐ Where the minimum design requirements for full dispersion cannot be met.	KCSWDM, Section C.2.1, Page C-32		
	□ Where geotechnical evaluation and approval is required for BMPs that propose to discharge towards or within described setbacks of steep slope hazard area, erosion hazard area, landslide hazard area, or slopes ≥15%.			
	☐ Where the minimum flowpath length from Table C.2.1.A of the KCSWDM is unachievable.	KCSWDM, Section C.2.1.7, Page C-38		
Full Infiltration	The following portions of the project area are considered to be infeasible for full infiltration:			
	☐ Where any of the infeasibility criteria for "All Infiltration BMPs" apply.	Table 1 (above)		
	□ Where the minimum design requirements for full infiltration cannot be met.	KCSWDM, Section C.2.2, Page C-48		
	□ Where geotechnical evaluation and approval is required for BMPs that propose to discharge towards or within described setbacks of steep slope hazard area, erosion hazard area, landslide hazard area, or slopes ≥15%.			
	☐ Where the minimum 5-foot setback between any part of an infiltration device and any structure or property line cannot be met.	KCSWDM, Section C.2.2.2, Page C-49		
	☐ For gravel filled trenches, where the required minimum 15-foot setback from buildings with crawl space cannot be met or where basement elevations are below the overflow point of the infiltration system.	KCSWDM, Section C.2.2.3, Page C-50		
	☐ For drywells, where the required minimum 15-foot setback from buildings with crawl space cannot be met or where basement elevations are below the overflow point of the drywell.	KCSWDM, Section C.2.2.4, Page C-51		

ВМР	Infeasibility Criteria	Reference (Standard, Section, Page)	Additional Information from Applicant
Full Infiltration (Continued)	☐ For ground surface depressions, where the required minimum 15-foot setback from buildings with crawl space cannot be met or where basement elevations are below the overflow point of the ground surface depression.	KCSWDM, Section C.2.2.5, Page C-52	
Limited	The following portions of the project area are considered to be infeasible for I	imited infiltration:	
Infiltration	☐ Where any of the infeasibility criteria for "All Infiltration BMPs" apply.	Table 1 (above)	
	□ Where the minimum design requirements for limited infiltration cannot be met.	KCSWDM, Section C.2.3, Page C-57	
	□ Where geotechnical evaluation and approval is required for BMPs that propose to discharge towards or within described setbacks of steep slope hazard area, erosion hazard area, landslide hazard area, or slopes ≥15%.		
	□ Where the minimum 5-foot setback between any part of an infiltration device and any structure or property line cannot be met.	KCSWDM, Section C.2.3.2, Page C-57	
	□ For gravel filled trenches used for limited infiltration, where the required minimum 15-foot setback from buildings with crawl space cannot be met or where basement elevations are below the overflow point of the infiltration system.	KCSWDM, Section C.2.3.3, Page C-58	
	□ For drywells used for limited infiltration, where the required minimum 15-foot setback from buildings with crawl space cannot be met or where basement elevations are below the overflow point of the infiltration system.	KCSWDM, Section C.2.3.4, Page C-58	
Basic	The following portions of the project area are considered to be infeasible for be	pasic dispersion:	
Dispersion	☐ Where any of the infeasibility criteria for "All Dispersion BMPs" apply.	Table 1 (above)	
	☐ Where the minimum design requirements for basic dispersion cannot be met.	KCSWDM, Section C.2.4, Page C-60	
	□ Where geotechnical evaluation and approval is required for BMPs that propose to discharge towards or within described setbacks of steep slope hazard area, erosion hazard area, landslide hazard area, or slopes ≥15%.		
	□ For gravel filled trenches proposed for basic dispersion, where the minimum 5-foot setback between any edge of the trench and the property line cannot be met.	KCSWDM, Section C.2.4.4, Page C-63	
Bioretention	The following portions of the project area are considered to be infeasible for be	·····	T
	☐ Where any of the infeasibility criteria for "All Infiltration BMPs" apply.	Table 1 (above)	

