



Code Compliance Committee Minutes

Thursday, February 9, 2017
4:00 PM
SeaTac City Hall – Riverton Room

Members:	Present:	Absent:	Commence: 4:03 P.M.
			Adjourn: 5:40 P.M.
Peter Kwon, Chair	X		
Rick Forschler	X		
Pam Fernald	X		

Staff Coordinator: Steve Pilcher, Planning Manager

Other Staff Present: Joe Scorcio, Acting City Manager; Jeff Robinson, Acting CED Director; Cindy Corsilles, Asst. City Attorney; Trudy Olson, Resource Conservation/Neighborhood Programs Coordinator

1. Approval of minutes	The minutes of the January 12, 2017 meeting were approved as written.
2. Code Compliance Statistic on City's web page	<p><u>X</u> Discussion</p> <p><i>Planning Manager Steve Pilcher reviewed the 5 charts that have been posted to the web site. All were found to be acceptable. The Committee also discussed a table that depicts the Top 5 code violations and why they are enforced. It was recommended to change the order of the listing so that the colors in the table were adjacent.</i></p>
3. Citation issues	<p><u>X</u> Discussion</p> <p><i>Planning Manager Pilcher stated that staff continues to do research and coordinate with the Municipal Court to determine how and when citations are being paid. Based upon work completed to date, it appears that for the past two years, approx. 80% of the total citation fines have not been collected.</i></p> <p><i>The Committee discussed a number of issues concerning this problem, including: 1) noting on the citation that the fine still must be paid, even if the violation is corrected; 2) possibly increasing the amount of fine; or 3) having greater fine amounts for repeat offenders.</i></p>

	<p><i>The overall economic level of the community was noted as a potentially contributing factor.</i></p> <p><i>The Committee also discussed the need for increased community education, like sending materials home through schools and/or using churches.</i></p>
<p>4. Mandatory curbside garbage collection for rental properties</p>	<p><u> X </u> Discussion</p> <p><i>This issue was discussed last year and Legal staff had drafted potential code amendment language. Included in that draft was the possibility of individuals sharing service if proof is provided to the City. The Committee discussed this issue at length and agreed to continue discussion at their April meeting.</i></p>
<p>5. Public vs. Private Nuisances</p>	<p><u> X </u> Deferred</p> <p><i>There being little time remaining in the meeting, it was agreed to discuss this matter at the March meeting.</i></p>
<p>6. Adjourn</p>	<p><i>The meeting adjourned at 5:29 p.m.</i></p>