ВМР	Infeasibility Criteria	Reference (Standard, Section, Page)	Additional Information from Applicant
met.  □ Where geotechnical evaluation and approximate propose to discharge towards or with steep slope hazard area, erosion hazard or slopes ≥15%.  □ Within setbacks from structures as estable where they are not compatible with surroximater collection system whose eleviconnection to a properly functioning biore where land for bioretention is within area hazard, or landslide hazard.  □ Where the site cannot be reasonably des facilities on slopes <8%.  □ Within 50 feet from the top of slopes >20 relief.  □ For properties with known soil or ground (typically federal Superfund sites or state Model Toxics Control Act (MTCA)):  □ Within 100 feet of an area known to contamination;  □ Where ground water modeling indication increase or change the direction of the ground water;  □ Wherever surface soils have been for unless those soils are removed with infiltration area;  □ Any area where these facilities are procleanup plan under the state Model Federal Superfund Law, or an environ Chapter 64.70 RCW.  □ Within 100 feet of a closed or active landform the state of the process of the ground contamination.	□ Where geotechnical evaluation and approval is required for BMPs that propose to discharge towards or within described setbacks of steep slope hazard area, erosion hazard area, landslide hazard area,	KCSWDM, Section C.2.6, Page C-73	
	<ul> <li>□ Within setbacks from structures as established by the City of SeaTac.</li> <li>□ Where they are not compatible with surrounding drainage system as determined by the City of SeaTac (e.g., project drains to an existing stormwater collection system whose elevation or location precludes connection to a properly functioning bioretention facility).</li> <li>□ Where land for bioretention is within area designated as an erosion hazard, or landslide hazard.</li> <li>□ Where the site cannot be reasonably designed to locate bioretention facilities on slopes &lt;8%.</li> <li>□ Within 50 feet from the top of slopes &gt;20% and &gt;10 feet of vertical</li> </ul>	KCSWDM, Section C.2.6, Page C-75	
	<ul> <li>□ Within 100 feet of an area known to have deep soil contamination;</li> <li>□ Where ground water modeling indicates infiltration will likely increase or change the direction of the migration of pollutants in the ground water;</li> <li>□ Wherever surface soils have been found to be contaminated unless those soils are removed within 10 horizontal feet from the infiltration area;</li> <li>□ Any area where these facilities are prohibited by an approved cleanup plan under the state Model Toxics Control Act or Federal Superfund Law, or an environmental covenant under</li> </ul>	KCSWDM, Section C.2.6, Page C-75 & C-76	
	<ul><li>□Within 100 feet of a closed or active landfill.</li><li>□Within 100 feet of a drinking water well, or a spring used for drinking</li></ul>	KCSWDM, Section C.2.6, Page C-76	

		Reference (Standard, Section,	Additional Information
ВМР	Infeasibility Criteria	Page)	from Applicant
Bioretention (Continued)	□Within 10 feet of small on-site sewage disposal drainfield, including reserve areas, and grey water reuse systems. For setbacks from a "large on-site sewage disposal system", see Chapter 246-272B WAC. □Within 10 feet of an underground storage tank and connecting underground pipes when the capacity of the tank and pipe system ≤1,100 gallons. (As used in these criteria, an underground storage tank means any tank used to store petroleum products, chemicals, or liquid hazardous wastes of which ≥10% of the storage volume (including volume in the connecting piping system) is beneath the ground surface. □Within 100 feet of an underground storage tank and connecting underground pipes when the capacity of the tank and pipe system >1,100 gallons. □Where the minimum vertical separation of 1 foot to the seasonal high water table, bedrock, or other impervious layer would not be achieved below bioretention that would serve a drainage area that is: 1) <5,000 sq. ft. of pollution-generating impervious surface, and 2) <10,000 sq. ft. of impervious surface; and, 3) <¾ acres of pervious surface. □Where the minimum vertical separation of 3 feet to the seasonal high water table, bedrock or other impervious layer would not be achieved below bioretention that: 1) would serve a drainage area that meets or exceeds: a) 5,000 square feet of pollution-generating impervious surface, or b) 10,000 square feet of impervious surface, or c) three-quarter (3/4) acres of pervious surfaces; and 2) cannot reasonably be broken down into amounts smaller than indicated in (1). □Where the field testing indicates potential bioretention sites have a measured (a.k.a., initial) native soil saturated hydraulic conductivity <0.30 inches per hour.		

Permeable	The following portions of the project area are considered to be infeasible for permeable pavement:		
Pavement	☐ Where any of the infeasibility criteria for "All Infiltration BMPs" apply.	Table 1 (above)	
	☐ Where the minimum design requirements for permeable pavement cannot be met.	KCSWDM, Section C.2.7, Pages C-86 &	
	□ Where geotechnical evaluation and approval is required for BMPs that propose to discharge towards or within described setbacks of steep slope hazard area, erosion hazard area, landslide hazard area, or slopes ≥15%.	C-87	
	<ul><li>☐ Within an area designated as an erosion hazard, or landslide hazard.</li><li>☐ Within 50 feet from the top of slopes &gt;20%.</li></ul>	KCSWDM, Section C.2.7, Pages C-88	
	□ For properties with known soil or ground water contamination (typically federal Superfund sites or state cleanup sites under the Model Toxics Control Act (MTCA)):	& C-89	
	□ Within 100 feet of an area known to have deep soil contamination;		
	Where ground water modeling indicates infiltration will likely increase or change the direction of the migration of pollutants in the ground water;		
	□ Wherever surface soils have been found to be contaminated unless those soils are removed within 10 horizontal feet from the infiltration area;		
	□ Any area where these facilities are prohibited by an approved cleanup plan under the state Model Toxics Control Act or Federal Superfund Law, or an environmental covenant under Chapter 64.70 RCW.		
	<ul> <li>□ Within 100 feet of a closed or active landfill.</li> <li>□ Within 100 feet of a drinking water well, or a spring used for drinking</li> </ul>		
	water supply, if the pavement is a pollution-generating surface.  Within 10 feet of a small on-site sewage disposal drainfield, including reserve areas, and grey water reuse systems. For setbacks from a		
	"large on-site sewage disposal system", see Chapter 246-272B WAC.  ☐ Within 10 feet of any underground storage tank and connecting		
	underground pipes, regardless of tank size. As used in these criteria, an underground storage tank means any tank used to store petroleum products, chemicals, or liquid hazardous wastes of which ≥10% of the storage volume (including volume in the connecting piping system) is beneath the ground surface.		
	☐ At multi-level parking garages, and over culverts and bridges.		

Permeable	□ Where the site design connet avoid nutting never per in areas likely to	T	
Pavement	☐ Where the site design cannot avoid putting pavement in areas likely to		
(Continued)	have long-term excessive sediment deposition after construction		
(Continued)	(e.g., construction and landscaping material yards).		
	☐ Where the site cannot reasonably be designed to have a porous		
	asphalt surface <5% slope, or a pervious concrete surface at <10%		
	slope, or a permeable interlocking concrete pavement surface (where		
	appropriate) at <12%. Grid systems upper slope limit can range from		
	6% to 12%; check with manufacturer and local supplier.		
	☐ Where the native soils below a pollution-generating permeable		
	pavement (e.g., road or parking lot) do not meet the soil suitability		
	criteria for providing treatment (See Section 5.2.1 of the KCSWDM).		
	Note that where the soil beneath the infiltration BMP does not have		
	properties that reduce the risk of groundwater contamination, the		
	applicant has the option of using permeable pavement for residential		
	driveways serving ≤2 households that are not within a groundwater		
	protection area if a 6" sand liner beneath the permeable pavement is		
	included in the design. This approach is optional and does not make		
	permeable pavement required to be implemented as part of the		
	prescriptive BMP lists detailed in Core Requirement #9 and Section		
	1.3 of Appendix C of the KCSWDM.		
	☐ Where seasonal high ground water or an underlying impermeable/low		
	permeable layer would create saturated conditions within 1 foot of the		
	bottom of the lowest gravel base course.		
	☐ Where underlying soils are unsuitable for supporting traffic loads		
	when saturated. Soils meeting a California Bearing Ratio of 5% are		
	considered suitable for residential access roads.		
	☐ Where appropriate field testing indicates soils have a measured		
	(a.k.a., initial) native soil saturated hydraulic conductivity <0.3 inches		
	per hour.		
	☐ Roads that receive more than very low traffic volumes, and areas		
	having more than very low truck traffic. Roads with a projected		
	average daily traffic volume of ≤400 vehicles are very low volume		
	roads (AASHTO, 2001) (U.S. Dept. of Transportation, 2013). Areas		
	with very low truck traffic volumes are roads and other areas not		
	subject to through truck traffic but may receive up to weekly use by		
	utility trucks (e.g., garbage, recycling), daily school bus use, and		
	multiple daily use by pick-up trucks, mail/parcel delivery trucks, and		
	maintenance vehicles. Note: This infeasibility criterion does not		
	extend to sidewalks and other non-traffic bearing surfaces.		

Permeable	☐ Where replacing existing impervious surfaces unless the existing		
Pavement	surface is a non-pollution generating surface over an outwash soil		
(Continued)	with a saturated hydraulic conductivity ≥4 inches per hour.		
	☐ At sites defined as "high use sites".		
	☐ In areas with "industrial activity" as identified in 40 CFR 122.26(b)(14).		
	□ Where the risk of concentrated pollutant spills is more likely such as gas stations, truck stops, and industrial chemical storage sites.		
	Where routine, heavy applications of sand occur in frequent snow zones to maintain traction during weeks of snow and ice accumulation.		
Rainwater Harvesting	NA – Infeasibility assessment not required.		
Reduced	The following portions of the project area are considered to be infeasible for reduced impervious surface credit:		
Impervious Surface Credit	☐ Where the minimum design requirements for reduced impervious surface credit cannot be met.	KCSWDM, Section C.2.9, Page C-98	
Native Growth	The following portions of the project area are considered to be infeasible for native growth retention credit:		
Retention Credit	☐ Where the minimum design requirements for native growth retention credit cannot be met.	KCSWDM, Section C.2.10, Page C-103	
Perforated	The following portions of the project area are considered to be infeasible for perforated pipe connection:		
Pipe	☐ Where any of the infeasibility criteria for "All Infiltration BMPs" apply.	Table 1 (above)	
Connection	□ Where the minimum design requirements for perforated pipe connection cannot be met.	KCSWDM, Section C.2.11.1, Page C-	
	Where the only location for the perforated pipe portion of the system is under impervious or heavily compacted (e.g., driveways and parking areas) surfaces.	105	
	□ Where a minimum of 10 feet of perforated pipe per 5,000 square feet of contributing roof area is unachievable.		
Vegetated Roof	NA – Infeasibility assessment not required.		

## Notes:

FINAL City of SeaTac Addendum to the King County Surface Water Design Manual, effective January 2017 Addendum

BMP

Best Management Practice
2016 King County Surface Water Design Manual
Low Impact Development
Not Applicable KCSWDM

LID

NA

SMC City of SeaTac Municipal Code